Todd Energy Limited Mangahewa-C Hydraulic Fracturing Monitoring Programme Biennial Report 2014-2016

Technical Report 2015-46

ISSN: 1178-1467 (Online) Document: 1571457 (Word) Document: 1572863 (Pdf) Taranaki Regional Council Private Bag 713 STRATFORD

November 2015

Executive summary

Todd Energy Limited (Todd) operate the Mangahewa-C wellsite, located at Turangi Road, Motunui. The wellsite lies within the Waiau catchment and contains six hydrocarbon producing wells and associated infrastructure.

Todd hold resource consent 7971-2, authorising the discharge of contaminants associated with hydraulic fracturing activities into land at depths greater than 3,290 m TVDss beneath the Mangahewa-C wellsite. The consent was issued by Taranaki Regional Council (the Council) on 30 June 2014 and contains 17 special conditions which set out the requirements that Todd must satisfy.

The following report for the period October 2014 to July 2015 outlines and discusses the results of the monitoring programme implemented by the Council in relation to the programme of hydraulic fracturing undertaken by Todd, within their Mangahewa-C wellsite. The report also assesses Todd's level of environmental performance and compliance with the resource consent held in relation to the activity.

During the monitoring period, Todd demonstrated an overall high level of environmental performance.

The programme of hydraulic fracturing was undertaken on the Mangahewa-09, Mangahewa-11, Mangahewa-12, Mangahewa-13, Mangahewa-14 and Mangahewa-15 wells and took place between May 2012 and July 2014.

The programme of monitoring implemented by the Council in relation to fracturing activities commenced in the 2011-2012 monitoring year. The results of monitoring undertaken between April 2012 and May 2013 were presented in the 2011-2013 biennial report (Taranaki Regional Council, 2013). The results of monitoring undertaken between August 2013 and October 2014 were presented in the 2013-2015 biennial report (Taranaki Regional Council, 2015). This report covers additional post-hydraulic fracturing monitoring. Monitoring included groundwater sampling at five sites and analysis of samples for a range of chemical and isotopic analysis.

The results of the monitoring carried out by the Council indicate that the hydraulic fracturing activities undertaken by Todd have had no adverse effects on local groundwater resources. There were no unauthorised incidents recording non-compliance in respect of the resource consent, or provisions in regional plans, during the period under review.

Todd demonstrated a high level of environmental and administrative performance and compliance with the resource consent over the reporting period.

For reference, in the 2014-2015 year, 75% of consent holders in Taranaki monitored through tailored compliance monitoring programmes achieved a high level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents, while another 22% demonstrated a good level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents.

This report includes recommendations for the 2015-2016 year.

Table of contents

Page

1.	Intro	duction	1		
	1.1	Compliance monitoring programme reports and the Resource			
		Management Act 1991	1		
		1.1.1 Introduction	1		
		1.1.2 Structure of this report	1		
		1.1.3 The Resource Management Act 1991 and monitoring	2		
	12	Process description	2 4		
	1.2	121 Hydraulic fracturing	1		
		1.2.2 Mangahewa-C wellsite history	4		
	1.3	Resource consents	7		
		1.3.1 Discharges onto and into land	7		
	1.4	Monitoring programme	8		
		1.4.1 Introduction	8		
		1.4.2 Programme liaison and management	9		
		1.4.3 Review of consent holder submitted data	9		
		1.4.4 Chemical sampling	9		
2.	Results				
	2.1	2.1 Groundwater sampling			
	2.2	Carbon isotope analysis	14		
	2.3	Investigations, interventions, and incidents	15		
3.	Discu	assion	16		
	3.1 Environmental effects of hydraulic fracturing on useable freahwater				
	resources		16		
	3.2	2 Evaluation of performance			
	3.3	3 Recommendations from the 2013-2015 Biennial Report			
	3.4	Alterations to monitoring programmes for 2016-2017			
	3.5 Exercise of optional review of consent		18		
4.	Reco	mmendations	20		
Glo	ssary of	f common terms and abbreviations	21		
Bibl	iograpl	ny and references	22		
App	oendix 1	I Resource consents held by Todd			

Appendix II Groundwater Chemistry Results

List of tables

Table 1	Summary of hydraulic fracturing activity at the Mangahewa-C wellsite			
	(2013-2014	5		
Table 2	Details of groundwater sites included in the monitoring programme	9		
Table 3	Results of carbon isotope analysis at private water supplies	15		

List of figures

Figure 1	Location of Mangahewa-C wellsite	6
Figure 2	Location of groundwater sampling sites in relation to the Mangahewa-C	
-	wellsite	11
Figure 3	Results of pH analysis at private water supplies	12
Figure 4	Results of electrical conductivity analysis at private water supplies	13
Figure 5	Results of total dissolved solids analysis at private water supplies	13
Figure 6	Results of chloride analysis at private water supplies	14
Figure 7	Results of methane analysis at private water supplies	14

1. Introduction

1.1 Compliance monitoring programme reports and the Resource Management Act 1991

1.1.1 Introduction

The following report outlines and discusses the results of the monitoring programme implemented by the Taranaki Regional Council (the Council) in relation to the programme of hydraulic fracturing undertaken by Todd Energy Limited (Todd) at their Mangahewa-C wellsite, Turangi Road, Motunui over the period May 2012 - July 2014. The wellsite is located in the Waiau catchment. The report also assesses Todd's level of environmental performance and compliance with the resource consents held in relation to the activity.

The programme of hydraulic fracturing undertaken by Todd at the Mangahewa-C wellsite included the fracturing of six wells; Mangahewa-09, Mangahewa-11, Mangahewa-12, Mangahewa-13, Mangahewa-14 and Mangahewa-15. The monitoring programme implemented by the Council commenced during the 2011-2012 period. The programme has consisted of groundwater monitoring components. Surface water monitoring in relation to hydraulic fracturing events was not in practice by the Council when this well was first fractured.

A report was completed in September 2013 which outlined and discussed the results of the monitoring carried out during the 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 monitoring periods (Taranaki Regional Council, 2013). Another report was completed in July 2015 which provided an update on the results of further monitoring carried out since the initial report was issued and includes monitoring undertaken in the 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 monitoring period (Taranaki Regional Council, 2015). The following report provides an update on further monitoring carried out in the 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 monitoring periods.

1.1.2 Structure of this report

Section 1 of this report is a background section. It sets out general information about compliance monitoring under the RMA and the Council's obligations and general approach to monitoring sites though annual programmes, the resource consents held by Todd in the Waiau catchment, the nature of the monitoring programme in place for the period under review, and a description of the activities and operations conducted in Todd's site/catchment.

Section 2 presents the results of monitoring during the period under review, including scientific and technical data.

Section 3 discusses the results, their interpretations, and their significance for the environment.

Section 4 presents recommendations to be implemented in the 2016-2017 monitoring year.

A glossary of common abbreviations and scientific terms, and a bibliography, are presented at the end of the report.

1.1.3 The Resource Management Act 1991 and monitoring

The RMA primarily addresses environmental 'effects' which are defined as positive or adverse, temporary or permanent, past, present or future, or cumulative. Effects may arise in relation to:

- (a) the neighbourhood or the wider community around an activity, and may include cultural and social-economic effects;
- (b) physical effects on the locality, including landscape, amenity and visual effects;
- (c) ecosystems, including effects on plants, animals, or habitats, whether aquatic or terrestrial;
- (d) natural and physical resources having special significance (for example recreational, cultural, or aesthetic);
- (e) risks to the neighbourhood or environment.

In drafting and reviewing conditions on discharge permits, and in implementing monitoring programmes, the Council is recognising the comprehensive meaning of 'effects' inasmuch as is appropriate for each activity. Monitoring programmes are not only based on existing permit conditions, but also on the obligations of the RMA to assess the effects of the exercise of consents. In accordance with section 35 of the RMA, the Council undertakes compliance monitoring for consents and rules in regional plans, and maintains an overview of the performance of resource users and consent holders. Compliance monitoring, including both activity and impact monitoring, enables the Council to continually re-evaluate its approach and that of consent holders to resource management and, ultimately, through the refinement of methods and considered responsible resource utilisation, to move closer to achieving sustainable development of the region's resources.

1.1.4 Evaluation of environmental and administrative performance

Besides discussing the various details of the performance and extent of compliance by the consent holder during the period under review, this report also assigns a rating as to Todd's environmental and administrative performance.

Environmental performance is concerned with <u>actual or likely effects</u> on the receiving environment from the activities during the monitoring year.

Administrative performance is concerned with the Company's approach to demonstrating consent compliance in site operations and management including the timely provision of information to Council (such as contingency plans and water take data) in accordance with consent conditions.

Events that were beyond the control of the consent holder <u>and</u> unforeseeable (that is a defence under the provisions of the RMA can be established) may be excluded with regard to the performance rating applied. For example loss of data due to a flood destroying deployed field equipment.

The categories used by the Council for this monitoring period, and their interpretation, are as follows:

Environmental Performance

- **High:** No or inconsequential (short-term duration, less than minor in severity) breaches of consent or regional plan parameters resulting from the activity; no adverse effects of significance noted or likely in the receiving environment .The Council did not record any verified unauthorised incidents involving significant environmental impacts and was not obliged to issue any abatement notices or infringement notices in relation to such impacts.
- **Good:** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were negligible or minor at most. There were some such issues noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports, but these items were not critical, and follow-up inspections showed they have been dealt with. These minor issues were resolved positively, co-operatively, and quickly. The Council was not obliged to issue any abatement notices or infringement notices in relation to the minor non-compliant effects; however abatement notices may have been issued to mitigate an identified potential for an environmental effect to occur.

For example:

- High suspended solid values recorded in discharge samples, however the discharge was to land or to receiving waters that were in high flow at the time;
- Strong odour beyond boundary but no residential properties or other recipient nearby.
- **Improvement required:** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were more than minor, but not substantial. There were some issues noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports. Cumulative adverse effects of a persistent minor non-compliant activity could elevate a minor issue to this level. Abatement notices and infringement notices may have been issued in respect of effects.
- **Poor:** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were significant. There were some items noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports. Cumulative adverse effects of a persistent moderate non-compliant activity could elevate an 'improvement required' issue to this level. Typically there were grounds for either a prosecution or an infringement notice in respect of effects.

Administrative performance

- **High:** The administrative requirements of the resource consents were met, or any failure to do this had trivial consequences and were addressed promptly and co-operatively.
- **Good:** Perhaps some administrative requirements of the resource consents were not met at a particular time, however this was addressed without repeated

interventions from the Council staff. Alternatively adequate reason was provided for matters such as the no or late provision of information, interpretation of 'best practical option' for avoiding potential effects, etc.

- **Improvement required:** Repeated interventions to meet the administrative requirements of the resource consents were made by Council staff. These matters took some time to resolve, or remained unresolved at the end of the period under review. The Council may have issued an abatement notice to attain compliance.
- **Poor**: Material failings to meet the administrative requirements of the resource consents. Significant intervention by the Council was required. Typically there were grounds for an infringement notice.

For reference, in the 2014-2015 year, 75% of consent holders in Taranaki monitored through tailored compliance monitoring programmes achieved a high level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents, while another 22% demonstrated a good level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents.

1.2 Process description

1.2.1 Hydraulic fracturing

Hydraulic fracturing is a reservoir stimulation technique used to increase the flow of hydrocarbons to the surface. The primary objective of hydraulic fracturing is to increase the permeability of the target reservoir by creating numerous small, interconnected fractures, thus increasing the flow of hydrocarbons from the formation to a given well. The process of hydraulic fracturing has enabled companies to produce hydrocarbons at economically viable rates from extremely low permeability reservoirs and those that have become depleted using 'traditional' production techniques.

The process of hydraulic fracturing involves the pumping of fluids (consisting of freshwater and a small volume of chemicals) and a proppant (medium-grained sand or small ceramic pellets) down a well, through a perforated section of the well casing, and into the target reservoir. The fluid mixture is pumped at a pressure that exceeds the fracture strength of the reservoir rock in order to create fractures. Once fractures have been initiated, pumping continues in order to force the fluid and proppant into the fractures created. The proppant is designed to keep the fractures open when the pumping is stopped. The placement of proppant into the fractures is assisted by the use of cross-linked gels. These are solutions, which are liquid at the surface but, when mixed, form long-chain polymer bonds and thus become gels that transport the proppant into the formation. Once in the formation these gels 'break' back with time and temperature to a liquid state and are flowed back to surface without disturbing the proppant wedge. With continued flow, fluids pumped as part of hydraulic fracturing process, formation fluids and hydrocarbons are drawn to the surface.

1.2.2 Mangahewa-C wellsite history

The Mangahewa-C wellsite has been in operation since 2006. It lies in an active petrochemical exploration and production area, which operates alongside rural and farming operations. The area consists of predominantly low density housing due to its

rural location. The topography of the site is flat countryside. The well site is located approximately 250 m east of an unnamed tributary of the Waiau Stream and 270 m west of another tributary of the same stream.

A summary of all hydraulic fracturing activities carried out by Todd at the Mangahewa-C wellsite is provided below in Table 1. The location of the wellsite is illustrated in Figure 1.

Well	Wellsite	Consent	Date	Injection zone (m TVDss)	Formation
Mangahewa-09			20/05/2013	4,082 - 4,086	Mangahewa
Mangahewa-11			9/05/2012	4,103 - 4,280	Mangahewa
Mangahewa-12	Mangahewa-C		31/08/2013	3,557 – 4,227	Mangahewa
Mangahewa-13		7971-1	31-08-2013	4,124 - 4,206	Mangahewa
Mangahewa-14			27/10/2013	4,105- 4,107 and 4,186 – 4,188*	Mangahewa
Mangahewa-15			25/10/2013	4,074-4,089, 4,108-4,116 and 4,158-4,162*	Mangahewa
Mangahewa-15		7971-2	3/07/2014	4,185 – 4,201*	Mangahewa

 Table 1
 Summary of hydraulic fracturing activity at the Mangahewa-C wellsite (2013-2014)

*mTVDBRT = metres true vertical depth below rotary table

A report was completed in September 2013 which outlined and discussed the results of the monitoring carried out during the 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 monitoring periods (Taranaki Regional Council, 2013). Another report was completed in July 2015 which provided an update on the results of further monitoring carried out since the initial report was issued and includes monitoring undertaken in the 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 monitoring period (Taranaki Regional Council, 2015). The following report provides an update on further monitoring carried out in the 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 monitoring periods.



Figure 1 Location of Mangahewa-C wellsite

1.3 Resource consents

1.3.1 Discharges onto and into land

Sections 15(1)(b) of the RMA stipulate that no person may discharge any contaminant onto or into land, which may result in that contaminant (or any other contaminant emanating as a result of natural processes from that contaminant) entering water, unless the activity is expressly allowed for by a resource consent, a rule in a regional plan, or by national regulations.

Todd holds resource consent **7971-2**, authorising the discharge of contaminants into land at the Mangahewa-C wellsite. Consent 7971-1 was granted to Todd Energy Limited on 20 January 2012. It is under this consent that Mangahewa-09, Mangahewa-11, Mangahewa-12, Mangahewa-13, Mangahewa-14 and Mangahewa-15 were hydraulically fractured. The consent was reviewed and four extra consent conditions were added and the consent became 7971-2 on 30 June 2014. It is under this consent that the second fracturing event at Mangahewa-15 took place, in July 2014. Consent 7971-1 contains 13 special conditions which set out the requirements that Todd must satisfy. The special conditions attached to each consent are summarised below:

Consent 7971-1

Condition 1 stipulates the minimum depth below which the injection of hydraulic fracturing fluids must occur.

Condition 2 stipulates the distance horizontally from the wellsite past which discharge may not occur.

Condition 3 requires the consent holder to ensure that the exercising of the consent does not result in any contaminants reaching any useable freshwater aquifers.

Conditions 4 and 5 relate to fresh water monitoring requirements, to allow compliance with condition 3 to be assessed.

Condition 6 is a notification requirement.

Condition 7 requires the consent holder to submit a pre-fracturing discharge report at least 10 working days before the discharge commences.

Condition 8 requires the consent holder to submit a post-fracturing discharge report after the completion of the hydraulic fracturing programme.

Condition 9 stipulates how the reports required by conditions 7 and 8 are to be submitted.

Condition 10 requires the consent holder to allow the Council access to a location where samples of hydraulic fracturing and return fluids can be obtained.

Condition 11 requires the consent holder to adopt best practicable options.

Condition 12 relates to the composition of the fracturing fluid.

Condition 13 is a review provision.

Consent 7971-2

Condition 1 stipulates the minimum depth below which the injection of hydraulic fracturing fluids must occur.

Condition 2 stipulates the date after which no hydraulic fracturing discharge is to occur.

Condition 3 requires the consent holder to ensure that the exercising of the consent does not result in any contaminants reaching any useable freshwater.

Conditions 4, 5, 6 and 7 relate to fresh water monitoring requirements, to allow compliance with condition 3 to be assessed.

Condition 8 requires the consent holder to carry out pressure testing of equipment prior to discharging.

Condition 9 requires the consent holder to submit a pre-fracturing discharge report at least 14 working days before the discharge commences.

Condition 10 is a notification requirement.

Condition 11 requires the consent holder to submit a post-fracturing discharge report within 90 days of the commencement date of hydraulic fracturing.

Condition 12 stipulates how the reports required by conditions 9 and 11 are to be submitted.

Condition 13 requires the consent holder to allow the Council access to a location where samples of hydraulic fracturing and return fluids can be obtained.

Condition 14 requires the consent holder to adopt best practicable options.

Condition 15 relates to the composition of the fracturing fluid.

Condition 16 is a lapse clause.

Condition 17 is a review provision.

1.4 Monitoring programme

1.4.1 Introduction

Section 35 of the RMA sets obligations upon the Council to gather information, monitor, and conduct research on the exercise of resource consents, and the effects arising, within the Taranaki region and report upon these.

The Council may therefore make and record measurements of physical and chemical parameters, take samples for analysis, carry out surveys and inspections, conduct investigations, and seek information from consent holders.

The monitoring programme implemented in relation to the hydraulic fracturing of the Mangahewa-C wells consisted of three primary components.

1.4.2 Programme liaison and management

There is generally a significant investment of time and resources by the Council in:

- ongoing liaison with resource consent holders over consent conditions and their interpretation and application;
- in discussion over monitoring requirements;
- preparation for any reviews;
- renewals;
- new consents;
- advice on the Council's environmental management strategies and content of regional plans and;
- consultation on associated matters.

1.4.3 Review of consent holder submitted data

As required by the conditions of consent 7971-1 and 7971-2, Todd submitted postfracturing discharge reports to the Council following the completion of the all fracturing programmes. Post-fracturing reports confirm details of the fracturing activities that occurred. The specific range of information required in the report is stipulated in the conditions of the resource consent. These post-fracturing discharge reports are discussed in detail in the 2011-2013 and 2013-2015 biennial reports (Taranaki Regional Council, 2013) and (Taranaki Regional Council, 2015), respectively.

1.4.4 Chemical sampling

The groundwater monitoring programme over the period in question included the sampling of five existing groundwater supply wells located in the vicinity of the wellsite at which hydraulic fracturing took place, and the analysis of the results.

The details of each site are included in Table 2 and their proximity to the Mangahewa-C wellsite is illustrated in Figure 2.

Hydraulically fractured wells	Monitoring site	Distance from wellsite (m)	Total depth (m)	Aquifer
Mangahewa-09	GND2254	1161	37	Volcanics
Mangahewa-11	GND2255	1158	4	Volcanics
Mangahewa-12 Mangahewa-13	GND2256	595	3.15	Volcanics
Mangahewa-14	GND2257	960	5	Volcanics
Mangahewa-15	GND2360	60	533	Volcanics

 Table 2
 Details of groundwater sites included in the monitoring programme

Samples of groundwater were obtained before fracturing to provide a baseline reference of groundwater composition. Further rounds of sampling were carried out post-fracturing for comparison with baseline results.

Seven rounds of groundwater sampling occurred between April 2012 and October 2014. The results of these sampling rounds are outlined in the 2013-2015 annual report (Taranaki Regional Council, 2015).

Sampling was undertaken in July 2015 to monitor potential residual effects of the hydraulic fracturing at the Mangahewa-C well on local groundwater resources. Where access to the bore was available, samples were obtained using a pneumatic bladder or peristaltic pump, using a low-flow sampling methodology. Where access to the bore was not available, samples were obtained at a point in the water distribution network as close to the wellhead as practicable. Samples taken from wide diameter wells were taken directly from the well. All samples were transported to Hill Laboratories Limited for analysis following standard chain of custody procedures.



Figure 2 Location of groundwater sampling sites in relation to the Mangahewa-C wellsite

2. Results

2.1 Groundwater sampling

Between October 2014 and July 2015, groundwater samples were collected from five sites. As a continuation of the 2013–2015 annual report, key indicator parameters pH, electrical conductivity, chloride and total dissolved solids are plotted against time (Figures 3, 4, 5 and 6). If the concentration of these parameters changed it could indicate the migration of deep formation water, which is highly saline in composition, via fractures or conduits created by the hydraulic fracturing process, leakage from the wellbore due to integrity issues, or the mishandling of fluids at the surface.

The results of the monitoring carried out indicate that the pH concentrations in all wells remained consistent across the sampling period, with only a slight increase observed in GND2257. GND2360 exhibited the biggest change in electrical conductivity, with a decrease from 132 to 60 mS/m. Values at the other wells remained consistent and close to their pre-fracturing values. A similar trend was observed for total dissolved solids and chloride, with most wells values remaining consistent except GND2360, where values fell. Methane was detected in the two same wells as previous sampling events, GND2254 and GND2260. Values in GND2260 were similar to previous sampling events whereas GND2254 showed a decrease in methane concentration from the previous sampling event in October 2014.



Figure 3 Results of pH analysis at private water supplies



Figure 4 Results of electrical conductivity analysis at private water supplies



Figure 5 Results of total dissolved solids analysis at private water supplies



Figure 6 Results of chloride analysis at private water supplies



Figure 7 Results of methane analysis at private water supplies

2.2 Carbon isotope analysis

During the period being reported, two groundwater samples were sent to GNS Science for carbon isotope analysis in their National Isotope Centre. The isotopic analysis is used to calculate a delta carbon13 (δ^{13} C) value for a given sample, which is then used to determine the origin of the gas. Generally, a δ^{13} C value that exceeds -50‰ indicates biogenic methane, and a δ^{13} C value less than -50‰ indicates thermogenic methane. The higher or lower the δ^{13} C values, the stronger the isotopic signature. A δ^{13} C value in the vicinity of -50‰ can indicate a mixture of both biogenic and thermogenic methane. Results of analyses undertaken in the period being reported are compared with previous results in Table 3 below.

	GND2254		GN	D2360
Date	23/10/14	29/7/15	23/10/14	29/7/15
δ13C Value	-80‰	-76‰	-64‰	-63‰

Table 3 shows that the methane gas present in GND2254 and GND2360 is strongly biogenic.

It is important to note that the results were issued from the analysing laboratory with an uncertainty of measurement of $\pm 10\%$.

2.3 Investigations, interventions, and incidents

The monitoring programme for the year was based on what was considered to be an appropriate level of monitoring, review of data, and liaison with the consent holder. During the year matters may arise which require additional activity by the Council, for example provision of advice and information, or investigation of potential or actual courses of non-compliance or failure to maintain good practices. A pro-active approach that in the first instance avoids issues occurring is favoured.

The Council operates and maintains a register of all complaints or reported and discovered excursions from acceptable limits and practices, including non-compliance with consents, which may damage the environment. The Incident Register (IR) includes events where the Company concerned has itself notified the Council. The register contains details of any investigation and corrective action taken.

Complaints may be alleged to be associated with a particular site. If there is potentially an issue of legal liability, the Council must be able to prove by investigation that the identified company is indeed the source of the incident (or that the allegation cannot be proven).

In the 2015-2016 period, the Council was not required to undertake significant additional investigations and interventions, or record incidents, in association with Todd's conditions in the resource consents or provisions in Regional Plans.

3. Discussion

3.1 Environmental effects of hydraulic fracturing on useable freahwater resources

This is a report regarding monitoring for residual environmental effects post hydraulic fracturing that occurred between May 2012 and July 2014.

To assess the level of environmental performance and compliance by Todd during the period being reported, the monitoring programme implemented by the Council consisted primarily of a groundwater monitoring component. The groundwater monitoring component of the programme included the sampling of groundwater at selected sites in the vicinity of the hydraulically fractured well. The groundwater system was surveyed prior to any hydraulic fracturing occurring to determine baseline conditions, allowing comparisons to be made with post-fracturing results.

The results of post-fracturing groundwater sampling carried out in the vicinity of the Mangahewa-C wellsite showed only very minor variations in water composition in comparison to baseline results. The minor variations in some analytes are a result of natural variations in water composition and unrelated to fracturing activities.

No traces of substances associated with hydraulic fracturing fluids, or hydrocarbons relating to fracturing activities were present in the groundwater. Methane that was present in some of the groundwater samples taken was determined to be biogenic in origin.

In summary, the monitoring carried out by the Council indicates that the hydraulic fracturing activities undertaken by Todd at the Mangahewa-C wellsite had no subsequential adverse effects on local groundwater resources.

3.2 Evaluation of performance

A tabular summary of Todd's compliance record for the year under review is set out in Table 3.

Purpose: To discharge contaminants in association with hydraulic fracturing activities into land at depths greater than 3,290 mTVD beneath the Mangahewa-C wellsite.				
Condition requirement		Means of monitoring during period under review	Compliance achieved?	
1.	Any discharge shall occur below 3,290 mTVDss	Assessment of consent holder submitted data	Yes	
2.	No discharge shall occur after 1 June 2019	Assessment of consent holder submitted data	N/A	
3.	Exercise of consent shall not result in any contaminants reaching any useable freshwater	Results of groundwater monitoring	Yes	
4.	Consent holder shall undertake sampling programme	Development and certification of a monitoring programme	Yes	

16

5.	If no suitable bores exist within 500 m of the wellsite, a monitoring bore may need to be installed	Inspection of bore	Yes
6.	Sampling programme shall follow recognised field procedures and be analysed for a specified range of chemical parameters	Development and certification of a monitoring programme and assessment of results	Yes
7.	All sampling to be carried out in accordance with a certified Sampling and Analysis Plan	Development and certification of a Sampling and Analysis Plan	Yes
8.	Well and equipment pressure testing to be carried out prior to any hydraulic fracturing programme commencing	Assessment of consent holder submitted data	Yes
9.	A pre-fracturing discharge report is to be provided to the Council 14 days prior to discharge	Pre-fracturing discharge report received	Yes
10.	Consent holder shall notify the Council of hydraulic fracturing discharge	Notification received	Yes
11.	A post-fracturing discharge report is to be provided to the Council within 90 days of any commencement	Post-fracturing discharge report received	Yes
12.	The reports outlined in conditions 9 and 11 must be emailed to consents@trc.govt.nz	Reports received via email	Yes
13.	The consent holder shall provide access to a location where samples of hydraulic fracturing fluids and return fluids can be obtained by the Council officers	Access provided	Yes
14.	Consent holder to adopt best practicable option at all times	Site inspections, sampling and assessment of consent holder submitted data	Yes
15.	No hydrocarbon based hydraulic fracturing fluid shall be discharged	Assessment of consent holder submitted data and sampling of fracturing fluid	Yes
16.	Lapse clause	Receive notice of exercise of consent	Yes
17.	Notice of Council to review consent	No provision for review during period	N/A
Overall assessment of environmental performance and compliance in respect of this consent			High
Overall assessment of administrative performance and compliance in respect of this consent			

*N/A = Not Applicable

During the year Todd demonstrated a high level of environmental and administrative performance with the resource consents as defined in Section 1.1.4

3.3 Recommendations from the 2013-2015 Biennial Report

In the 2013-2015 Biennial Report, it was recommended:

1. THAT for the forthcoming 2015/2016 monitoring period, one one-year-postfracturing groundwater sampling round is carried out. After that, it is recommended that no further monitoring be carried out in relation to the hydraulic fracturing events at the Mangahewa-C wellsite. Monitoring should recommence however if any further fracturing is undertaken at the site.

A one-year-post-fracturing groundwater sampling round was carried out in July 2015. No further monitoring will be carried out in relation to the hydraulic fracturing events at the Mangahewa-C wellsite, unless further fracturing is undertaken at the site.

2. THAT the option for a review of the resource consent in June 2015, as set out in condition 17 of consent 7971-2, is not exercised, on the grounds that the current conditions of the consents are adequate to ensure that any significant adverse effects on the environment are avoided.

There was no review of any DWI consent held by the Company during the 2014-2015 period as it was deemed that the conditions of each consent were adequate to deal with the potential adverse effects of the activity.

3.4 Alterations to monitoring programmes for 2016-2017

In designing and implementing the monitoring programmes for air/water discharges in the region, the Council has taken into account the extent of information made available by previous authorities, its relevance under the RMA, its obligations to monitor emissions/discharges and effects under the RMA, and report to the regional community. The Council also takes into account the scope of assessments required at the time of renewal of permits, and the need to maintain a sound understanding of industrial processes within Taranaki emitting to the atmosphere/discharging to the environment.

It is proposed that for the remainder of the 2015-2016 year, no further monitoring should be carried out in relation to the fracturing events at the wellsites discussed in this report. Monitoring should recommence, however, if any further fracturing is undertaken at the site.

3.5 Exercise of optional review of consent

Resource consent 7971-2 provides for an optional review of the consent an annual basis, with the next optional review date being June 2016. Condition 17 of this consent allows the Council to review consent conditions to ensure they are adequate to deal with any significant adverse effects on the environment arising from the exercise of this consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it was not appropriate to deal with at the time. The Council can also review the consent in order to further specify the best practicable option and/or to ensure that hydraulic fracturing operations appropriately take into account any best practice guidance published by a recognised industry association or environmental regulator.

Following an assessment of the current consent conditions and the results of monitoring undertaken over the period under review, it is considered that there are no grounds that require a review to be pursued or grounds to exercise the review option.

4. Recommendations

- 1. THAT the monitoring programme be discontinued, providing no further fracturing occurs at the Mangahewa-C wellsite.
- 2. THAT the option for a review of resource consent(s) in June 2016, as set out in condition 17 of consent 7971-2, is not exercised, on the grounds that the current conditions of the consents are adequate to ensure that any significant adverse effects on the environment are avoided.

Glossary of common terms and abbreviations

The following abbreviations and terms may be used within this report:

Biomonitoring	Assessing the health of the environment using aquatic organisms.
Bbls	Barrel. Unit of measure used in the oil and gas industry (equivalent to approximately 159 litres).
Fresh	Elevated flow in a stream, such as after heavy rainfall.
g/m ³	Grams per cubic metre, and equivalent to milligrams per litre (mg/L). In water, this is also equivalent to parts per million (ppm), but the same does not apply to gaseous mixtures.
Incident	An event that is alleged or is found to have occurred that may have actual or potential environmental consequences or may involve non-compliance with a consent or rule in a regional plan. Registration of an incident by the Council does not automatically mean such an outcome had actually occurred.
Intervention	Action/s taken by Council to instruct or direct actions be taken to avoid or reduce the likelihood of an incident occurring.
Investigation	Action taken by Council to establish the circumstances/events surrounding an incident including any allegations of an incident.
Median flow	The flow that is exceeded 50% of the time over a given time period.
mS/m	Millisiemens per metre.
m ³	Cubic metre (1,000 litres).
рН	A numerical system for measuring acidity in solutions, with 7 as neutral. Numbers lower than 7 are increasingly acidic and higher than 7 are increasingly alkaline. The scale is logarithmic i.e. a change of 1 represents a ten-fold change in strength. For example, a pH of 4 is ten times more acidic than a pH of 5.
Physicochemical	Measurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.
Resource consent	Refer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Sections 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).
RMA	Resource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.
Screen Out	A condition that occurs when the solids carried in a treatment fluid, such as proppant in a fracture fluid, create a bridge across the perforations or similar restricted flow area. This creates a sudden and significant restriction to fluid flow that causes a rapid rise in pump pressure.
Workover	The repair or stimulation of an existing production well for the purpose of restoring, prolonging or enhancing the production of restoring, prolonging or enhancing the production of hydrocarbons.

Bibliography and references

- Taranaki Regional Council (2015) Todd Energy Limited Mangahewa-C Hydraulic Fracturing Monitoring Programme Report 2013-2015. Technical Report 2015-05.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2013a) Mangahewa-C Hydraulic Fracturing Groundwater Monitoring Programme Report 2011-2013. Technical Report 2013-15.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2013b) Sampling and Analysis Plan Mangahewa-C Groundwater Monitoring Programme 2013/2014
- Todd Energy Limited (2013c) Hydraulic Fracturing Todd Mangahewa-C Wellsite Pre-Fracturing Discharge Report – Mangahewa-12
- Todd Energy Limited (2013) Hydraulic Fracturing Todd Mangahewa-C Wellsite Pre-Fracturing Discharge Report – Mangahewa-13
- Todd Energy Limited (2013) Hydraulic Fracturing Todd Mangahewa-12 Post-Fracturing Discharge Report
- Todd Energy Limited (2013) Hydraulic Fracturing Todd Mangahewa-13 Post-Fracturing Discharge Report
- Todd Energy Limited (2013) Hydraulic Fracturing Todd Mangahewa-C Wellsite Pre-Fracturing Discharge Report – Mangahewa-14
- Todd Energy Limited (2013) Hydraulic Fracturing Todd Mangahewa-C Wellsite Pre-Fracturing Discharge Report – Mangahewa-15
- Todd Energy Limited (2013) Hydraulic Fracturing Todd Mangahewa-C Wellsite Post-Fracturing Discharge Report – Mangahewa-14
- Todd Energy Limited (2013) Hydraulic Fracturing Todd Mangahewa-C Wellsite Post-Fracturing Discharge Report – Mangahewa-15
- Todd Energy Limited (2014) Hydraulic Fracturing Todd Mangahewa-C Wellsite Post-Fracturing Discharge Report – Mangahewa-15 (For second fracturing event)

Appendix I

Resource consents held by Todd

Discharge Permit Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991 a resource consent is hereby granted by the Taranaki Regional Council

Name of	Todd Energy Limited
Consent Holder:	P O Box 802
	NEW PLYMOUTH 4340

- Decision Date: 20 January 2012
- Commencement 20 January 2012 Date:

Conditions of Consent

Consent Granted:	To discharge contaminants associated with hydraulic fracturing activities into land at depths greater than 3425mTVD beneath the Mangahewa-C wellsite at or about (NZTM) 1713435E-5676634N	
Expiry Date:	1 June 2014	
Review Date(s):	June 2013	

- Site Location: Mangahewa-C wellsite, Tikorangi Road East, Waitara [Property owners: PG & BM Bourke]
- Legal Description: Lot 9 DP 408656 (Discharge source & site)

Catchment: Waiau

For General, Standard and Special conditions pertaining to this consent please see reverse side of this document

Page 1 of 4

General condition

a. The consent holder shall pay to the Taranaki Regional Council all the administration, monitoring and supervision costs of this consent, fixed in accordance to section 36 of the Resource Management Act.

Special conditions

1. Any discharge shall occur below 3425 mTVD.

<u>Note</u>: mTVD = metres true vertical depth, i.e. the true vertical depth in metres below the surface.

- 2. No discharge shall occur more than 500 metres horizontally from each wellbore on the Mangahewa-C wellsite.
- 3. The consent holder shall ensure that the exercise of this consent does not contaminate or put at risk actual or potential usable freshwater aquifers above the hydrocarbon reservoir.
- 4. The consent holder shall undertake a programme of sampling and testing that, to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, monitor the effects of the exercise of this consent on groundwater users within 2.5 km of the Mangahewa-C wellsite. Any groundwater samples shall be taken in accordance with recognized field procedures and analysed for:
 - (a) pH;
 - (b) Conductivity;
 - (c) Total dissolved solids;
 - (d) Total suspended solids;
 - (e) Major ions (Ca, Mg, K, Na, total alkalinity, chloride, nitrate-nitrogen, and sulfate);
 - (f) Trace metals (cadmium, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and zinc);
 - (g) Total organic carbon;
 - (h) Formaldehyde;
 - (i) Dissolved methane and ethane gas;
 - (j) Carbon-13 composition of dissolved methane gas (¹³C-CH₄); and
 - (k) Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX).
- 5. All sampling and analysis shall be undertaken in accordance with a *Sampling and Analysis Plan*, which shall be submitted to the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council (CE) for review and certification before the first sampling is undertaken. This Plan shall specify the use of standard protocols recognized to constitute good professional practice including quality control and assurance. A properly accredited laboratory shall be used for all sample analysis. Results shall be provided to the CE within 30 calendar days of sampling and shall include supporting quality control and assurance information. These results will be used to assess compliance with condition 3.

<u>Note</u>: The samples required, under condition 4, could be taken and analysed by the Taranaki Regional Council or other contracted party on behalf of the consent holder.

Consent 7971-1

- 6. The consent holder shall notify the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, in writing of the date that the discharges are expected to commence. Notification shall occur by email to <u>worknotification@trc.govt.nz</u>, where practicable and reasonable one working day prior to the exercise of the consent, but in any event 24 hours notice shall be given. Notification shall include the consent number and a brief description of the activity consented.
- 7. The second and subsequent discharges shall only occur after the consent holder has provided a comprehensive 'Pre-fracturing discharge report' to the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council. The report shall be provided at least 10 working days before the discharge commences and, as a minimum, shall contain:
 - (a) The intended fracturing intervals and the co-ordinates of the fracture initiation point for each fracture interval;
 - (b) The total volume of material planned to be pumped down the well and its composition, including a list of the chemicals or additives to be used;
 - (c) The mitigation measures that are in place to ensure the discharge does not cause adverse environmental effects;
 - (d) The results of pressure testing the well;
 - (e) The extent and characteristics of the geological seals in place above the discharge zone;
 - (f) The anticipated well and discharge zone pressures and the duration the pressures;
 - (g) The anticipated extent of fractures; and
 - (h) Details of the disposal of any returned fluids, including any consents that are relied on to authorise the disposal.
- At the conclusion of any discharge, the consent holder shall submit a comprehensive 'Post-fracturing discharge report' to the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council. The report shall be provided within 30 working days after the discharge ceases and, as a minimum, shall contain:
 - (a) Confirmation of the interval where fracturing occurred;
 - (b) Confirmation of volumes and fluid compositions discharged;
 - (c) The volume of returned fluids and an estimate of the proportion of fluids and proppant remaining in the reservoir;
 - (d) The results of modeling the discharge, including a proppant concentration diagram or a similar diagram, showing the likely extent of the fractures generated by the discharge;
 - (e) Well and discharge zone pressure durations and the maximum pressure reached;
 - (f) Details of the disposal of any returned fluids, including any consents that are relied on to authorise the disposal; and
 - (g) An assessment of the effectiveness of the mitigation measures in place with specific reference to those described in application 6949.
- 9. The reports described in conditions 7 and 8 shall be emailed to <u>consents@trc.govt.nz</u> with a reference to the number of this consent.
- 10. The consent holder shall provide access to a location where the Taranaki Regional Council officers can obtain a sample of the fraccing fluids and return fluids.

Consent 7971-1

- 11. The consent holder shall at all times adopt the best practicable option, as defined in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to prevent or minimize any actual or likely adverse effect on the environment; in particular, ensuring that the discharge is contained within the discharge zone.
- 12. No hydrocarbon based fraccing fluid shall be discharged.
- 13. That the Taranaki Regional Council may review any or all of the conditions of this consent by giving notice of review during the month of June each year, for the purposes of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any significant adverse effects on the environment arising from the exercise of this consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it was not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Signed at Stratford on 15 November 2013

For and on behalf of Taranaki Regional Council

Director-Resource Management

Discharge Permit Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991 a resource consent is hereby granted by the Taranaki Regional Council

Name of	Todd Energy Limited
Consent Holder:	PO Box 802
	NEW PLYMOUTH 4340

- Decision Date: 30 June 2014
- Commencement Date: 30 June 2014

Conditions of Consent

- Consent Granted: To discharge water based hydraulic fracturing fluids into land at depths greater than 3290 mTVDss beneath the Mangahewa-C wellsite
- Expiry Date: 01 June 2024
- Review Date(s): June annually
- Site Location: Mangahewa-C wellsite, Tikorangi Road, Waitara (Property owner: PG & BM Bourke)
- Legal Description: Lot 9 DP 408656 (Discharge source & site)
- Grid Reference (NZTM) 1713435E-5676634N
- Catchment: Waiau

General condition

a. The consent holder shall pay to the Taranaki Regional Council all the administration, monitoring and supervision costs of this consent, fixed in accordance with section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Special conditions

1. The discharge point shall be deeper than 3290 mTVDss.

<u>Note</u>: mTVDss = metres true vertical depth subsea, i.e., the true vertical depth in metres below mean sea level.

- 2. There shall be no discharge of hydraulic fracturing fluids after 1 June 2019.
- 3. The consent holder shall ensure that the exercise of this consent does not result in contaminants reaching any useable fresh water (groundwater or surface water). Usable fresh groundwater is defined as any groundwater having a Total Dissolved Solids concentration of less than 1000 mg/l.
- 4. The consent holder shall undertake a programme of sampling and testing that monitors the effects of the exercise of this consent on fresh water resources to assess compliance with condition 3 (the 'Monitoring Programme'). The Monitoring Programme shall be certified by the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council ('the Chief Executive'), before this consent is exercised, and shall include:
 - (a) the location of the discharge point(s);
 - (b) the location of sampling sites; and
 - (c) sampling frequency with reference to a hydraulic fracturing programme.
- 5. Depending on the suitability of existing bores within 500 metres of the wellsite for obtaining a representative groundwater sample, it may be necessary for the Monitoring Programme to include installation of, and sampling from, at least one monitoring bore. The bore(s) would be of a depth, location and design determined after consultation with the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council and installed in accordance with NZS 4411:2001.
- 6. All water samples taken for monitoring purposes shall be taken in accordance with recognised field procedures and analysed for:
 - (a) pH;
 - (b) conductivity;
 - (c) total dissolved solids;
 - (d) major ions (Ca, Mg, K, Na, total alkalinity, bromide, chloride, nitrate-nitrogen, and sulphate);
 - (e) trace metals (barium, copper, iron, manganese, nickel, and zinc);
 - (f) total petroleum hydrocarbons;
 - (g) formaldehyde;
 - (h) dissolved methane and ethane gas;
 - (i) methanol;
 - (j) glycols;
 - (k) benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX);
 - (l) carbon-13 composition of any dissolved methane gas discovered (¹³C-CH₄).
 - <u>Note</u>: The samples required, under conditions 4 and 6 could be taken and analysed by the Taranaki Regional Council or other contracted party on behalf of the consent holder.

7. All sampling and analysis shall be undertaken in accordance with a *Sampling and Analysis Plan,* which shall be submitted to the Chief Executive for review and certification before the first sampling is undertaken. The plan shall specify the use of standard protocols recognised to constitute good professional practice including quality control and assurance. An International Accreditation New Zealand (IANZ) accredited laboratory shall be used for all sample analysis. Results shall be provided to the Chief Executive within 30 days of sampling and shall include supporting quality control and assurance information. These results will be used to assess compliance with condition 3.

<u>Note</u>: The Sampling and Analysis Plan may be combined with the Monitoring Programme required by condition 4.

- 8. The consent holder shall undertake well and equipment pressure testing prior to any hydraulic fracture programme on a given well to ensure any discharge will not affect the integrity of the well and hydraulic fracturing equipment.
- 9. Any hydraulic fracture discharge shall only occur after the consent holder has provided a comprehensive 'Pre-fracturing discharge report' to the Chief Executive. The report shall be provided at least 14 days before the discharge is proposed to commence and shall detail the hydraulic fracturing programme proposed, including as a minimum:
 - (a) the specific well in which each discharge is to occur, the intended fracture interval(s) ('fracture interval' is the discrete subsurface zone to receive a hydraulic fracture treatment), and the duration of the hydraulic fracturing programme;
 - (b) the number of discharges proposed and the geographical position (i.e. depth and lateral position) of each intended discharge point;
 - (c) the total volume of fracture fluid planned to be pumped down the well, including mini- fracture treatments, and their intended composition, including a list of all contaminants and Material Safety Data Sheets for all the chemicals to be used;
 - (d) the monitoring techniques to be used to determine the fate of discharged material;
 - (e) the results of the reviews required by condition 14;
 - (f) results of modelling showing an assessment of the likely extent and dimensions of the fractures that will be generated by the discharge;
 - (g) the preventative and mitigation measures to be in place to ensure the discharge does not cause adverse environmental effects and complies with condition 3;
 - (h) the extent and permeability characteristics of the geology above the discharge point to the surface;
 - (i) any identified faults within the modeled fracture length plus a margin of 50%, and the potential for adverse environmental effects due to the presence of the identified faults;
 - (j) the burst pressure of the well and the anticipated maximum well and discharge pressures and the duration of the pressures; and
 - (k) details of the disposal of any returned fluids, including any consents that are relied on to authorise the disposal; and
 - (l) details why the contaminants in the discharge and the monitoring techniques used comply with condition 14.
 - <u>Note:</u> For the avoidance of doubt, the information provided with a resource consent application would usually be sufficient to constitute a 'Pre-fracturing discharge report' for any imminent hydraulic fracturing discharge. The Pre-fracturing discharge report provided for any later discharge may refer to the resource consent application or earlier Pre-fracturing discharge reports noting any differences.

- 10. The consent holder shall notify the Taranaki Regional Council of the date that each discharge is intended to commence by emailing <u>worknotification@trc.govt.nz</u>. Notification also shall identify the 'Pre-fracturing discharge report', required by condition 9, which details the discharge and be given no less than 3 days before the intended discharge date. If any discharge occurs more than 30 days after the notification date, additional notification as specified in this condition is required.
- 11. Within 90 days of any commencement date as advised under condition 10, the consent holder shall submit a comprehensive 'Post-fracturing discharge report' to the Chief Executive. The report shall, as a minimum, contain:
 - (a) date and time of discharge;
 - (b) confirmation of the interval(s) where fracturing occurred for that programme, and the geographical position (i.e. depth and lateral position) of the discharge point for each fracture interval;
 - (c) the contaminant volumes and composition of fluid discharged into each fracture interval;
 - (d) the volume of return fluids from each fracture interval;
 - (e) an analysis for the constituents set out in conditions 6(a) to 6(k), in a return fluid sample taken within the first two hours of flow back, for each fracture interval if flowed back individually, or for the well if flowed back with all intervals comingled;
 - (f) an estimate of the volume of fluids (and proppant) remaining underground;
 - (g) the volume of water produced with the hydrocarbons (produced water) over the period beginning at the start of the hydraulic fracturing programme and ending 50 days after the programme is completed or after that period of production;
 - (h) an assessment of the extent and dimensions of the fractures that were generated by the discharge, based on modelling undertaken after the discharge has occurred and other diagnostic techniques, including production analysis, available to determine fracture length, height and containment;
 - (i) the results of pressure testing required by condition 8, and the top hole pressure (psi), slurry rate (bpm), surface proppant concentration (lb/gal), bottom hole proppant concentration (lb/gal), and calculated bottom hole pressure (psi), as well as predicted values for each of these parameters; prior to, during and after each hydraulic fracture treatment;
 - (j) details of the disposal of any returned fluids, including any consents that are relied on to authorise the disposal;
 - (k) details of any incidents where hydraulic fracture fluid is unable to pass through the well perforations (screen outs) that occurred, their likely cause and implications for compliance with conditions 1 and 3; and
 - (l) results of the monitoring referred to in condition 9 (d);
 - (m) an assessment of the effectiveness of the mitigation measures in place with specific reference to those described in the application for this consent.
 - <u>Note</u>: For programs including multiple hydraulic fracturing discharges, more than one 'Postfracturing discharge report' may be required in order to meet the specified 90 day deadline.
- 12. The reports described in conditions 9 and 11 shall be emailed to <u>consents@trc.govt.nz</u> with a reference to the number of this consent.
- 13. The consent holder shall provide access to a location where the Taranaki Regional Council officers can obtain a sample of the hydraulic fracturing fluids and the return fluids.

- 14. The consent holder shall at all times adopt the best practicable option, as defined in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to prevent or minimize any actual or likely adverse effect of the activity on the environment by, as a minimum, ensuring that:
 - (a) the discharge is contained within the fracture interval;
 - (b) regular reviews of monitoring techniques used to ensure the discharge does not cause adverse environmental effects are undertaken;
 - (c) regular reviews are undertaken of the preventative and mitigation measures adopted to ensure the discharge does not cause adverse environmental effects; and
 - (d) regular reviews of the chemicals used are undertaken with a view to reducing the toxicity of the chemicals used.
- 15. The fracture fluid shall be comprised of no less than 95% water and proppant by volume.
- 16. This consent shall lapse on 30 June 2019, unless the consent is given effect to before the end of that period or the Taranaki Regional Council fixes a longer period pursuant to section 125(1)(b) of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 17. The Taranaki Regional Council may review any or all of the conditions of this consent by giving notice of review during the month of June each year, for the purposes of:
 - (a) ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any significant adverse effects on the environment arising from the exercise of this consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it was not appropriate to deal with at the time; and/or
 - (b) further specifying the best practicable option as required by condition 14; and/or
 - (c) ensuring hydraulic fracturing operations appropriately take into account any best practice guidance published by a recognised industry association or environmental regulator.

Signed at Stratford on 30 June 2014

For and on behalf of Taranaki Regional Council

A D McLay Director - Resource Management

Appendix II

Groundwater Chemistry Results

Site	Date	Total alkalinity	Barium	Benzene	Bromide	Calcium	Chloride	Electrical conductiviy	Dissolved copper	Ethyl benzene	Ethane	Ethylene	Dissolved iron	Formaldehyde	Ethylene glycol	Total hydrocarbons	Bicarbonate	Total hardness
	Duto	g/m ³ CaCO ₃	mg/kg	g/m ³	g/m ³	g/m ³	g/m ³	mS/m at 20⁰C	g/m ³	g/m³	g/m ³	g/m ³ HCO ₃	g/m ³ CaCO ₃					
GND2254	17 Apr 2012	132	0.0146	<0.0010	0.07	25	14.4	27.5	<0.0005	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.004	0.32	<0.02	<4	<0.7	161.04	104
	16 May 2012	126	0.015	<0.0010	0.05	24	14.4	28.1	<0.0005	<0.0010	< 0.003	<0.0010	0.29	<0.02	<4	<0.7	153.72	107
	26 Jul 2012	131	0.0148	<0.0010	<0.05	23	14.6	27.9	<0.0005	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.004	0.31	<0.02	<4	<0.7	158	98
	10 May 2013	130	0.0168	<0.0010	0.07	24	13.8	28.3	<0.0005	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.004	0.31	<0.02	<4	<0.7	157	102
	21 Oct 2013	126	0.0149	<0.0010	0.048	24	13.2	28.3	<0.0005	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.003	0.31	<0.02	<4	<0.7	152	103
	11 Feb 2014	123	0.0149	<0.0010	0.051	24	12.8	26.9	<0.0005	<0.0010	< 0.003	<0.004	0.33	<0.02	<4	<0.7	150.1	101
	23 Oct 2014	124	0.0146	<0.0010	0.058	24	13.0	27	<0.0005	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.003	0.29	<0.02	<4	<0.7	151.3	106
	29 Jul 2015	125	0.0148	<0.0010	0.048	24	13.7	27.6	<0.0005	<0.0010	<0.003	<0.004	0.31	<0.02	<4	<0.7	151	103
	17 Apr 2012	20	0.043	<0.0010	0.1	6.5	24	13	0.0072	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.004	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	24.4	31
	16 May 2012	19	0.042	<0.001	0.08	6	23	13.2	0.0194	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.004	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	23.18	107
	26 Jul 2012	19.6	0.047	<0.0010	0.11	6	25	13.9	0.033	<0.0010	< 0.003	<0.004	0.03	<0.02	<4	<0.7	24	28
CND2255	10 May 2013	<1	0.048	<0.0010	0.09	5.8	19.7	16.7	0.027	<0.0010	< 0.003	<0.004	0.03	<0.02	<4	<0.7	<1	28
GND2233	21 Oct 2013	18.8	0.047	<0.0010	0.082	6.0	24	14	0.037	<0.0010	<0.003	< 0.003	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	22.9	28
	11 Feb 2014	20	0.046	<0.0010	0.085	6.3	22	13.6	0.031	<0.0010	<0.003	<0.004	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	24.4	28
	23 Oct 2014	19.6	0.044	<0.0010	0.100	6.1	23	13.6	0.131	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.003	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	23.9	28
	29 Jul 2015	19.5	0.045	<0.0010	0.082	6.1	23	13.6	0.0190	<0.0010	<0.003	<0.004	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	24	28
	17 Apr 2012	20	0.054	<0.0010	0.1	8.6	21	15.4	0.0007	<0.0010	< 0.003	<0.0010	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	24.4	39
	16 May 2012	22	0.051	<0.0010	0.08	7.9	19.5	15.1	0.0006	<0.0010	< 0.003	<0.004	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	26.84	37
	26 Jul 2012	19.7	0.075	<0.0010	0.12	10.1	23	17.8	0.0006	0.001	< 0.003	< 0.004	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	24	45
GND2256	10 May 2013	32	0.077	<0.0010	0.11	11.5	21	18.2	0.0007	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.004	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	39	48
CND2230	21 Oct 2013	19.7	0.062	<0.0010	0.084	9.4	21	17.3	<0.0005	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.003	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	24.0	42
	11 Feb 2014	23	0.036	<0.0010	0.076	7.2	18.3	14.5	0.0006	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.004	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	28.1	32
	23 Oct 2014	20	0.040	<0.0010	0.090	7.2	17.4	13.6	<0.0005	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.003	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	24.4	33
	31 Jul 2015	20	0.066	<0.0010	0.091	10.8	23	18.2	3.86	<0.0010	< 0.003	<0.004	<0.02	<0.02	<4	<0.7	24	
	17 Apr 2012	24	0.0143	<0.0010	0.1	8.1	22	16.1	0.0125	<0.0010	< 0.003	<0.004	1.82	<0.02	<4	<0.7	29.28	39
	16 May 2012	24	0.0144	<0.0010	0.08	7.9	22	16.9	0.02	<0.0010	< 0.003	<0.004	1.25	<0.02	<4	<0.7	29.28	39
	26 Jul 2012	22	0.021	<0.0010	0.1	8.3	21	16	0.0152	<0.0010	< 0.003	<0.004	1.22	<0.02	<4	<0.7	26	38
GND2257	10 May 2013	27	0.0174	<0.0010	0.1	8.4	21	17.2	0.022	<0.0010	<0.003	<0.004	1	<0.02	<4	<0.7	33	42
GND2237	21 Oct 2013	22	0.021	<0.0010	0.083	7.8	21	16.3	0.0128	<0.0010	<0.003	< 0.003	1.05	<0.02	<4	<0.7	26.8	35
	11 Feb 2014	24	0.0130	<0.0010	0.084	7.7	21	16.5	0.0117	<0.0010	< 0.003	<0.004	1.13	<0.02	<4	<0.7	29.3	37
	22 Oct 2014	26	0.0138	<0.0010	0.085	7.0	19.9	15.4	0.021	<0.0010	<0.003	< 0.003	0.46	<0.02	<4	<0.7	31.7	35
	31 Jul 2015	24	0.0148	<0.0010	0.080	7.6	21	16.1	0.0103	<0.0010	<0.003	<0.004	1.06	<0.02	<4	<0.7	29	
	-															-		
	21 Oct 2013	185	0.025	<0.0010	1.13	10.2	350	145.9	<0.0005	<0.0010	<0.017	< 0.003	0.14	<0.02	<4	<0.7	225.7	41
GND2360	11 Feb 2014	187	0.026	<0.0010	1.11	10.9	310	133.1	<0.0005	<0.0010	0.005	<0.004	0.20	<0.02	<4	<0.7	228.1	44
GND2300	23 Oct 2014	189	0.024	<0.0010	1.40	10.7	300	131.8	<0.0005	<0.0010	0.013	< 0.003	0.17	<0.02	<4	<0.7	210	44
	29 Jul 2015	172	0.0043	<0.0010	0.33	2.6	81	60.1	<0.0005	<0.0010	< 0.003	< 0.004	0.12	<0.02	<4	<0.7	180	10.2

Potassium	Methanol	Methane	Magnesium	Dissolved manganese	Sodium	Nickel	Nitrate + nitrite nitrogen	Nitrite	Nitrate	pН	Propylene glycol	Sulphate	Total dissolved solids	Temperature	Toluene	m-Xylene	o-Xylene	Dissolved zinc
g/m ³	g/m ³	mg/kg	g/m ³ N	g/m ³ N	g/m ³ N	pH units	g/m ³	g/m ³	g/m ³	deg C	g/m ³	g/m ³	g/m ³	g/m ³				
2.3	<2	1.54	10.5	10.5	21	<0.0005	0.005	0.003	< 0.002	8.1		<0.5	181	15.2	<0.0010	< 0.002	<0.0010	0.0012
2.1	<2	1.48	11.1	0.024	16.3	<0.0005	<0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	7.9		<0.5	176	15.1	<0.001	< 0.002	<0.001	0.0017
2.3	<2	2.1	9.8	0.024	21	<0.0005	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	8		0.7	186	14.8	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010	0.002
2.3	<2	1.95	10.3	0.026	23	<0.0005	0.002	0.002	<0.002	8		<0.5	181		<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010	0.0087
2.3	<2	2.9	10.2	0.027	18.2	<0.0005	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	7.9		0.5	173	15.2	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010
2.6	<2	2.5	9.9	0.026	16.1	<0.0005	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	8.0		0.6	174	15.4	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010
2.4	<2	3.0	10.9	0.028	16.4	<0.0005	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	7.9		0.5	177	15.1	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.0012
2.2	<2	3.1	10.4	0.029	16.5	<0.0005	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	8.0	<4	<0.5	178	15.3	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010
3.5	<2	<0.002	3.7	0.0029	12.6	<0.0005	1.22	0.003	1.22	6.4		3.1	90	15	<0.0010	< 0.002	<0.0010	0.0112
3	<2	<0.002	3.4	0.0021	11.3	<0.0005	1.19	<0.002	1.19	5.9		3	92	14.5	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.001	0.0115
3.5	<2	<0.002	3.1	0.0019	12.9	<0.0005	1.75	0.005	1.75	6		3.3	107	14.9	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010	0.028
3.2	<2	<0.002	3.2	0.0028	11	<0.0005	0.94	<0.002	0.94	4		24	104		<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010	0.194
3.5	<2	<0.002	3.1	0.0014	13.3	<0.0005	1.55	<0.002	1.55	5.9		3.6	95	15.4	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.0128
3.5	<2	0.003	3.0	0.0054	12.9	<0.0005	1.27	<0.002	1.27	7		3.4	95	15.5	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.045
3.5	<2	<0.002	3.1	0.0015	13.0	<0.0005	1.35	<0.002	1.35	6.2		3.6	95	15.1	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.084
3.2	<2	<0.002	3.1	0.0020	11.8	<0.0005	1.69	<0.002	1.69	6.1	<4	3.9	105	15.1	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.0084
4.9	<2	<0.002	4.2	0.0023	12.7	<0.0005	4.8	<0.002	4.8	6.4		4.1	117	15.8	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010	0.0151
4.5	<2	0.007	<0.02	0.0057	10.5	<0.0005	3.7	<0.002	3.7	6.1		4.1	106	15.6	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.001	0.0097
6	<2	<0.002	4.9	0.0013	12.7	<0.0005	7	<0.002	7	6		4.5	141	15.5	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010	0.0091
7.1	<2	<0.002	4.7	0.014	12.6	<5	3.8	<0.002	3.8	6.8		5.3	125		<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010	0.051
5.1	<2	<0.002	4.4	0.0018	12.6	<0.0005	5.4	<0.002	5.4	6.2		4.2	121	15.6	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.0047
3.4	<2	0.004	3.4	0.0026	11.2	<0.0005	2.9	<0.002	2.9	6.3		4.4	110	17	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.042
3.3	<2	<0.002	3.6	0.0021	10.2	<0.0005	3.0	<0.002	3.0	6.7		4.4	110	16.5	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.0028
5.6	<2	<0.002	5.4	0.0019	13.6	<0.0005	6.2	<0.002	6.2	6.3	<4	3.9	120	15.4	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.0044
2.2	<2	<0.002	4.6	0.0199	15.5	<0.0005	4.5	0.005	4.5	6.3		4.4	118	14.8	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.001	0.047
1.99	<2	<0.002	4.7	0.053	14.6	<0.00050	4.8	<0.002	4.8	6.2		4.6	130	12.2	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.001	0.068
2.3	<2	<0.002	4.3	0.021	14.6	<0.0005	4.9	<0.002	4.9	6		4.9	128	12	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010	0.088
2.7	<2	<0.002	5.1	0.021	15.6	0.0006	4.3	0.003	4.3	6.2		5	124		<0.0010	<0.002	<0.0010	0.33
1.82	<2	<0.002	3.9	0.0131	15.2	<0.0005	4.1	0.003	4.1	6.4		4.9	114	14.1	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.086
2.2	<2	<0.002	4.2	0.0137	15.3	<0.0005	3.7	<0.002	3.7	6.2		6.0	125	15.3	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.062
1.56	<2	<0.002	4.2	0.0158	14.8	0.0008	3.6	<0.002	3.6	6.01		4.0	113	13.1	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.134
2.0	<2	<0.002	4.4	0.0143	15.9	<0.0005	3.2	<0.002	3.2	6.18	<4	4.6	107	12.0	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.049
1.36	<2	11.6	3.9	0.0081	250	<0.0005	<0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	9.0		1.9	770		<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.0055
1.42	<2	3.4	4.1	0.0098	260	<0.0005	0.003	< 0.002	0.003	9.1		2.6	740	19.2	<0.0010	<0.0010	< 0.002	0.0037
1.29	<2	10.2	4.1	0.0094	260	0.0005	<0.002	<0.002	< 0.002	9.0		1.7	720	15.7	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	0.0042
<0.00008	0.87	<2	1.68	0.92	0.0051	131	<0.0005	<0.002	< 0.002	9.2	<4	2.4	5.9	16.1	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.002	<0.002