State of the Environment Rocky Shore Monitoring Report 2015-2017

Technical Report 2017-79

ISSN: 1178-1467 (Online) Document: 1845984 (Word) Document: 1918743 (Pdf) Taranaki Regional Council Private Bag 713 STRATFORD

October 2017

Executive summary

Section 35 of the Resource Management Act 1991 requires local authorities to undertake monitoring of the region's environment, including land, air, marine and freshwater. The rocky shore component of the State of the Environment Monitoring (SEM) programme for Taranaki was initiated by the Taranaki Regional Council in the 1994-1995 monitoring year and has subsequently continued each year. This report covers the state and trends of intertidal hard shore communities in Taranaki.

As part of the SEM programme, six representative reef sites were monitored twice a year (spring and summer surveys) using a fixed transect, random quadrat survey design. For each survey, a 50 m transect was laid parallel to the shore and substrate cover, algal cover and animal cover/abundance in 25 x 0.25 m² random quadrats were quantified. Changes in the number of species per quadrat (species richness) and Shannon-Wiener index per quadrat (diversity) were assessed at the six reef sites over the 23 years of the SEM programme (spring 1994 to summer 2017).

Of the six sites surveyed, the intertidal communities at Manihi (west Taranaki) were the most species rich (median = 19.4 species per quadrat) and diverse (median Shannon Wiener index = 1.05 per quadrat) due to the low supply of sand and the presence of pools that provided a stable environment with many ecological niches. The intertidal communities at Waihi (south Taranaki) were the least species rich (median = 11.5 species per quadrat) and diverse (median Shannon Wiener index = 0.84 per quadrat) due to the high energy wave environment, lack of stable habitat and periodic sand inundation.

Sand deposition has been shown to have a profound effect on intertidal communities in Taranaki. The reef sites at Mangati and Greenwood Road (north Taranaki) were particularly prone to periodic sand inundation. Years of high sand accumulation at these sites resulted in lowered species richness and diversity. Trend analysis indicates that there has been a significant decrease in species richness and diversity at the Mangati and Greenwood Road reef sites, which appears to have been caused by an increased sand supply from the mountain, combined with oceanographic conditions that shift this sand onshore.

Natural environmental factors, including sand cover, wave exposure and reef geomorphology, appear to be the dominant divers of species richness and diversity at the six SEM reef sites surveyed.

Table of contents

				Page			
1	Introduction						
	1.1	1.1 State of the environment monitoring					
	1.2	Taranal	ki rocky shore intertidal environment	1			
		1.2.1	Physical environment	1			
		1.2.2	Biological communities	1			
		1.2.3	Cultural significance	1			
2	Moni	toring met	hodology	2			
	2.1	Site loc	ation	2			
	2.2	Survey	method	9			
	2.3	Statistic	cal comparisons between sites	9			
	2.4	Trend Analysis					
3	Results						
	3.1	Species	s richness and diversity between sites	11			
	3.2	Trends	in species richness and diversity from 1994 to 2017	13			
	3.3	Species	s composition and abundance	15			
4	Discu	ssion		18			
	4.1	Sand		18			
	4.2	Wave e	exposure	19			
	4.3	Habitat	complexity	20			
	4.4	Human	versus natural effects	21			
5	Gene	ral summa	ry	22			
6	Recor	Recommendation					
Gloss	ary of o	common te	erms and abbreviations	25			
Biblic	graphy	and refer	ences	26			
Appe	ndix I	Species ri	ichness and diversity: Raw data & statistical summary				
Appe	ndix II	Mann Kei data	ndall test results: Unadjusted & sand adjusted species richness and diversity				

11

Appendix III Species list

List of tables

Table 1	Location of SEM rocky shore sites and iwi/hapu associations	2
Table 2	Summary statistics: species richness and diversity at six reef sites from 1994 to 2017	11
Table 3	P-values and trend slopes (% annual change) of species richness and diversity at six reef sites between spring 1994 and summer 2015, including unadjusted and sand	
	adjusted trend results	15
Table 4	Factors affecting diversity and species composition at the SEM reef sites	23

List of figures

Figure 1	Location of the SEM rocky shore sites in relation to the underlying coastal geology and proximity to the Stony River (a major source of sand to the coast)	2
Figure 2	Box and whisker plot of number of species per quadrat (species richness) at the six reef sites from spring 1994 to summer 2017	11
Figure 3	Box and whisker plots of Shannon-Wiener index per quadrat (diversity) at the six reef sites from spring 1994 to summer 2017	12
Figure 4	Radar plots of species richness and diversity at the six reef sites from spring 2015 to summer 2017	12
Figure 5	Number of species, Shannon-Wiener index and percentage sand cover at the six reef sites from spring 1994 to summer 2017	13
Figure 6	Abundance of three key species at six reef sites from spring 1994 to summer 2017	17

List of photographs

Photo 1	Turangi Reef transect (top left), black fingered crab Ozius truncatus (middle right), scaly-headed triple fin Karalepis stewarti (bottom left) and hairy seaweed crab Notomithrax ursus (bottom right)	3
Photo 2	Orapa Reef transect (top left), seagrass Zostera muelleri (middle right), snakeskin chiton Sypharochiton pelliserpentis (bottom left), polychaete tubeworm Neosabellaria kaiparaensis (bottom right)	4
Photo 3	Mangati Reef transect (top left), giant shore anemone Oulactis magna (middle right), herbivorous gastropods cat's eye Lunella smaragda (bottom left)	5
Photo 4	Greenwood Road Reef transect (bottom), reef star Stichaster australis (top left), ornate limpets Cellana ornata, cat's eyes Lunella smaragda, oyster borer Haustrum scobina, white rock shell Dicathais orbita and snakeskin chiton Sypharochiton pelliserpentis (top middle)	6
Photo 5	Manihi Road Reef transect (top left), nudibranch Alloiodoris lanuginata (bottom left), duck's bill limpet Scutus breviculus (bottom centre), and mottled brittle star Ophionereis fasciata (bottom right)	7

Photo 6	Waihi Reef transect (top left), radiate limpet Cellana radians (middle right), dark rock shell Haustrum haustorium and snakeskin chiton Sypharochiton pelliserpentis (bootom left) and green chiton Chiton glaucus (bottom right)	8
Photo 7	Mangati Reef inundated with sand on 23 January 2015 (left) and with low sand cover on 14 September 2015 (right)	14
Photo 8	Greenwood Road Reef with low sand cover on 2 February 2014 (top left), inundated with sand on 23 January 2015 (top right) and with low sand cover on 30 September 2015 (bottom)	14
Photo 9	Animal species commonly found on Taranaki reefs: variable chiton Ischnochiton maorianus (top left), green chiton Chiton glaucus (top right), half crab Petrolisthes elongates (middle left), Haustrum haustorium with eggs (middle right), spotted top- shell Diloma aethiops (bottom left), common cushion star Patiriella regularis (bottom right)	16
Photo 10	A variety of coralline algal species (left), cat's eye, Lunella smaragda (centre), polychaete tubeworm, Neosabellaria kaiparaensis (right)	17
Photo 11	Cat's eyes, Lunella smaragda (left), and radiate limpets, Cellana <i>radians</i> (right), concentrating on protruding rocks during sand inundation of the reef	18
Photo 12	Pyramid Stream gully, at the headwaters of the Stony River: a major source of sand to the North Taranaki coastline	19
Photo 13	Species composition at the Taranaki reef sites is affected by wave exposure: Wave exposed Waihi Reef dominated by a limited number of encrusting algae and animals (left) and sheltered pools at Manihi Road Reef with abundant and diverse intertidal communities (right)	20
Photo 14	Orapa Reef with a low tube worm cover in September 2015 (left) and build up of tubeworm colonies in February 2016 (right)	20

1 Introduction

1.1 State of the environment monitoring

Regional councils have responsibilities under the Resource Management Act (1991) to monitor the state of the environment. The purpose of state of the environment monitoring (SEM) is to collect sufficient data to produce information on the general health of the environment. This information is used to guide management decisions and enables the Council to assess the influence of natural processes and effectively take measures to mitigate the impacts of human activities on the coastal environment.

Biological communities of the rocky reefs around the Taranaki coastline have been monitored since 1983 by the Taranaki Catchment Commission. A more comprehensive rocky shore monitoring programme was implemented by the Taranaki Regional Council during the 1994-1995 summer as an on-going component of the SEM programme for the Taranaki region.

1.2 Taranaki rocky shore intertidal environment

1.2.1 Physical environment

Rocky reefs dominate the intertidal zone of the Taranaki coastline. Around the ring plain, the reefs are largely formed from lahar (volcanic derived) materials. The lahars consist of andesite cobbles and boulders bound within an ash type matrix. Selective erosion of the weaker matrix leaves the harder cobbles and boulders to form large platform reefs. These reefs are typically low in relief but can be considerable in extent e.g. reefs off the Waitara coastline extend as far as 5 km offshore (TRC, 1991).

Taranaki reefs are exposed to high energy wave and wind conditions. Prevailing south westerly winds from the Tasman Sea, not weakened by land barriers or local irregularities of the coastline, can be persistent. The dominant wave direction is from the west, which results in considerable sand movement as waves strike much of the coast obliquely. The sand is supplied to the coast mainly from river/stream transport and cliff erosion, resulting in turbid conditions close to shore (TRC, 1991).

1.2.2 Biological communities

The biology of the Taranaki rocky shore is profoundly influenced by the physical characteristics of the area. The exposed weather and wave conditions, as well as the geomorphology of the shore determine, to a large extent, the structure and composition of the marine communities found here. The organisms that live on these reefs provide an important food source for humans, birds and fish and also form a significant component of marine biodiversity in Taranaki.

1.2.3 Cultural significance

The reefs of Taranaki provide a valuable source of kaimoana/mātaitai for Maori. This kaimoana/mātaitai is of significant cultural value not only as a source of food, but also because it maintains tribal mana and standing (Waitangi Tribunal Reports, 1983; TRC, 2015).

The results of the Council's intertidal rocky shore surveys presented in this report are not suitable for providing robust assessment of kaimoana/mātaitai stocks, including paua, kina and kuku/kutae (mussels) because the sites are located higher on the shore than these species typically occur. Instead the results of the rocky shore SEM programme provide a record of species richness, diversity and composition at representative reef sites around the region which can be used to assess the 'health' of the reef environment.

Around the Taranaki coastline, particular reefs are regarded as property of particular hapu. Iwi and hapu associations with the six SEM reef sites are outlined in Table 1.

2 Monitoring methodology

2.1 Site location

The location of the six SEM rocky shore sites are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1.

Table 1 Location of SEM rocky shore sites and iwi/hapu associations

Site code	Site location	Location NZTM E/N	Iwi/hapu
SEA900095	Turangi Reef – north of Motunui	1713712 / 5684309	Te Atiawa/Ngati Rahiri
SEA901043	Orapa Reef – west of Waitara River Mouth	1704759 / 5683854	Te Atiawa/Otaraua, Pukerangiora
SEA902005	Mangati Reef – Bell Block	1698314 / 5680510	Te Atiawa/Puketapu
SEA903070	Greenwood Road Reef – south of Oakura	1677185 / 5668284	Taranaki/Nga Mahanga-a-Tairi
SEA904065	Manihi Road Reef – north of Oaonui	1666415 / 5641780	Taranaki/Ngati Haupoto
			Ngāruahine/Kanihi-Umutahi, Okahu-Inuawai
SEA906025	Waihi Reef – Hawera	1707058 / 5614617	Ngati Ruanui/Hamua, Ngati Tanewai, Ngati Tupaea, Hapotiki, Ngati Hawe




Figure 1 Location of the SEM rocky shore sites in relation to the underlying coastal geology and proximity to the Stony River (a major source of sand to the coast)




Photo 1 Turangi Reef transect (top left), black fingered crab Ozius truncatus (middle right), scaly-headed triple fin Karalepis stewarti (bottom left) and hairy seaweed crab Notomithrax ursus (bottom right)



Photo 2 Orapa Reef transect (top left), seagrass Zostera muelleri (middle right), snakeskin chiton Sypharochiton pelliserpentis (bottom left), polychaete tubeworm Neosabellaria kaiparaensis (bottom right)




Photo 3 Mangati Reef transect (top left), giant shore anemone Oulactis magna (middle right), herbivorous gastropods cat's eye Lunella smaragda (bottom left)



Photo 4 Greenwood Road Reef transect (bottom), reef star Stichaster australis (top left), ornate limpets Cellana ornata, cat's eyes Lunella smaragda, oyster borer Haustrum scobina, white rock shell Dicathais orbita and snakeskin chiton Sypharochiton pelliserpentis (top middle)



Photo 5 Manihi Road Reef transect (top left), nudibranch Alloiodoris lanuginata (bottom left), duck's bill limpet Scutus breviculus (bottom centre), and mottled brittle star Ophionereis fasciata (bottom right)



Photo 6 Waihi Reef transect (top left), radiate limpet Cellana radians (middle right), dark rock shell Haustrum haustorium and snakeskin chiton Sypharochiton pelliserpentis (bootom left) and green chiton Chiton glaucus (bottom right)

2.2 Survey method

The six reef sites were monitored twice a year (spring and summer surveys) using a fixed transect, random quadrat survey design. Transect location was identified using GPS coordinates and photos (the start of most transects can be identified in relation to distinctive boulders and other landmark features). At each site a 50 m transect was laid parallel to the shore at a tidal height of approximately 0.6 m above chart datum. The transect was used to establish five 5 m x 3 m blocks. Within each block five random 0.25 m² quadrats were laid giving a total of 25 random quadrats. For each quadrat the percentage cover of algae and encrusting animal species was estimated using a grid. For all other animal species, individuals larger than 3 mm were counted. Under boulder biota was counted where rocks and cobbles were easily overturned.

The surveys were designed to provide sufficient statistical sensitivity to effectively detect change while providing a realistic survey time within the low tide period to effectively complete the survey (TCC, 1983; TCC, 1984).

2.3 Statistical comparisons between sites

Given the unequal variance between reef sites (Levene's test, $p = \langle 0.001 \rangle$, even following log transformation of the data (Levene's test, $p = \langle 0.006 \rangle$, it was not appropriate to use ANOVA to test for differences between means. Instead the Welch test (a t-test with separate variance estimates) was used to determine the statistical significant differences between sites. For both Levene's and Welch test, Statistica software was used to conduct the statistical analysis.

2.4 Trend Analysis

Trend analysis for number of species per quadrat (species richness) and Shannon Wiener Index per quadrat (diversity) was carried out using an adapted method of the water quality trend analysis developed by Scarsbrook and McBride (2007). Protocols similar to flow adjusted water quality analyses were used to analyze the effect of sand cover on rocky shore communities. The trend analysis involved an adjustment of the raw data for each variable at each site to take the degree of sand cover into account, followed by trend analysis accounting for any temporal pattern.

Sand adjustment was performed using LOWESS (LOcally WEighted Scatterplot Smoothing), within the Time Trends software, with a 30% span. Every data-point in the record was then adjusted depending on the value of percentage sand cover (adjusted value=raw value – smoothed value + median value, where the smoothed value is that predicted from the sand cover using LOWESS). The non-parametric trend analysis was then applied to the whole data for each parameter at each site which takes into account the temporal variability in the data.

This analysis is based on two key measures:

The Mann-Kendall slope estimator (MKSE) which measures the magnitude of the trend; and the associated Mann-Kendall trend test which determines whether the trend is significant.

Statistically significant trends were determined using a p-value < 0.05 or <0.01. If a p-value is less than 0.05 or 0.01, then there is a less than 5% or 1% chance of finding a trend when there is not one.

The slope of the trend (MKSE) is expressed in units of change per year, and can also be expressed in terms of relative change (RMKSE) which is the percent of change per year. A positive MKSE or RMKSE indicates a positive (increasing) trend, and a negative MKSE or RMKSE indicates a negative or decreasing trend. The RMKSE allows comparisons in the slope between parameters and sites.

It is recognised that the statistical significance of a trend does not necessarily imply a 'meaningful' trend i.e. one that is likely to be relevant in a management sense. Ballantine and Davies-Colley (2009) have

determined a 'meaningful' trend as one for which the RSKSE is statistically significant and has an absolute magnitude > 1 percent change per year. This approach has also been adopted in this report.

The statistical analysis and approach has undergone independent peer review by NIWA staff and additional advice and input from Ian Jowett.

3 Results

3.1 Species richness and diversity between sites

Summary statistics for the number of species per quadrat (species richness) and Shannon-Wiener index per quadrat (diversity) for the six reef sites are provided in Table 2 (raw data in Appendix I).

Site	Number of	*Number of species per quadrat			*Shannon-Wiener index per quadrat				
	surveys	Mean	Median	Max	Min	Mean	Median	Max	Min
Turangi	50	15.8	15.9	19.5	11.7	0.89	0.89	1.03	0.68
Orapa	44	13.4	13.4	17.8	1.6	0.85	0.90	1.06	0.15
Mangati	42	13.3	13.9	17.2	3.4	0.85	0.90	1.05	0.28
Greenwood	46	15.7	16.3	23.8	0.2	0.90	0.92	1.15	0.02
Manihi	41	19.6	19.4	26.2	15.0	1.05	1.05	1.20	0.89
Waihi	50	11.3	11.5	17.0	4.8	0.85	0.84	1.03	0.40

Table 2 Summary statistics: species richness and diversity at six reef sites from 1994 to 2017

*Values based on means for each survey, not individual quadrats

Figures 2 and 3 show differences in species richness and diversity between the reef sites over the 23 years of the monitoring programme (1994-2017). Both species richness and diversity were significantly higher at Manihi than at all other sites (Welch test, p < 0.0001). At Waihi, species richness was significantly lower than at all other sites (Welch test, p \leq 0.0008), but there was no significant difference in diversity between Waihi, Orapa, Mangati and Greenwood Road (Welch test, p > 0.05).




Figure 2 Box and whisker plot of number of species per quadrat (species richness) at the six reef sites from spring 1994 to summer 2017




Figure 3 Box and whisker plots of Shannon-Wiener index per quadrat (diversity) at the six reef sites from spring 1994 to summer 2017

Figure 4 shows differences in species richness and diversity between the reef sites over the last two monitoring years from spring 2015 to summer 2017. Both species richness and diversity were consistently highest at Manihi. Species richness was consistently lowest at Waihi. Species richness and diversity at the other four sites overlapped between season and years. From the radar plots it is noticeable that there was a drop in species richness and diversity at Orapa during summer 2017 relative to other sites and seasons. The reason for this drop is discussed further in Section 4.3.




Figure 4 Radar plots of species richness and diversity at the six reef sites from spring 2015 to summer 2017

3.2 Trends in species richness and diversity from 1994 to 2017

Changes in species richness, diversity and sand cover from spring 1994 to summer 2017 are shown in Figure 5. Over the 23 years of the monitoring programme, Orapa, Mangati, Greenwood and Waihi have been more prone to periodic sand inundation than Turangi and Manihi. Surveys with high sand accumulation were associated with lower species richness and diversity (Figure 5, Photos 7 and 8).




Figure 5 Number of species, Shannon-Wiener index and percentage sand cover at the six reef sites from spring 1994 to summer 2017



Photo 7 Mangati Reef inundated with sand on 23 January 2015 (left) and with low sand cover on 14 September 2015 (right)



Photo 8 Greenwood Road Reef with low sand cover on 2 February 2014 (top left), inundated with sand on 23 January 2015 (top right) and with low sand cover on 30 September 2015 (bottom)

Long term trend analysis showed a significant (p < 0.05), meaningful (>1% annual change) decrease in species richness at Mangati, Greenwood and Waihi over the 23 years (Table 3). For Mangati and Greenwood, sand adjusted trends were no longer significant (p >0.05, Table 3), indicating that a decrease in species richness at these sites could be related to an increase in periodic sand accumulation (Figure 4). For Waihi,

the sand adjusted trend for species richness remained significant (p < 0.05) and meaningful (>1% annual change), indicating additional factors were driving the decrease in species richness at this site.

Trend analysis showed a significant (p < 0.05) decrease in diversity at Turangi, Mangati, Greenwood and Waihi over the 23 years (Table 3). This decrease was only meaningful (>1% annual change) at Greenwood. For Mangati and Greenwood, sand adjusted trends in diversity were no longer significant (p > 0.05, Table 3), providing support that the decrease in diversity at these sites was related to an increase in periodic sand accumulation (Figure 4). For Turangi and Waihi, the sand adjusted trends for diversity remained significant (p < 0.05), indicating additional factors were driving the decrease in diversity at these sites.

	Trend Analysis: Mann-Kendall									
C'I.	Numb	er of specie	s (species ric	hness)	Shannon-Wiener index (diversity)					
Site	Unadjust	ed trend	Sand adjusted trend		Unadjust	ed trend	Sand adjusted trend			
	P value	% AC	P value	% AC	P value	% AC	P value	% AC		
Turangi	0.1918	-0.4158	0.0994	-0.4906	0.0041	-0.4727	0.0066	-0.3968		
Orapa	0.0509	-0.9431	0.9436	0.0226	0.0627	-0.6383	0.9275	0.0167		
Mangati	0.0084	-1.0913	0.8965	0.0305	0.0131	-0.6797	0.7287	0.0812		
Greenwood	0.0007	-1.8612	0.0662	-0.8040	<0.0001	-1.3471	0.2480	-0.2534		
Manihi	0.3907	0.2366	0.4538	0.1780	0.3685	-0.1118	0.1306	-0.1474		
Waihi	<0.0001	-1.1179	<0.0001	-1.0751	0.0001	-0.7069	0.0001	-0.7011		

Table 3	P-values and trend slopes (% annual change) of species richness and diversity at six reef sites
	between spring 1994 and summer 2015, including unadjusted and sand adjusted trend results

% AC = % annual change (also RSKSE)

Significant decreases shown in orange (p < 0.05 statistically significant) & red (p < 0.01 very statistically significant) Meaningful trends (>1% annual change) shown in yellow

3.3 Species composition and abundance

A full list of the species recorded during the SEM intertidal surveys between 2015 and 2017, including the percentage of quadrats each species was present in, is provided in Appendix III. In summary, species composition at the six SEM reef sites was typical of New Zealand mid-shore, wave exposed boulder reefs. The dominant primary producers were encrusting and geniculate coralline algal species (coralline paint and turf respectively). Limpets (*Cellana radians* and *Cellana ornate*) and chitons (*Sypharochiton pelliserpentis, Chiton glaucus* and *Ischnochiton maorianus*) were abundant being well adapted to the wave exposed conditions. Herbivorous gastropods *Diloma aethiops* and *Lunella smaragda* thrived at all sites. Predatory gastropods *Haustrum scobina* and *Haustrum haustorium* were also abundant at most sites. The porcelain crab *Petrolisthes elongates* was often present under boulders, being able to scuttle and filter feed between the rounded rocks. The cushion star *Patiriella regularis* was one of the more common echinoderms found at the Taranaki reef sites. Unlike other echinoderm species which are generally predatory, *P. regularis* grazes on algae and biofilms present on the surface of the boulders.

Figure 6 shows the change in abundance of three key intertidal species between spring 1994 and summer 2017. Coralline turf, largely composed of *Corallina officinalis*, was the dominant algal type at all sites with the exception of Waihi. The relatively high percentage cover of coralline turf provided an ideal habitat for juvenile cat's-eyes *T. smaragdus* which are known to feed on the small epiphytes present on the calcified surface of the coralline algae. *T. smaragdus* was also abundant at all sites with the exception of Waihi. The colonial tubeworm *Neosabellaria kaiparaensis* thrived in sand rich environments and could dominate,

preventing other species from colonising. Extensive build up of *N. kaiparaensis* colonies occurred at the Orapa Reef site with mean percentage cover greater than 40% during some years. Over the 2015 to 2017 monitoring period an increase in *N. kaiparaensis* cover occurred.



Photo 9 Animal species commonly found on Taranaki reefs: variable chiton Ischnochiton maorianus (top left), green chiton Chiton glaucus (top right), half crab Petrolisthes elongates (middle left), Haustrum haustorium with eggs (middle right), spotted top-shell Diloma aethiops (bottom left), common cushion star Patiriella regularis (bottom right)




Figure 6 Abundance of three key species at six reef sites from spring 1994 to summer 2017



Photo 10 A variety of coralline algal species (left), cat's eye, Lunella smaragda (centre), polychaete tubeworm, Neosabellaria kaiparaensis (right)

17

4 Discussion

In this section the main factors driving differences in diversity and species composition between sites and over time will be discussed.

4.1 Sand

Manihi, the site with the lowest sand cover (<1%), consistently had the highest species richness and diversity of all six reef sites (Figure 4). In contrast, Greenwood and Mangati have been particularly susceptible to sporadic heavy sand inundation (Photos 7 and 8), with dramatic short term effects on species richness and diversity (Figure 5). Sand deposition has been shown to have profound effects on intertidal hard-shore communities in Taranaki (Walsby, 1982). The presence of high quantities of sand results in reduced diversity due to sand scour and temporary sand burial (Walsby, 1982; Airoldi *et al.*, 1996; Howes *et al.*, 2000). Sand scour impacts on reef organisms causing removal from the substrate, physiological stress and increased metabolic demand (Airoldi *et al.*, 1996; Howes *et al.*, 2000). Sand inundation results in reduced light, oxygen and food availability (Airoldi *et al.*, 1996; Howes *et al.*, 2000).

Encrusting and non-motile organisms are susceptible to smothering and burial during heavy sand inundation, often resulting in death. Motile organisms are better designed to cope, with some species having been shown to escape heavy sand accumulation altogether. For example, paua escape heavy sand cover by moving into deeper waters (Howes et al., 2000). At the Taranaki intertidal reef sites, motile gastropods (snails and limpets) have been observed concentrated on larger rocks which protrude above the sand (Photo 11). Such responses may aid rapid recovery of the reefs post sand inundation, with reefs typically recovering within a year or two providing sand accumulation is not persistent.



Photo 11 Cat's eyes, Lunella smaragda (left), and radiate limpets, Cellana *radians* (right), concentrating on protruding rocks during sand inundation of the reef

At Greenwood and Mangati, trend analysis implies that species richness and diversity have decreased at these sites over time (Table 3). Sand adjusted trend analysis and sand cover data (Table 3, Figure 5) indicate that these decreases could be related to an increase in periodic sand accumulation. The main sources of sand to the Taranaki coastline come from rivers, streams and eroding cliffs (Matthews, 1977; Cowie, 2009). In North Taranaki one source in particular has provided an increase in sand supply to the coastline since the late nineties: in 1998, a scarp at the headwaters of the Stony River collapsed, leading to a massive input of sand and gravel down the river and into the coastal system. Erosion has been ongoing since this event, including a number of other large erosion events. Prior to 1998, the coastline extending from Cape Egmont to Oakura was described as 'sand starved' being mainly comprised of cobble and boulder beaches and reefs. Since 1998, this influx of black sand derived from Mount Taranaki has been transported along the coast in a north easterly direction resulting in beach sediment nourishment. What were previously cobble and boulder beaches have now changed to sandy beaches (Cowie, 2009).

Boulder reefs along the North Taranaki coastline have also been affected by this increase in sand supply, with an increased risk of sand inundation. Large volumes of sand wash ashore at times when there are persistent long period swells combined with prolonged calm periods with no storms (McComb personal communication). An increase in these conditions, together with a greater sand supply from the mountain, appear to have impacted on reef species richness and diversity at certain sites, particularly at Greenwood and Mangati.



Photo 12 Pyramid Stream gully, at the headwaters of the Stony River: a major source of sand to the North Taranaki coastline

4.2 Wave exposure

On rocky shores in general, the extent of wave exposure can have a dramatic influence on species composition. Waves eliminate organisms that cannot withstand large accelerational forces, either by preventing settlement or by limiting growth once settlement has occurred (Little *et al.*, 2010). All six of the Taranaki SEM reef sites can be described as exposed and this is reflected in the community composition at these sites. Encrusting and geniculate coralline algal species (referred to as coralline paint and turf respectively) typically dominate at all of the Taranaki sites with these species being more tolerant to drag forces and sedimentation relative to larger seaweed species. Herbivorous gastropod species, including *Diloma aethiops* and *Lunella smaragda*, are abundant, in part due to the low abundance of predators in exposed areas (Little *et al.*, 2010).

Wave exposure is also likely to drive differences in diversity between the Taranaki reef sites. Waihi is the most exposed of the six sites. Photographic evidence indicates that relatively large boulders at this site shift in position from one survey to the next. Community composition at this site reflects the exposed conditions with coralline paint and encrusting animals dominating (Photo 13). Wave exposure is likely to be the main factor resulting in lower species richness at Waihi relative to other Taranaki reef sites.



Photo 13 Species composition at the Taranaki reef sites is affected by wave exposure: Wave exposed Waihi Reef dominated by a limited number of encrusting algae and animals (left) and sheltered pools at Manihi Road Reef with abundant and diverse intertidal communities (right)

4.3 Habitat complexity

Reef geomorphology and associated habitat complexity is another major factor resulting in differences in diversity between the reef sites in Taranaki. The site at Manihi provides many different ecological niches for a broad range of species to occupy, with habitats including stable pools, under-boulder habitat and crevices within rocks.

At Turangi, Orapa and Mangati rocks have been observed cemented into the substrate, reducing the amount of under-boulder habitat. This reduction in habitat availability results in a decrease in diversity and species richness.

At Orapa, large colonies of the tubeworm *Neosabellaria kaiparaensis* can build up over the reef, reducing the diversity of microhabitats available for other species (Photo 14). A build up of tubeworms occurred at Orapa between 2006 and 2012 (Figure 6), resulting in a reduction in species richness and diversity at the reef site (Figure 5). Between 2012 and 2015 the tubeworm beds largely broke down (Figure 6), exposing more diverse substrate for habitation. Over this period there was a clear increase in species richness and diversity associated with the increase in habitat complexity (Figure 6). Since 2015 there has been a gradual increase in tubeworms and decrease in richness and diversity.



Photo 14 Orapa Reef with a low tube worm cover in September 2015 (left) and build up of tubeworm colonies in February 2016 (right)

4.4 Human versus natural effects

The diversity and composition of intertidal communities at the SEM reef sites are largely driven by the natural physical factors discussed thus far i.e. effects of sand and sediment, wave exposure and geomorphology of the reefs. As a result of these overriding natural drivers, underlying subtle ecological changes resulting from human activities can be difficult to detect (Clark *et al.*, 2013). However, more noticeable impacts that may arise can be evident. For example, off the Hawera coastline, prior to 1997 dairy factory wastewater discharged through the nearshore outfall was having significant adverse effects on the local intertidal community, which was clearly detectable in the results of the intertidal surveys undertaken as part of the consent compliance monitoring programme (TRC, 2014). In 1997 the dairy company installed a long outfall to discharge the wastewater nearly 2 km offshore, which resulted in a stepwise improvement in intertidal species richness and diversity at the sites affected by the previous discharge. No such noticeable anthropogenic impacts have been detected at any of the SEM reef sites.

With the exception of Orapa and Mangati, the SEM reef sites are located outside of the influence of wastewater discharges. Norovirus analysis of mussels from Orapa and (close to) Mangati indicate that previously these sites have had shoreline contact with wastewater discharged from the Waitara and New Plymouth wastewater treatment plants respectively. Although there is evidence that these wastewater discharges have resulted in microbial contamination of shellfish at these sites, deleterious impacts of the wastewater discharges on intertidal species richness and diversity have not been detected through the Council's Rocky Shore SEM programme (Figure 5).

Poor land management practices have the potential to accelerate stream and river bank erosion, increasing the volume of sediment reaching the coast. The extent that sediment is deposited onto the SEM reef sites as a result of this anthropogenic source is difficult to detect/separate from deposition occurring through natural processes. However the adoption of good land management practices e.g. riparian fencing and planting, will aid to reduce anthropogenic impact of this nature.

5 General summary

Rocky reefs dominate the intertidal zone of the Taranaki coastline, particularly around the ring plain. Since spring 1994, the Council has conducted biannual surveys of intertidal communities at six rocky reef sites using a fixed transect random quadrat design as part of the SEM programme. This information is used to guide management decisions and enables the Council to assess the influence of natural processes and effectively take measures to mitigate the impacts of human activities on the coastal environment.

Natural environmental factors, including sand cover, wave exposure and reef geomorphology, appear to be the dominant drivers of species richness and diversity at the six SEM reef sites surveyed. Although subtle changes resulting from human activities can be difficult to identify, no noticeable anthropogenic impacts have been detected at any of the SEM reef sites to date.

Of the six sites surveyed over the 23 year period the intertidal communities at Manihi were the most species rich and diverse due to the low supply of sand and the presence of pools that provided a stable environment with many ecological niches. The intertidal communities at Waihi were the least species rich and diverse due to the high energy wave environment, lack of stable habitat and periodic sand inundation. A summary of the main factors affecting diversity and species composition at the six reef sites is provided in Table 4.

Sand deposition has been shown to have a profound effect on intertidal communities in Taranaki. The reef sites at Mangati and Greenwood Road were particularly prone to periodic sand inundation. Years of high sand accumulation at these sites resulted in lowered species richness and diversity. Trend analysis indicates that there has been a significant decrease in species richness and diversity at the Mangati and Greenwood Road reef sites, which appears to have been caused by an increased sand supply from the mountain, combined with oceanographic conditions that shift this sand onshore.

	Turangi	Orapa	Mangati	Greenwood	Manihi	Waihi
Sand cover	Sand cover low. Not prone to inundation.	Consistent high sand cover. Occasional inundation.	Prone to periodic sand inundation: Increasing in frequency.	Prone to periodic sand inundation: Increasing in frequency.	Very low sand cover. Not prone to inundation.	Prone to occasional inundation.
Wave exposure	Moderately wave exposed.	Moderately wave exposed.	Moderately wave exposed.	Moderately wave exposed.	Moderately wave exposed.	Very exposed, high energy wave environment.
Habitat complexity	Mix of different sized substrates.	Larger boulders and rocks less common.	Larger boulders and rocks less common.	Mix of different sized substrates.	Most diverse mix of substrates.	Rocks and boulders dominate.
Pools	Shallow pools.	Shallow pools.	Shallow pools.	Shallow and deeper pools when not inundated with sand.	Variety of shallow and deeper pools.	Fast draining site with few pools.
Under-boulder habitat	Rocks can become cemented to the substrate reducing the availability of under-boulder habitat.	Rocks can become cemented to the substrate reducing the availability of under-boulder habitat.	Rocks can become cemented to the substrate reducing the availability of under-boulder habitat.	Good availability of under-boulder habitat when not sand inundated.	Excellent availability and variety of under-boulder habitat.	Under-boulder habitat not stable due to high wave energy environment.
Tubeworm cover	Low tubeworm cover.	Large tubeworm colonies can cover reef at times, reducing habitat complexity.	Tubeworms present but not significantly impacting habitat complexity.	Tubeworms present but not significantly impacting habitat complexity.	Low tubeworm cover.	Occasional past high tubeworm cover.
Summary	Moderately diverse site. Under-boulder habitat can be reduced when rocks become cemented to the substrate.	Sand cover and dense colonies of tubeworms can reduce biodiversity at this site. Increase in tubeworm cover over the last two years with associated decrease in diversity.	Sand affected site. Sand inundation increasing in frequency following increased supply from the Stony River.	Diverse site when not inundated with sand. Sand inundation increasing in frequency following increased supply from the Stony River.	Most diverse SEM reef site due to low sand cover and high habitat complexity.	Least diverse SEM reef site, likely due to the high energy wave environment.

Table 4 Factors affecting diversity and species composition at the SEM reef sites

1. THAT monitoring of the six SEM reef sites continue at the same level as in 2016-2017.

Glossary of common terms and abbreviations

The following abbreviations and terms may be used within this report:

AnthropogenicCaused or produced by humans.BiomonitoringAssessing the health of the environment using aquatic organisms.CommunityAn ecological unit composed of a group of organisms or a population of different species occupying a particular area, usually interacting with each other and their environment.GastropodsSnails and slugs within a large taxonomic class (Gastropoda) within the phylum Mollusca.IntertidalThe intertidal zone, also known as the littoral zone, is the area of the foreshore and seabed that is exposed to the air at low tide and submerged at high tide, i.e. the area between tide marks.LittoralThe intertidal zone.MicrohabitatA habitat which is of small or limited extent and which differs in character from some surrounding more extensive habitat.Niche (ecological)An ecological niche is the role and position a species has in its environment; how it meets its needs for food and shelter, how it survives, and how it reproduces. A species' niche includes all of its interactions with the biotic and abiotic factors of its environment.PhysicochemicalMeasurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.PopulationA group of organisms of one species that interbreed and live in the same place at the same time.Resource consentRefer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Section 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).Ring plainOn the tranaki peninsula, a line of three cone volcanoes (Taranaki, Pouakai, and Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche,	5	
CommunityAn ecological unit composed of a group of organisms or a population of different species occupying a particular area, usually interacting with each other and their environment.GastropodsSnails and slugs within a large taxonomic class (Gastropoda) within the phylum Mollusca.IntertidalThe intertidal zone, also known as the littoral zone, is the area of the foreshore and seabed that is exposed to the air at low tide and submerged at high tide, i.e. the area between tide marks.LittoralThe intertidal zone.MicrohabitatA habitat which is of small or limited extent and which differs in character from some surrounding more extensive habitat.Niche (ecological)An ecological niche is the role and position a species has in its environment; how it meets its needs for food and shelter, how it survives, and how it reproduces. A species' niche includes all of its interactions with the biotic and abiotic factors of its environment.PhysicochemicalMeasurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.PopulationA group of organisms of one species that interbreed and live in the same place at the same time.Resource consentRefer Section 87 of the RMA, Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Section 15).Ring plainOn the Taranaki peninsula, a line of three cone volcanoes (Taranaki, Pouăkai, and Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche, lahar, and tephra deposits.RMAResource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.SpeciesRegarded as the basic categor	Anthropogenic	Caused or produced by humans.
species occupying a particular area, usually interacting with each other and their environment.GastropodsSnails and slugs within a large taxonomic class (Gastropoda) within the phylum Mollusca.IntertidalThe intertidal zone, also known as the littoral zone, is the area of the foreshore and seabed that is exposed to the air at low tide and submerged at high tide, i.e. the area between tide marks.LittoralThe intertidal zone.MicrohabitatA habitat which is of small or limited extent and which differs in character from some surrounding more extensive habitat.Niche (ecological)An ecological niche is the role and position a species has in its environment; how it meets its needs for food and shelter, how it survives, and how it reproduces. A species' niche includes all of its interactions with the biotic and abiotic factors of its environment.PhysicochemicalMeasurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.PopulationA group of organisms of one species that interbreed and live in the same place at the same time.Resource consentRefer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Section 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).Ring plainOn the Taranaki peninsula, a line of three cone volcanoes (Taranaki, Pouăkai, and Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche, lahar, and tephra deposits.RMAResource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.SpeciesRegarded as the basic category of biological classific	Biomonitoring	Assessing the health of the environment using aquatic organisms.
Mollusca.IntertidalThe intertidal zone, also known as the littoral zone, is the area of the foreshore and seabed that is exposed to the air at low tide and submerged at high tide, i.e. the area between tide marks.LittoralThe intertidal zone.MicrohabitatA habitat which is of small or limited extent and which differs in character from some surrounding more extensive habitat.Niche (ecological)An ecological niche is the role and position a species has in its environment; how it meets its needs for food and shelter, how it survives, and how it reproduces. A species' niche includes all of its interactions with the biotic and abiotic factors of its environment.PhysicochemicalMeasurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.PopulationA group of organisms of one species that interbreed and live in the same place at the same time.Resource consentRefer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Section 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).Ring plainOn the Taranaki peninsula, a line of three cone volcanoes (Taranaki, Pouăkai, and Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche, lahar, and tephra deposits.RMA <i>Resource Management Act</i> 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.SpeciesRegarded as the basic category of biological classification, composed of related individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are	Community	species occupying a particular area, usually interacting with each other and their
seabed that is exposed to the air at low tide and submerged at high tide, i.e. the area between tide marks.LittoralThe intertidal zone.MicrohabitatA habitat which is of small or limited extent and which differs in character from some surrounding more extensive habitat.Niche (ecological)An ecological niche is the role and position a species has in its environment; how it meets its needs for food and shelter, how it survives, and how it reproduces. A species' niche includes all of its interactions with the biotic and abiotic factors of its environment.PhysicochemicalMeasurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.PopulationA group of organisms of one species that interbreed and live in the same place at the same time.Resource consentRefer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Section 15).Ring plainOn the Taranaki peninsula, a line of three cone volcanoes (Taranaki, Pouäkai, and Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche, lahar, and tephra deposits.RMAResource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.SpeciesRegarded as the basic category of biological classification, composed of related individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are	Gastropods	
MicrohabitatA habitat which is of small or limited extent and which differs in character from some surrounding more extensive habitat.Niche (ecological)An ecological niche is the role and position a species has in its environment; how it meets its needs for food and shelter, how it survives, and how it reproduces. A species' niche includes all of its interactions with the biotic and abiotic factors of its environment.PhysicochemicalMeasurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.PopulationA group of organisms of one species that interbreed and live in the same place at the same time.Resource consentRefer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Section 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).Ring plainOn the Taranaki peninsula, a line of three cone volcanoes (Taranaki, Pouākai, and Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche, lahar, and tephra deposits.RMAResource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.SpeciesRegarded as the basic category of biological classification, composed of related individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are	Intertidal	seabed that is exposed to the air at low tide and submerged at high tide, i.e. the
some surrounding more extensive habitat.Niche (ecological)An ecological niche is the role and position a species has in its environment; how it meets its needs for food and shelter, how it survives, and how it reproduces. A species' niche includes all of its interactions with the biotic and abiotic factors of its environment.PhysicochemicalMeasurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.PopulationA group of organisms of one species that interbreed and live in the same place at the same time.Resource consentRefer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Sections 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).Ring plainOn the Taranaki peninsula, a line of three cone volcanoes (Taranaki, Pouäkai, and Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche, lahar, and tephra deposits.RMAResource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.SpeciesRegarded as the basic category of biological classification, composed of related individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are	Littoral	The intertidal zone.
meets its needs for food and shelter, how it survives, and how it reproduces. A species' niche includes all of its interactions with the biotic and abiotic factors of its environment.PhysicochemicalMeasurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.PopulationA group of organisms of one species that interbreed and live in the same place at the same time.Resource consentRefer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Sections 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).Ring plainOn the Taranaki peninsula, a line of three cone volcanoes (Taranaki, Pouākai, and Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche, lahar, and tephra deposits.RMAResource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.SpeciesRegarded as the basic category of biological classification, composed of related individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are	Microhabitat	
 chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment. Population A group of organisms of one species that interbreed and live in the same place at the same time. Resource consent Refer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Sections 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15). Ring plain On the Taranaki peninsula, a line of three cone volcanoes (Taranaki, Pouākai, and Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche, lahar, and tephra deposits. RMA Resource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments. Species Regarded as the basic category of biological classification, composed of related individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are 	Niche (ecological)	meets its needs for food and shelter, how it survives, and how it reproduces. A species' niche includes all of its interactions with the biotic and abiotic factors of its
the same time.Resource consentRefer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Sections 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).Ring plainOn the Taranaki peninsula, a line of three cone volcanoes (Taranaki, Pouākai, and Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche, lahar, and tephra deposits.RMAResource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.SpeciesRegarded as the basic category of biological classification, composed of related individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are	Physicochemical	chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an
Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Sections 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).Ring plainOn the Taranaki peninsula, a line of three cone volcanoes (Taranaki, Pouākai, and Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche, lahar, and tephra deposits.RMAResource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.SpeciesRegarded as the basic category of biological classification, composed of related individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are	Population	
Kaitake) is surrounded by a ring plain of avalanche, lahar, and tephra deposits.RMAResource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.SpeciesRegarded as the basic category of biological classification, composed of related individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are	Resource consent	Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Sections 12, 14 and 15), water
Species Regarded as the basic category of biological classification, composed of related individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are	Ring plain	•
individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are	RMA	Resource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.
	Species	individuals that resemble one another, are able to breed among themselves, but are

Bibliography and references

- Airoldi, L., Fabiano, M., Cinelli, F., 1996: Sediment deposition and movement over a turf assemblage in a shallow rocky coastal area of the Ligurian Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 133: 241-251
- Clark, D., Barter, P., Clement, D., Tremblay, L., Forrest, R., 2013: Whareroa Marine Outfall ecological investigation 2012. Cawthron Report No. 2348
- Cowie, N.A., 2009: Monitoring the Coastal Sand Wedge Outbreak from the Stony River Taranaki. Master of Science. University of Waikato.
- Howse, B.P.S., Black, K.P., Cole, R.G., & McComb, P.J., 2000: Port Taranaki Dredging consent renewal studies. Report 3: The relationship of sediment flux to abundance and sizes of paua and kina on New Plymouth reefs. Department of Earth Sciences, University of Auckland.
- Little, C., Williams, G.A., Trowbridge, C.D., 2010: The Biology of Rocky Shores.
- Matthews, E.R., 1977: The Movement of Sand on some Western Taranaki Beaches. Master of Science. University of Auckland.
- Morton, J., 2004: Seashore Ecology of New Zealand and the Pacific.
- Scarsbrook and McBride, 2007: Best practice guidelines for the statistical analysis of freshwater quality data, Version 1. Prepared by NIWA for Ministry for the Environment.
- Smith, D., 2013: Ecology of the New Zealand Rocky Shore Community: A Resource for NCEA Level 2 Biology
- Stark, J. D and Fowles, C. R., 2006: An approach to the evaluation of temporal trends in Taranaki state of the environment macroinvertebrate data. Report prepared by Cawthron Institute for the Taranaki Regional Council.
- Stirn, J., A. Avcin, I. Kerzan, B. M. Marcotte, N. Meith-Avcin, B. Vriser and S. Vukovic, 1973: Selected biological methods for assessment of marine pollution. *In*: Marine Pollution and Marine Waste Disposal, 307-327 pp. Proceedings of the 2nd International Congress, San Remo, 17-21 December 1973. Edited by E. A. Pearson and E. De Frangipane. Pergamon Press.
- Taranaki Catchment Commission and Regional Water Board, 1983: Marine Ecological Baseline Programme. Synthetic Petrol Plant – Motunui. Revision of the Random Quadrat Sampling Programme. Taranaki Catchment Commission, August 1983.
- Taranaki Catchment Commission and Regional Water Board, 1984: Marine Ecological Monitoring Programme: Waitara Outfall. Taranaki Catchment Commission, February 1984.
- Taranaki Catchment Commission and Regional Water Board, 1985: Waitara Regional Wastewater Disposal. Part 4. Marine Ecological Studies. Taranaki Catchment Commission, February 1985.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 1991: Taranaki Coastal Marine Area, Resource Description and Management Issues. Taranaki Regional Council, Stratford, New Zealand.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 1996: State of the Environment Taranaki Region 1996. Taranaki Regional Council, Stratford, July 1996.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 1997: State of the Environment Monitoring Procedures Document. Taranaki Regional Council, Stratford, July 1997.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 2002: State of the Environment Monitoring Report for 1998-2002 Coastal Marine Ecology Monitoring Hard-shore and Soft-shore Programmes. Technical Report 2002-63.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 2003: Taranaki Our Place, Our Future. Report on the state of the environment of the Taranaki region 2003. Taranaki Regional Council, Stratford.

- Taranaki Regional Council, 2005: State of the Environment Monitoring Hard-shore and Soft-shore Marine Ecological Programmes 2002-2005. Technical Report 2005-45.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 2005: State of the Environment Monitoring Hard-shore and Soft-shore Marine Ecological Programmes 2005-2006. Technical Report 2006-59.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 2006: State of the Environment Monitoring Hard-shore and Soft-shore Marine Ecological Programmes 2006-2007. Technical Report 2007-57.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 2007: State of the Environment Monitoring Hard-shore and Soft-shore Marine Ecological Programmes 2007-2008. Technical Report 2008-07.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 2008: State of the Environment Monitoring Hard-shore and Soft-shore Marine Ecological Programmes 2008-2009. Technical Report 2009-03.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 2014: Fonterra Whareroa Compliance Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2013-2014. Technical Report 2014-73.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 2015: Taranaki As One Taranaki Tāngata Tū Tahi State of the Environment Report 2015.
- Taranaki Regional Council, 2015: State of the Environment Rocky Shore Monitoring Report 2008-2015. Technical Report 2015-56.

Waitangi Tribunal Reports, 1983: Report of the Waitangi Tribunal on the Motunui-Waitara Claim (WAI 6).

Walsby, J.R. (1982) Marine ecological baseline programme NZSFC Synthetic Petrol Plant Motunui.

Appendix I

Species richness and diversity: Raw data & statistical summary

Turangi Reef

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
5 Oct 1994	13.80	0.918	0.84
2 Feb 1995	15.32	0.933	2.96
3 Mar 1995	17.84	0.956	4.88
18 Mar 1995	16.40	0.924	2.20
10 Oct 1995	17.20	0.947	1.32
21 Jan 1996	18.36	0.998	2.36
21 Mar 1996	14.56	0.746	18.64
28 Oct 1996	17.00	0.820	1.40
14 Jan 1997	17.64	0.911	1.16
8 Apr 1997	17.31	0.886	3.60
15 Oct 1997	18.52	0.858	4.59
31 Jan 1998	17.00	0.991	7.80
9 Oct 1998	17.60	1.010	2.48
18 Jan 1999	16.48	0.929	0.96
27 Oct 1999	16.72	0.977	2.00
25 Jan 2000	13.80	0.842	2.96
20 Apr 2000	13.52	0.881	1.40
17 Oct 2000	13.28	0.882	0.96
8 Jan 2001	15.56	0.929	1.04
19 Oct 2001	15.56	0.940	3.08
29 Jan 2002	15.92	0.912	0.60
9 Oct 2002	17.64	0.982	7.52
23 Jan 2003	16.16	0.939	9.88
25 Oct 2003	18.68	0.938	0.60
12 Jan 2004	15.92	0.901	0.40
16 Dec 2004	14.28	0.888	0.80
15 Oct 2005	12.08	0.909	3.20
5 Jan 2006	13.76	0.933	10.40
8 Oct 2006	18.28	0.939	7.92
21 Jan 2007	18.55	0.755	18.84
28 Oct 2007	18.20	0.842	1.00
24 Jan 2008	16.60	0.816	7.52
18 Oct 2008	14.00	0.787	0.40
11 Jan 2009	14.64	0.873	3.92

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
21 Oct 2009	14.60	0.902	4.08
31 Jan 2010	17.68	0.998	4.52
10 Sep 2010	11.68	0.766	1.04
21 Jan 2011	13.88	0.844	5.96
29 Sep 2011	15.24	0.834	16.44
24 Jan 2012	12.76	0.680	8.48
15 Oct 2012	15.16	0.833	1.33
14 Jan 2013	16.68	0.810	15.36
19 Sep 2013	16.48	0.861	2.84
3 Feb 2014	14.12	0.837	12.92
10 Sep 2014	14.04	0.873	11.52
20 Jan 2015	14.46	0.828	24.88
28 Sep 2015	15.68	0.864	2.52
22 Feb 2016	19.52	1.032	22.28
17 Oct 2016	14.12	0.821	1.60
31 Jan 2017	18.12	0.875	5.73
Mean	15.85	0.89	5.62
Median	15.92	0.89	3.02
Мах	19.52	1.03	24.88
Min	11.68	0.68	0.40

Orapa Reef

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
6 Oct 1994	13.40	0.899	3.08
2 Mar 1995	16.44	0.975	1.96
7 Oct 1995	16.04	1.002	1.76
18 Mar 1996	15.40	0.941	16.80
26 Oct 1996	16.00	0.885	4.56
7 Apr 1997	17.44	0.998	13.20
14 Oct 1997	17.76	1.017	2.92
3 Mar 1998	15.32	0.960	1.40
19 Oct 1998	15.92	0.972	4.20
1 Apr 1999	13.16	0.913	12.08
29 Oct 1999	14.20	0.956	8.03
20 Apr 2000	12.32	0.824	19.23
14 Oct 2000	14.24	0.913	3.12
10 Apr 2001	3.08	0.149	93.76
17 Oct 2001	16.23	0.962	8.27
16 Mar 2002	1.60	0.148	89.76
7 Oct 2002	16.80	0.993	5.00
18 Mar 2003	13.28	0.880	18.60
24 Oct 2003	16.52	0.974	4.76
23 Mar 2004	11.64	0.872	9.19
29 Sep 2004	11.72	0.812	3.00
19 Oct 2005	13.04	0.939	32.40
7 Oct 2006	17.00	0.992	20.84
20 Apr 2007	13.08	0.851	41.24
26 Oct 2007	14.76	0.899	57.52
25 Jan 2008	14.16	0.916	48.20
16 Oct 2008	12.08	0.764	40.42
13 Jan 2009	11.28	0.729	19.24
19 Oct 2009	11.08	0.755	36.16
1 Feb 2010	11.48	0.719	55.20
7 Sep 2010	10.32	0.694	32.80
20 Jan 2011	11.00	0.693	12.28
28 Sep 2011	10.16	0.726	23.20
25 Jan 2012	7.96	0.588	25.60

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
19 Sep 2012	11.08	0.767	31.00
11 Jan 2013	10.96	0.726	75.60
23 Sep 2013	14.64	0.899	31.40
29 Jan 2014	14.76	0.948	34.00
11 Sep 2014	15.64	0.970	32.00
6 Mar 2015	17.12	1.029	19.80
14 Sep 2015	17.72	1.048	33.40
11 Jan 2016	17.36	1.057	52.00
20 Sep 2016	12.92	0.890	57.60
10 Feb 2017	11.52	0.703	30.72
Mean	13.40	0.85	26.53
Median	13.40	0.90	20.84
Мах	17.76	1.06	93.76
Min	1.60	0.15	1.40

Mangati Reef

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
1 Feb 1995	15.04	0.950	4.40
26 Oct 1995	16.04	0.950	0.12
20 Jan 1996	14.88	0.933	0.28
27 Sep 1996	17.20	1.036	0.12
10 Jan 1997	16.64	0.969	0.48
15 Sep 1997	15.92	0.968	3.20
30 Jan 1998	16.96	1.052	8.11
20 Oct 1998	13.88	0.940	1.84
22 Jan 1999	14.75	0.921	2.62
8 Nov 1999	13.48	0.846	6.36
25 Jan 2000	13.92	0.878	12.12
30 Oct 2000	13.84	0.847	10.76
9 Jan 2001	11.80	0.747	14.40
3 Dec 2001	8.68	0.638	36.40
1 Feb 2002	8.76	0.625	22.96
22 Oct 2002	14.88	0.892	7.32
22 Jan 2003	14.36	1.002	23.24
30 Oct 2003	14.04	0.982	7.00
25 Jan 2004	13.04	0.967	9.19
13 Jan 2005	13.04	0.922	2.00
6 Jan 2006	8.48	0.752	39.20
9 Oct 2006	16.60	0.873	41.04
20 Jan 2007	3.44	0.277	85.00
24 Oct 2007	11.88	0.750	18.16
22 Jan 2008	16.08	0.984	27.04
14 Oct 2008	14.04	0.905	0.60
14 Jan 2009	15.52	0.995	2.76
16 Nov 2009	16.12	0.923	14.36
2 Feb 2010	16.48	0.993	0.64
6 Nov 2010	13.76	0.843	0.04
22 Jan 2011	13.40	0.870	12.56
1 Oct 2011	15.64	0.963	19.64
23 Jan 2012	11.04	0.707	40.80
30 Jan 2013	12.52	0.829	47.20

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
18 Oct 2013	10.71	0.733	48.40
1 Feb 2014	13.20	0.912	25.40
25 Sep 2014	12.80	0.954	16.80
19 Jan 2015	5.12	0.435	82.80
15 Sep 2015	10.28	0.587	1.00
9 Feb 2016	10.60	0.714	63.60
16 Nov 2016	14.28	0.876	9.80
11 Jan 2017	14.92	0.889	38.80
Mean	13.29	0.85	19.25
Median	13.90	0.90	11.44
Мах	17.20	1.05	85.00
Min	3.44	0.28	0.04

Greenwood Road Reef

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
17 Mar 1996	22.04	1.115	0.36
25 Oct 1996	18.72	1.037	0.24
9 Jan 1997	19.04	0.987	0.12
6 Apr 1997	20.60	1.110	0.00
16 Oct 1997	21.36	1.056	0.20
28 Jan 1998	18.84	0.990	0.08
8 Oct 1998	18.96	1.004	0.12
21 Jan 1999	17.55	0.922	0.40
26 Oct 1999	15.64	0.918	0.52
24 Jan 2000	18.84	1.003	0.44
14 Oct 2000	15.04	0.912	0.68
12 Jan 2001	16.04	0.948	0.56
18 Oct 2001	19.92	1.001	0.80
28 Jan 2002	18.00	1.017	6.04
8 Oct 2002	14.56	0.894	12.76
22 Jan 2003	17.44	0.956	0.60
25 Sep 2003	9.48	0.555	41.12
23 Oct 2003	9.48	0.649	61.04
10 Jan 2004	15.36	0.860	0.00
12 Nov 2004	15.84	0.907	0.00
13 Jan 2005	17.60	1.041	0.00
14 Mar 2005	16.12	1.028	0.40
18 Aug 2005	16.80	1.024	0.00
4 Jan 2006	15.48	0.996	0.00
7 Sep 2006	14.60	0.869	0.00
23 Jan 2007	19.00	0.975	2.92
26 Sep 2007	20.68	1.042	2.00
23 Jan 2008	23.76	1.147	1.24
16 Sep 2008	11.08	0.895	2.64
12 Jan 2009	16.48	0.978	1.40
20 Oct 2009	17.16	1.025	0.46
30 Jan 2010	15.96	0.937	1.96
11 Sep 2010	10.48	0.865	2.76
7 Oct 2010	5.40	0.764	76.00

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
30 Sep 2011	12.28	0.775	6.36
11 Jan 2012	12.24	0.874	24.20
21 Sep 2012	16.92	0.812	5.08
13 Jan 2013	18.56	0.744	7.52
20 Sep 2013	8.29	0.612	86.40
2 Feb 2014	11.24	0.864	7.60
9 Sep 2014	13.80	0.881	4.92
23 Jan 2015	0.16	0.024	98.40
30 Sep 2015	12.56	0.805	23.04
12 Feb 2016	17.88	0.802	23.60
18 Oct 2016	14.96	0.758	7.16
13 Jan 2017	17.48	0.792	20.96
Mean	15.65	0.90	11.59
Median	16.30	0.92	1.32
Мах	23.76	1.15	98.40
Min	0.16	0.02	0.00

Manihi Road Reef

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
25 Oct 1995	19.40	1.029	-
23 Jan 1996	20.08	1.066	0.04
14 Oct 1996	21.48	1.087	0.36
12 Jan 1997	23.16	1.136	0.32
16 Sep 1997	20.07	1.077	0.28
12 Mar 1998	21.04	1.070	0.96
21 Oct 1998	18.96	1.037	0.36
31 Mar 1999	17.23	1.005	0.28
9 Nov 1999	15.04	0.913	0.52
19 Apr 2000	18.64	1.019	0.56
27 Oct 2000	18.84	1.073	0.48
26 Mar 2001	17.20	0.956	0.60
30 Nov 2001	20.96	1.076	0.64
15 Mar 2002	18.07	0.973	0.56
21 Oct 2002	24.92	1.200	0.84
17 Mar 2003	21.00	1.120	0.28
23 Nov 2003	19.32	1.073	0.00
22 Mar 2004	17.76	1.089	0.00
27 Sep 2004	18.04	1.041	0.00
18 Oct 2005	15.48	0.981	0.00
1 Feb 2006	18.52	1.088	0.00
6 Oct 2006	20.48	1.068	0.80
18 Apr 2007	18.12	0.997	0.36
25 Oct 2007	21.84	1.099	0.64
7 Apr 2008	21.16	1.122	0.52
15 Nov 2008	19.84	1.077	0.40
10 Feb 2009	19.36	1.074	1.00
6 Nov 2009	18.72	1.053	0.36
31 Mar 2010	17.88	1.019	-
22 Feb 2011	17.84	1.031	-
29 Nov 2011	18.48	1.066	-
9 Feb 2012	15.24	0.886	-
28 Feb 2013	21.40	1.076	0.84
4 Dec 2013	19.80	1.039	2.50

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
28 Feb 2014	20.80	1.016	0.28
10 Oct 2014	21.40	1.064	0.00
20 Mar 2015	20.04	1.008	0.05
29 Sep 2015	20.04	1.029	0.70
12 Jan 2016	26.24	1.042	1.00
19 Sep 2016	17.92	1.020	0.77
13 Mar 2017	21.20	1.054	0.38
Mean	19.59	1.05	0.49
Median	19.40	1.05	0.39
Мах	26.24	1.20	2.50
Min	15.04	0.89	0.00

Waihi Reef

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
4 Nov 1994	13.32	0.958	1.24
15 Feb 1995	13.52	0.949	0.00
12 Jul 1995	12.00	0.907	2.96
24 Nov 1995	14.00	0.978	0.44
18 Feb 1996	16.96	1.033	1.64
10 Dec 1996	10.72	0.830	0.04
9 Feb 1997	12.52	0.921	2.68
25 Mar 1997	13.44	0.942	1.28
16 Nov 1997	13.12	0.951	2.68
14 Apr 1998	12.72	0.956	0.80
24 Apr 1998	14.20	0.938	0.96
2 Nov 1998	10.92	0.809	0.52
15 Feb 1999	11.84	0.796	2.12
16 Mar 1999	12.36	0.841	0.80
26 Nov 1999	10.40	0.809	1.96
18 Feb 2000	12.20	0.870	0.80
21 Mar 2000	12.00	0.883	2.36
15 Nov 2000	12.28	0.899	2.40
12 Feb 2001	11.28	0.903	2.96
17 Nov 2001	11.24	0.797	3.20
2 Mar 2002	11.16	0.853	1.80
26 Mar 2002	13.12	0.860	1.24
8 Nov 2002	12.58	0.939	4.62
19 Feb 2003	12.00	0.932	5.80
25 Nov 2003	11.92	0.928	21.80
8 Mar 2004	4.84	0.402	66.80
8 Feb 2005	8.56	0.782	3.00
3 Nov 2005	8.52	0.722	11.60
3 Feb 2006	7.12	0.673	2.80
6 Nov 2006	11.44	0.811	5.12
20 Feb 2007	10.44	0.853	12.12
26 Nov 2007	8.88	0.771	3.60
11 Feb 2008	13.12	0.954	1.36
13 Nov 2008	9.96	0.817	0.96

Date	Number of species per quadrat	Shannon Wiener index per quadrat	Percentage sand cover per quadrat
12 Feb 2009	8.76	0.791	3.24
3 Nov 2009	10.52	0.800	1.56
2 Mar 2010	11.16	0.852	3.52
8 Nov 2010	11.00	0.806	0.44
18 Feb 2011	11.60	0.828	2.91
11 Mar 2012	10.64	0.800	1.28
12 Nov 2012	11.88	0.817	3.44
27 Feb 2013	12.12	0.888	6.71
3 Dec 2013	12.68	0.932	1.38
15 Apr 2014	10.88	0.788	0.88
4 Nov 2014	8.96	0.824	0.76
19 Mar 2015	10.48	0.821	0.75
24 Nov 2015	9.60	0.848	1.44
9 Mar 2016	11.64	0.777	4.00
12 Dec 2016	9.20	0.787	1.08
27 Mar 2017	10.48	0.760	0.60
Mean	11.33	0.85	4.17
Median	11.52	0.84	1.88
Мах	16.96	1.03	66.80
Min	4.84	0.40	0.00

Appendix II

Mann Kendall test results: Unadjusted & sand adjusted species richness and diversity data

Site/Variable	Missing	Non- detects	Samples used	Sampling period	Mean	Maximum	Minimu m	Median value	Kendall statistic	Variance	Z	Р	Median Sen slope (annual)	Percent annual change	90% confidence limits for slope	Trend direction, Probability
Shannon-Wie ne	r Index															
Turangi	0	0		5/10/94-31/1/17	0.887	1.032	0.68	0.887	-344	14285	-2.869849	0.004107	-0.004193	-0.472707	-0.006323 to -0.001978	Decreasing
	Adjusted f	or % Sand		1% variance explained	1				-326	14291	-2.718674	0.006554	-0.003519	-0.396785	-0.005180 to -0.001593	Decreasing
Orapa	0	0	44	6/10/94-10/2/17	0.848795	1.057	0.148	0.899	-185	9770	-1.861564	0.062665	-0.005738	-0.638272	-0.011757 to -0.000611	Decreasing
	Adjusted f	or % Sand	cover 71.4	1% variance explained	ł				10	9775	0.091028	0.92747	0.00015	0.016681	-0.004274 to 0.005339	Increasing
Mangati	0	0	42	1/2/95-11/1/17	0.853071	1.052	0.277	0.8985	-230	8513	-2.481908	0.013068	-0.006107	-0.679697	-0.011595 to -0.002091	Decreasing
	Adjusted f	`or % Sand	cover 60.0	% variance explained	1				33	8514	0.346796	0.728744	0.00073	0.081193	-0.002783 to 0.003864	Increasing
Greenwood	0	0	46	17/3/96-13/1/17	0.895	1.147	0.024	0.92	-465	11155	-4.393222	0.000011	-0.012394	-1.347127	-0.016194 to -0.008734	Decreasing
	Adjusted f	or % Sand	cover 65.8	3% variance explained	1				-123	11155	-1.155115	0.248044	-0.002331	-0.25336	-0.005336 to 0.000872	Decreasing
Manihi	0	0	36	23/1/96-13/3/17	1.053278	1.2	0.913	1.065	-67	5387	-0.899229	0.368531	-0.001191	-0.111832	-0.003104 to 0.000762	Decreasing
	Adjusted f	or % Sand	cover 14.6	5% variance explained	1				-112	5390	-1.511919	0.130554	-0.001569	-0.147366	-0.002965 to 0.000135	Decreasing
Waihi	0	0		4/11/94-27/3/17	0.84772	1.033	0.402	0.8445	-462	14285	-3.857143	0.000115	-0.005969	-0.706851	-0.008160 to -0.003627	Decreasing
	Adjusted f	`or % Sand	cover 48.2	2% variance explained	1				-475	14290	-3.96522	0.000073	-0.005921	-0.701084	-0.007719 to -0.003389	Decreasing
No. of Species																
Turangi	0	0	50	5/10/94-31/1/17	15.848	19.52	11.68	15.92	-157	14284	-1.305283	0.191797	-0.06619	-0.415766	-0.141870 to 0.014262	Decreasing
	Adjusted f	or % Sand	cover 15.3	% variance explained	1				-198	14291	-1.647935	0.099366	-0.078104	-0.490605	-0.134805 to -0.000175	Decreasing
Orapa	0	0	44	6/10/94-10/2/17	13.400682	17.76	1.6	13.78	-194	9773	-1.952252	0.050908	-0.129964	-0.943134	-0.253690 to -0.019570	Decreasing
	Adjusted f	`or % Sand	cover 61.2	2% variance explained	1				8	9775	0.0708	0.943557	0.003116	0.02261	-0.087193 to 0.114243	Increasing
Mangati	0	0	42	1/2/95-11/1/17	13.287143	17.2	3.44	13.9	-244	8511	-2.63395	0.00844	-0.151689	-1.091284	-0.232284 to -0.064204	Decreasing
	Adjusted f	or % Sand	cover 58.6	5% variance explained	1				13	8514	0.130049	0.896528	0.004245	0.030542	-0.072262 to 0.071675	Increasing
Greenwood	0	0	-	17/3/96-13/1/17	15.646087	23.76	0.16	16.3	-357	11153	-3.370964	0.000749	-0.303372	-1.861177	-0.462351 to -0.164495	Decreasing
	Adjusted f	or <mark>% Sand</mark>	cover 64.6	5% variance explained	1				-195	11155	-1.836821	0.066236	-0.131057	-0.804032	-0.224923 to -0.012276	Decreasing
Manihi	0	0		23/1/96-13/3/17	19.838056	26.24	15.04	19.94	64	5388	0.858276	0.39074	0.047173	0.236572	-0.040901 to 0.151428	Increasing
	Adjusted f	or % Sand	cover 30.2	2% variance explained	1				56	5390	0.749149	0.453767	0.035491	0.177987	-0.053747 to 0.116522	Increasing
Waihi	0	0		4/11/94-27/3/17	11.326	16.96	4.84	11.52	-469	14280	-3.916305	0.00009	-0.128786	-1.11793	-0.177846 to -0.084396	Decreasing
	Adjusted f	or % Sand	cover 29.9	% variance explained	ł				-479	14290	-3.998682	0.000064	-0.123848	-1.075069	-0.173186 to -0.074896	Decreasing

Mann-Kendall test results showing unadjusted and sand adjusted trends analysis for number of species per quadrat and Shannon Wiener index per quadrat

Appendix III

Species list

Algal and plant species identified during the July 2015 to June 2017 surveys, showing the percentage of quadrats each species were present in.

Phylum	Species	Presence in quadrats (%)									
		Turangi	Orapa	Mangati	Greenwoo d	Manihi	Waihi				
Chlorophyta	Chaetomorpha coliformis	39	91	68	85	34	-				
(green algae)	Cladophoropsis herpestica	-	-	-	1	-	-				
	Ulva intestinalis	-	-	16	12	-	-				
	Ulva spp.	8	60	51	44	48	3				
Phyaeophyta (brown algae)	Carpophyllum maschalocarpum	-	-	-	_	5	-				
-	Colpomenia spp.	19	17	-	53	52	-				
	Cystophora torulosa	-	-	-	-	6	-				
	Dictyota spp.	1	-	-	-	-	-				
	Endarachne binghamiae	-	-	-	14	-	-				
	Hormosira banksii	-	-	-	-	97	-				
	Leathesia sp.	-	-	-	19	-	-				
	Notheia anomala	_	-	-	-	8	-				
	Petalonia fascia	_	-	-	-	-	-				
	Ralfsia verrucosa	80	76	85	99	100	96				
	Scytothamnus australis	3	-	-	51	20	1				
	Splachnidium rugosum	1	-	-	-	4	-				
	Xiphophora gladiata	-	-	1	-	-	-				
Rhodophyta	Champia sp.	15	33	1	66	36	1				
(red algae)	Cladostephus spongiosus		_	_	26		_				
	Encrusting coralline spp. (coralline paint)	97	85	79	99	99	100				
	Geniculate coralline spp. including <i>Corallina</i> officinalis (coralline turf)	97	93	94	100	100	1				
	Echinothamnion spp.	-	-	-	18	-	-				
	Gelidium caulacantheum	69	6	8	4	3	44				
	Gigartina spp.	12	17	2	29	3	10				
	Hymenena spp.	-		-	4	-	-				
	Jania spp.	13	1	59	-	-	-				
	Laurencia thyrsiflora	21	14	3	1	33	-				
	Polysiphonia strictissima	1	-	_	-	1	-				
	Porphyra columbina	3	-	21	17	-	_				

Phylum	Species	Presence in quadrats (%)									
		Turangi	Orapa	Mangati	Greenwoo d	Manihi	Waihi				
		1		1	1	1					
Unidentified algae	Unidentified algal species (at least 7 different species)	37	16	11	76	42	1				
Anthophyta	Zostera muelleri	-	13	-	5	-					

Animal species identified during the July 2015 to June 2017, showing the percentage of quadrats each species were present in

			Presence in quadrats (%)							
Phylum	Class	Species	Turangi	Orapa	Mangati	Greenwood	Manihi	Waihi		
		Neosabellaria kaiparaensis	68	97	87	94	56	74		
		Scale worm spp.	4	2	1	1	2	-		
Annelida	Delahasta	Spirobranchus cariniferus	87	75	82	79	87	97		
Annelida	Polychaeta	Spirorbis sp.	48	67	7	44	96	3		
		Unidentified polychaete spp.	3	3	1	5	15	2		
		Large sand- tubeworm	2	1	-	-	2	1		
		Alope spinifrons	1	-	-	-	-	-		
		Callianassa filholi	-	-	-	1	-	-		
		Halicarcinus spp.	5	5	3	-	-	-		
		Hemigrapsus sexdentatus	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Heterozius rotundifrons	1	1	-	-	6	2		
		Isopod spp.	9	4	6	10	6	-		
		Leptograpsus variegatus	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Crustacea	Malacostraca	Notomithrax ursus	1	-	-	1	-	-		
		Ozius truncatus	2	-	-	-	-	-		
		Pagurus spp.	45	19	14	30	15	1		
		Palaemon affinis	9	4	1	-	1	2		
		Petrolisthes elongatus	56	26	8	6	72	43		
		Plagusia chabrus	6	1	1	3	2	-		
		Squilla armata	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Tetraclitella purpurascens	6	-	-	1	10	1		
		Amphipod spp.	15	15	11	11	33	10		
Crustacea	Maxillopoda	Austrominius modestus	4	-	-	3	3	38		
		Chamaesipho columna	92	61	79	60	95	97		
		Epopella plicata	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Brachiopoda		Unidentified brachiopod sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-		

			Presence in quadrats (%)							
Phylum	Class	Species	Turangi	Orapa	Mangati	Greenwood	Manihi	Waihi		
Chordata	Tunicata	Tunicate spp.	13	1	-	1	27	-		
		Clingfish spp. including Haplocylix littoreus and Trachelochismus pinnulatus	-	-	-	3	8	3		
		Parablennius laticlavius	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Chordata	Vertebrates	Acanthoclinus littoreus	1	-	-	-	1	-		
		Triplefin spp. including Forsterygion lapillum	-	_	1	-	7	-		
		Karalepis stewarti	1	-	-	-	-	-		
		Unidentified fish spp.	3	-	-	-	-	-		
Cnidaria	Anthozoa	Actinia tenebrosa	-	-	1	-	1	-		
		Isactinia olivacea	46	35	27	4	20	2		
		Oulactis magna	-	-	1	2	-	-		
		Oulactis muscosa	-	-	-	1	12	2		
	Asterozoa	Astrostole scabra	1	-	-	-	-	1		
		Coscinasterias muricata	5	-	-	-	-	1		
Echinodermata		Ophionerias fasciata	6	2	1	-	30	-		
		Patiriella regularis	14	24	4	-	35	2		
	Echinozoa	Evechinus chloroticus	rias 5	-	2	-				
		Barnea similis	-	-	-	-	27 8 3 - 1 7 - 1 20 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 30 35	-		
		Perna canaliculus	-	1	-	-	1	-		
		Protothaca crassicosta	2	1	-	4	13	1		
	Bivalvia	Saccostrea cucullata	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Mollusca		Xenostrobus pulex	3	5	61	3	-	1		
		Unidentified bivalve					12	-		
-	Carline	Alloiodoris lanuginata	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Gastropoda	Aphelodoris luctuosa	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Dia La ca	Chart	C i	Presence in quadrats (%)							
Phylum	Class	Species	Turangi	Orapa	Mangati	Greenwood	Manihi	Waihi		
		Atalacmea fragilis	-	-	-	-	10	2		
		Buccinulum spp.	6	2	2	2	20	-		
		Cantharidella tesselata	20	22	46	66	8	1		
		Cantharidus sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Cellana ornata	8	8	1	1	39	3		
		Cellana radians	17	14	44	34	50	79		
		Cominella maculosa	16	13	4	-	18	-		
		Cookia sulcata	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Dendrodoris sp.	-	-	-	-	1	-		
		Dicathais orbita	4	-	-	6	-	-		
		Diloma bicanaliculata	2	-	-	-	10	-		
		Diloma nigerrima	-	1	-	1	-	-		
		Diloma zelandica	2	1	45	97	-	24		
		Epitonium jukesianum	-	1	1	-	8	-		
		Flabellina albomarginata	-	-	-	-	-	1		
		Haliotis iris	-	-	-	-	1	-		
		Haustrum haustorium	17	2	2	1	25	17		
		Haustrum scobina	59	52	6	3	65	74		
		Jorunna sp.	2	-	-	1	-	-		
		Margarella sp.	1	2	-	-	-	-		
		Diloma aethiops	68	55	41	5	96	50		
		Notoacmea spp.	45	44	53	26	74	40		
		Onchidella nigricans	4	12	1	1	19	2		
		Patelloida corticata	1	-	-	-	-	-		
		Pleurobranchaea novazelandiae	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Scutus breviculus	3	-	-	-	2	-		
		Siphonaria australis	1	1	17	72	6	3		
		Lunella smaragda	91	76	33	22	58	13		
		<i>Xymene</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	_		

21			Presence in quadrats (%)							
Phylum	Class	Species	Turangi	Orapa	Mangati	Greenwood	Manihi	Waihi		
		Zeacumantus lutelentus	8	3	20	-	10	-		
		Acanthochitona zelandica	18	25	1	1	15	2		
		Chiton glaucus	69	56	11	12	89	30		
	Polyplacophora	Ischnochiton maorianus	42	29	7	3	65	3		
		Notoplax violacea	-	-	_	-	-	-		
		Rhyssoplax aerea	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Sypharochiton pelliserpentis	25	30	6	4	24	22		
		Sypharochiton sinclairi	37	52	5	10	39	10		
Nemertea		Nemertine worm spp.	-	-	2	-	8	5		
Platyhelminthes		Flatworm spp.	12	8	8	-	-	1		
		Tethya butoni	-	-	-	-	10	-		
Porifera		Tethya aurantium	4		-	-	-	-		
		UID grey sponge	2	1	-	12	6	-		
Cinuncula		Peanut worm	1	-	-	1	-	-		
Sipuncula		UID sipunculid	1	1	-	-	2	-		