Executive summary

Opunake Power Limited (the Company) operates the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme located at Opunake, in the Waiaua River catchment. The scheme uses a 4.5 m high weir to divert water from the Waiaua River along a canal to Lake Opunake, and then down penstocks to the Opunake power station. The power station discharges water to the Tasman Sea at Opunake Beach. This report for the period July 2017 to June 2018 describes the monitoring programme implemented by the Taranaki Regional Council (the Council) to assess the Company’s environmental and consent compliance performance during the period under review. The report also details the results of the monitoring undertaken and assesses the environmental effects of the Company’s activities. Opunake Power Limited took ownership of the station in the 2015-2016 monitoring period.

The Company holds eight resource consents, which include a total of 60 conditions setting out the requirements that the Company must satisfy. The Company holds two consents to allow it to take and use water, one consent to discharge water into the Tasman Sea, one consent to discharge sand to the Waiaua River, two consents for structures associated with the scheme, and two consents to disturb the bed of the Waiaua River and Lake Opunake.

These resource consents expired on 1 June 2018, and unfortunately the Company did not submit any complete renewal applications in time. This means that the station is unable to operate until new resource consents have been granted.

During the monitoring period, Opunake Power Limited demonstrated an overall good level of environmental performance.

The Council’s monitoring undertaken for the period under review included five compliance monitoring inspections, three hydrological inspections undertaken in relation to the scheme, and one inspection of a fish trap and transfer system. In addition, analyses of generation data, fish pass water level data and lake level data provided by the Company was conducted.

Although additional inspections of the fish trap and transfer system were programmed, only one was completed due to operational issues with the system making it unwarranted to undertake detailed monitoring.

The monitoring showed that despite issues related to erosion in the headwaters, and associated equipment failure including loss of gate control, the scheme operated with few major issues. In comparison with previous monitoring years, compliance with residual flow requirements was high, continuing on from the significant improvement noted in the 2014-2015 monitoring period. Management of the level of Lake Opunake maintained the improvement noted in the previous year. However, due to a leak from the penstock when generation had ceased, there was an increase in the number of occasions when the lake level dropped below the minimum when the station was shut down during flooding. On a positive note, the leak at the canal stop gate noted in the previous period was resolved in the 2017-2018 period. It should be noted that the Company never knowingly generated when the lake level was at or below the minimum authorised level during the reporting period, and that leakage was the principal cause of low lake levels when they occurred.

There is still concern about the sand inundation of the head of Opunake Lake, and the reduced recreational value that has resulted from this. The Company is continuing to investigate an array of options, including reducing the potential for sand ingress, modifying the head of the lake to allow better flushing of sand, and a means of removing the sand currently in the lake. In the meantime, the intake gates are shut during high flows, to avoid sand laden floodwaters from entering the lake. The gates then reopen as the flood recedes, as this is when there is expected to be less sand in suspension. This was the primary topic of discussion during the stakeholder meeting which was held near the end of the reported period.
Previous monitoring has determined that the weir and intake remains a barrier to fish passage to the upper Waiaua River, with fish passage for most species provided into the canal and lake only. The Company has been investigating a possible solution, and trialled a trap and transfer system during the reported period. However, this system, which utilised a whitebait net set at the head of the fish pass, was prone to blocking and was found to be ineffective. Further development of this system is required.

With regard to submitted data, the Company made some improvements in providing the data within a timely fashion, but further improvement is required in actually complying with the required timeframes. There were three gaps in the data, when no data was recorded, which appeared to be related to failure of the system that logged the data. In addition, an assessment of the accuracy of the data found that the lake level recorder still needs attention to improve its accuracy, although an improvement was noted in the accuracy of the fish passage water level data.

During the year, the Company demonstrated a good level of environmental and administrative performance and compliance with the resource consents. In short, the Company needs to resolve issues around fish passage and the accuracy of the data recorded.

For reference, in the 2017-2018 year, consent holders were found to achieve a high level of environmental performance and compliance for 76% of the consents monitored through the Taranaki tailored monitoring programmes, while for another 20% of the consents, a good level of environmental performance and compliance was achieved.

In terms of overall environmental and compliance performance by the consent holder over the last several years, this report shows that the performance of Opunake Power Limited is good, and an improvement on that demonstrated by the previous owners. It is apparent that the majority of the issues experienced during the 2017-2018 period relate to the new owner familiarising themselves with the scheme and its resource consents.

This report includes recommendations for the 2018-2019 year, including putting a halt on monitoring until new resource consents are granted for the scheme, upon which the monitoring programme should be reviewed.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Compliance monitoring programme reports and the Resource Management Act 1991

1.1.1 Introduction

This report is for the period July 2017-June 2018 by the Taranaki Regional Council (the Council) on the monitoring programme associated with resource consents held by Opunake Power Limited (the Company). The Company operates a hydroelectric power scheme situated on Beach Road at Opunake, in the Waiaua River catchment.

This report covers the results and findings of the monitoring programme implemented by the Council in respect of the consents held by the Company that relate to abstractions and discharges of water within the Waiaua River catchment, land use consents related to disturbance and damming of the Waiaua River, a discharge consent related to the discharge of sand and silt deposits and coastal permits for the discharge of water and the associated discharge structure.

One of the intents of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) is that environmental management should be integrated across all media, so that a consent holder’s use of water, air, and land should be considered from a single comprehensive environmental perspective. Accordingly, the Council generally implements integrated environmental monitoring programmes and reports the results of the programmes jointly. This report discusses the environmental effects of the Company’s use of water, land and air, and is the 19th combined annual report by the Council for the scheme, and the 3rd under the current ownership.

1.1.2 Structure of this report

Section 1 of this report is a background section. It sets out general information about:

- consent compliance monitoring under the RMA and the Council’s obligations;
- the Council’s approach to monitoring sites through annual programmes;
- the resource consents held by the Company in the Waiaua catchment;
- the nature of the monitoring programme in place for the period under review; and
- a description of the activities and operations conducted in the Company’s site/catchment.

Section 2 presents the results of monitoring during the period under review, including scientific and technical data.

Section 3 discusses the results, their interpretations, and their significance for the environment.

Section 4 presents recommendations to be implemented in the 2018-2019 monitoring year.

A glossary of common abbreviations and scientific terms, and a bibliography, are presented at the end of the report.

1.1.3 The Resource Management Act 1991 and monitoring

The RMA primarily addresses environmental ‘effects’ which are defined as positive or adverse, temporary or permanent, past, present or future, or cumulative. Effects may arise in relation to:

a. the neighbourhood or the wider community around an activity, and may include cultural and social-economic effects;

b. physical effects on the locality, including landscape, amenity and visual effects;
c. ecosystems, including effects on plants, animals, or habitats, whether aquatic or terrestrial;
d. natural and physical resources having special significance (for example recreational, cultural, or aesthetic); and
e. risks to the neighbourhood or environment.

In drafting and reviewing conditions on discharge permits, and in implementing monitoring programmes, the Council is recognising the comprehensive meaning of ‘effects’ inasmuch as is appropriate for each activity. Monitoring programmes are not only based on existing permit conditions, but also on the obligations of the RMA to assess the effects of the exercise of consents. In accordance with Section 35 of the RMA, the Council undertakes compliance monitoring for consents and rules in regional plans, and maintains an overview of the performance of resource users and consent holders. Compliance monitoring, including both activity and impact monitoring, enables the Council to continually re-evaluate its approach and that of consent holders to resource management and, ultimately, through the refinement of methods and considered responsible resource utilisation, to move closer to achieving sustainable development of the region’s resources.

1.1.4 Evaluation of environmental and administrative performance

Besides discussing the various details of the performance and extent of compliance by the Company, this report also assigns them a rating for their environmental and administrative performance during the period under review.

Environmental performance is concerned with actual or likely effects on the receiving environment from the activities during the monitoring year. Administrative performance is concerned with the Company’s approach to demonstrating consent compliance in site operations and management including the timely provision of information to Council (such as contingency plans and water take data) in accordance with consent conditions.

Events that were beyond the control of the consent holder and unforeseeable (that is a defence under the provisions of the RMA can be established) may be excluded with regard to the performance rating applied. For example loss of data due to a flood destroying deployed field equipment.

The categories used by the Council for this monitoring period, and their interpretation, are as follows:

Environmental Performance

**High:** No or inconsequential (short-term duration, less than minor in severity) breaches of consent or regional plan parameters resulting from the activity; no adverse effects of significance noted or likely in the receiving environment. The Council did not record any verified unauthorised incidents involving significant environmental impacts and was not obliged to issue any abatement notices or infringement notices in relation to such impacts.

**Good:** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were negligible or minor at most. There were some such issues noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports, but these items were not critical, and follow-up inspections showed they have been dealt with. These minor issues were resolved positively, co-operatively, and quickly. The Council was not obliged to issue any abatement notices or infringement notices in relation to the minor non-compliant effects; however abatement notices may have been issued to mitigate an identified potential for an environmental effect to occur.

For example:

- High suspended solid values recorded in discharge samples, however the discharge was to land or to receiving waters that were in high flow at the time;
- Strong odour beyond boundary but no residential properties or other recipient nearby.

**Improvement required:** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were more than minor, but not substantial. There were some issues noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports. Cumulative adverse effects of a persistent minor non-compliant activity could elevate a minor issue to this level. Abatement notices and infringement notices may have been issued in respect of effects.

**Poor:** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were significant. There were some items noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports. Cumulative adverse effects of a persistent moderate non-compliant activity could elevate an ‘improvement required’ issue to this level. Typically there were grounds for either a prosecution or an infringement notice in respect of effects.

**Administrative performance**

**High:** The administrative requirements of the resource consents were met, or any failure to do this had trivial consequences and were addressed promptly and co-operatively.

**Good:** Perhaps some administrative requirements of the resource consents were not met at a particular time, however this was addressed without repeated interventions from the Council staff. Alternatively adequate reason was provided for matters such as the no or late provision of information, interpretation of ‘best practical option’ for avoiding potential effects, etc.

**Improvement required:** Repeated interventions to meet the administrative requirements of the resource consents were made by Council staff. These matters took some time to resolve, or remained unresolved at the end of the period under review. The Council may have issued an abatement notice to attain compliance.

**Poor:** Material failings to meet the administrative requirements of the resource consents. Significant intervention by the Council was required. Typically there were grounds for an infringement notice.

For reference, in the 2017-2018 year, consent holders were found to achieve a high level of environmental performance and compliance for 76% of the consents monitored through the Taranaki tailored monitoring programmes, while for another 20% of the consents, a good level of environmental performance and compliance was achieved.

### 1.2 Process description

The Waiaua River has been used as a source of hydroelectric power generation since the original construction of the scheme in 1923. The diversion of water from the river below South Road (SH45) (Photo 1) occurs via a 4.5 m high weir, to a head race and storage lake. There is a river channel distance of approximately two kilometres in the Waiaua River between the diversion weir and the sea, known as the residual flow reach. The level of the storage lake (Lake Opunake) varies according to generation requirements. Water passes from Lake Opunake through the penstocks of the powerhouse and finally is released via a tunnel and tailrace onto the southeast end of Opunake Beach and into the Tasman Sea. Lake Opunake is used for a variety of recreational purposes (for example fishing, boating), but is subject to weed and algae proliferation, and also sand inundation.

The weir is designed to divert the total flow of the river for 80% of the time and to overtop only at higher flows. However, some minor seepage often occurs through the sluice gate in the weir. The weir itself constitutes a significant barrier to fish passage, and a fish pass has been installed to aid fish passage into the canal. Monitoring indicates that all species of fish present in the Waiaua River can negotiate this fish pass, but these fish are then expected to swim up the intake tunnels, to re-enter the Waiaua River upstream.
of the weir. One of these tunnels has been retrofitted with a second fish pass type structure, although monitoring indicates that some species cannot negotiate these intake tunnels.

Photo 1 shows the scheme as viewed from State Highway 45, while Photo 2 shows the scheme’s layout, in relation to the township of Opunake. More extensive historical information is provided in previous monitoring reports, listed in the bibliography.

Photo 1  The Waiaua River, weir, intake, canal, fish pass and Lake Opunake, as viewed from the State Highway 45 Bridge, Opunake
Photo 2  Opunake Power Limited scheme on the Waiaua River
1.3 Resource consents

The Company holds eight resource consents the details of which are summarised in the table below and outlined in sections 1.3.1 to 1.3.4. Note that a number of these resource consents expired during the reported period, and the company has not yet submitted a complete application to renew these consents.

Table 1  Consents held in relation to the Opunake Power scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consent number</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Granted</th>
<th>Review</th>
<th>Expires</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1795-4</td>
<td>To take water from the Waiaua River in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme</td>
<td>13 October 2006</td>
<td>No reviews remaining</td>
<td>1 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1796-3</td>
<td>To take and use water from Lake Opunake for hydroelectric power generation in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme</td>
<td>21 March 2001 Varied 16 October 2006</td>
<td>No reviews remaining</td>
<td>1 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1797-3</td>
<td>To discharge sand and silt deposits from a diversion canal sand trap via a spillway to the Waiaua River in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme</td>
<td>21 March 2001</td>
<td>No reviews remaining</td>
<td>1 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4563-2</td>
<td>To erect, place and maintain an outfall structure in the coastal marine area on the Opunake Beach foreshore in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme</td>
<td>21 March 2001</td>
<td>No reviews remaining</td>
<td>1 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4658-2</td>
<td>To disturb the bed of Lake Opunake in the Waiaua catchment by removing reeds and flaxes from the edge of the lake</td>
<td>22 March 2006</td>
<td>No reviews remaining</td>
<td>1 June 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4744-2</td>
<td>To discharge water from hydroelectric power generation through two marine outfall pipes into the Tasman Sea</td>
<td>15 November 2012</td>
<td>No reviews remaining</td>
<td>1 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5581-1</td>
<td>To dam the Waiaua River in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme</td>
<td>21 March 2001</td>
<td>No reviews remaining</td>
<td>1 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5692-1</td>
<td>To disturb the bed of the Waiaua River by removing sediment build-up upstream of a weir for the purpose of maintaining the Opunake hydroelectric scheme intake</td>
<td>21 March 2001</td>
<td>No reviews remaining</td>
<td>1 June 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.3.1 Water abstraction permit

Section 14 of the RMA stipulates that no person may take, use, dam or divert any water, unless the activity is expressly allowed for by a resource consent or a rule in a regional plan, or it falls within some particular categories set out in Section 14.

The Company holds water permit 1795-4 to cover the taking of water from the Waiaua River in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme. This permit was issued by the Council on 13 October 2006 under Section 87(d) of the RMA. It expired on 1 June 2018.

There were twelve special conditions associated with this consent.

Special condition 1 defined the maximum rate of abstraction (3,900 L/s).

Special condition 2 required the Company to exercise the consent generally in accordance with the application. Special conditions 3 and 4 required the Company to maintain fish passage and a residual flow of 80 L/s in the Waiaua River downstream of the fishpass and 180 L/s downstream of the canal sluice gate.
Special condition 5 was a provision to review the appropriateness of a gradual increase in residual flow.

Special condition 6 required notification prior to the consent being exercised.

Special condition 7 defined when the sluice gate/bywash could be closed.

Special condition 8 defined data that must be collected and forwarded to the Council.

Special condition 9 required the Company to review the operational procedure.

Special condition 10 required the Company and Council to meet with interested submitters to the consent once per year to discuss matters relating to this consent.

Special condition 11 related to expiry of the consent should it not be exercised, and the last condition was a review provision.

The Company also holds water permit 1796-3 to take and use water from Lake Opunake for hydroelectric power generation. This permit was issued by the Council on 21 March 2001 under Section 87(d) of the Resource Management Act, and a variation to this consent was granted in the 2005-2006 monitoring year. It expired on 1 June 2018.

There were four special conditions associated with the consent.

Special condition 1 required the Company to maintain water levels in the lake above a specified limit and that approval must be gained prior to lowering it further maintain a constant flow through the fish pass.

Special condition 2 required there to be a constant flow through the fish pass.

Special conditions 3 and 4 required the Company to maintain a record of water levels within the lake at a minimum of 15 minute intervals and to install a staff gauge at Lake Opunake.

The last condition was a review provision.

The permits are attached to this report in Appendix I.

1.3.2 Water discharge permit

Section 15(1)(a) of the RMA stipulates that no person may discharge any contaminant into water, unless the activity is expressly allowed for by a resource consent or a rule in a regional plan, or by national regulations.

The Company holds water discharge permit 1797-3 to cover the discharge of sand and silt deposits from a diversion canal sand trap via a spillway to the Waiaua River. This permit was issued by the Council on 21 March 2001 under Section 87(e) of the RMA. It expired on 1 June 2018.

There were five special conditions associated with this consent.

Special condition 1 stated that the Company shall supply a sediment management protocol within three months of the granting of the consent.

Special condition 2 stated that the Company must maintain a record of any sand trap discharges for supply to the Council.

Special condition 3 required the Company to adopt the best practicable option.

The last two conditions were review provisions.

The Company holds coastal discharge permit 4744-2 to cover the discharge of up to 3,900 L/s of water from hydroelectric power generation through two marine outfall pipes into the Tasman Sea. This permit expired on 1 June 2012, and on 15 November 2012 a new consent was issued by the Council under Section 87(e) of the RMA. This new consent expired on 1 June 2018.
There were 3 special conditions which limited the discharge rate, required that the discharge of contaminated water shall not occur as a result of the exercise of the consent, and that appropriate warning signage was installed and maintained at the discharge point.

The permits are attached to this report in Appendix I.

1.3.3 Land use permits

Section 13(1)(a) of the RMA stipulates that no person may in relation to the bed of any lake or river use, erect, reconstruct, place, alter, extend, remove, or demolish any structure or part of any structure in, on, under, or over the bed, unless the activity is expressly allowed for by a resource consent, a rule in a regional plan, or by national regulations.

The Company holds landuse consent 5581-1 to cover the damming of the Waiaua River in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme. This permit was issued by the Council on 21 March 2001 under Section 87(e) of the RMA. It expired on 1 June 2018.

There were ten special conditions associated with this consent.

Special condition 1 required the Company to undertake the exercise of the consent generally in accordance with the application.

Special condition 2 stated that it was the responsibility of the Company to maintain and operate a safe dam and the Council accepted no responsibility in this regard.

Special condition 3 required that the Company shall maintain a fish pass that allows the passage of native fish, juvenile trout and adult trout. The special conditions also covered issues regarding maintenance work, notification of works, and that should the structure no longer be required then it is to be removed and the area re-instated.

Special conditions 4 to 6 placed limitations and requirements around any maintenance works undertaken on or around the weir, while special condition 7 limited the timing of any riverbed disturbance to between 1 November and 30 April.

Special condition 8 required the structure to be removed and the area reinstated should it no longer be required, and special conditions 9 and 10 were review provisions.

Section 13(2)(b) of the RMA stipulates that no person may disturb, remove, damage, or destroy any plant or part of any plant or the habitats of such plants or of animals in, on, or under the bed of any lake or river in a manner that contravenes a rule in a regional plan or a proposed regional plan unless the activity is allowed for by a resource consent or by Section 20 of the RMA.

The Company holds landuse consent 4658-2 to cover the disturbance of the bed of Lake Opunake in the Waiaua catchment by removing reeds and flaxes from the edge of the lake. This permit was issued by the Council on 22 March 2006 under Section 87(e) of the RMA, expiring on 1 June 2024.

There are nine special conditions associated with this consent.

Special conditions 1 and 2 require the Company to adopt the best practical option to prevent or minimise adverse effects, and to undertake the exercise of the consent generally in accordance with the application.

Special conditions 3 and 4 require the Company to notify the Taranaki Regional Council at least seven days before commencing work and that the works shall only be undertaken during the period 1 May to 31 October.

Special conditions 5 and 6 require that the Company minimise the discharge or placement of silt and/or organics and/or debris into the lake, and that they collect and remove all plant trimmings and other floatable material.
Special condition 7 requires that where removed material is placed on or near the banks of the lake, the Company ensures that decaying vegetation does not fall or leach into the lake.

The last two special conditions specify a lapse date, should this consent not be exercised within five years of it being granted, and provide for a review, if required.

The Company holds landuse consent 5692-1 to cover the disturbance of the bed of the Waiaua River by removing sediment build-up upstream of a weir for the purpose of maintaining the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme. This permit was issued by the Council on 21 March 2001 under Section 87(e) of the RMA. It expired on 1 June 2018.

There were 9 special conditions associated with this consent. Special condition 1 and 2 required notification prior to undertaking maintenance works, and to undertake the exercise of the consent generally in accordance with the application, while special condition 3 stated that the works shall only be undertaken during the period 1 December to 30 April.

Special conditions 4 and 5 required the adoption of the best practicable option to avoid or minimise the discharge of contaminants, and to minimise the area and volume of riverbed disturbance.

Special condition 6 required the Company to keep records of works undertaken.

Special condition 7 required that written permission be obtained prior to the deposition of sediment downstream of the weir.

The last two conditions were review provisions.

The permits are attached to this report in Appendix I.

1.3.4 Coastal permit

Section 12(1)(b) of the RMA stipulates that in the coastal marine area, no person may erect, reconstruct, place, alter, extend, remove, or demolish any structure or any part of a structure that is fixed in, on, under, or over any foreshore or seabed unless expressly allowed by a rule in a regional coastal plan, proposed regional coastal plan or a resource consent.

The Company holds coastal permit 4563-2 to cover the erection, placement, and maintenance of an outfall structure in the coastal marine area on the Opunake Beach foreshore. This permit was issued by the Council on 21 March 2001 under Section 87(e) of the RMA. It expired on 1 June 2018.

There were 7 special conditions associated with the new consent with special condition 1 requiring the Company to undertake the exercise of the consent generally in accordance with the application, and special condition 2 required the Company to notify the Council prior to maintenance works.

Special condition 3 required the Company to adopt the best practical option to prevent or minimise adverse effects, and the area and volume of disturbance was to be minimised as far as practicable, as per special condition 4.

Special condition 5 required the structure to be removed and the area reinstated should it no longer be required, and the last two special conditions were review provisions.

The permit is attached to this report in Appendix I.

This summary of consent conditions may not reflect the full requirements of each condition. The consent conditions in full can be found in the resource consents which are appended to this report.
1.4 Monitoring programme

1.4.1 Introduction

Section 35 of the RMA sets obligations upon the Council to gather information, monitor and conduct research on the exercise of resource consents within the Taranaki region. The Council is also required to assess the effects arising from the exercising of these consents and report upon them.

The Council may therefore make and record measurements of physical and chemical parameters, take samples for analysis, carry out surveys and inspections, conduct investigations and seek information from consent holders.

The monitoring programme for the Company's site consisted of four primary components.

1.4.2 Programme liaison and management

There is generally a significant investment of time and resources by the Council in:

- ongoing liaison with resource consent holders over consent conditions and their interpretation and application;
- in discussion over monitoring requirements;
- preparation for any consent reviews, renewals or new consent applications;
- advice on the Council's environmental management strategies and content of regional plans; and
- consultation on associated matters.

1.4.3 Site inspections

The river intake, lake and station were visited nine times during the monitoring period. Five of these visits were to inspect the site, with regard to consents for the abstraction of or discharge to water and the associated structures. The main points of interest were the maintenance of the fish pass, the maintenance of the residual flow in the river downstream of the weir, and compliance with lake level requirements. Three hydrological inspections were also completed, with the intention to undertake gaugings of the lower river. This component was altered in the current monitoring period, with one of the two programmed gaugings being made provisional, with it only being completed if the first gauging finds it to be warranted. This is further explained in section 2.1.2. Although additional inspections of the fish trap and transfer system were programmed, only one was completed. The second inspection was not warranted as no trap and transfer system had been installed.

Sources of data being collected by the Company were identified and accessed, so that performance in respect of operation, internal monitoring, and supervision could be reviewed by the Council.

1.4.4 Fish surveys

Although no fish surveys were undertaken in the reporting period, they remain a component of the programme, albeit provisional. This means a survey can be undertaken should there be any modifications to the fish pass, to assess the effectiveness of these modifications.

1.4.5 Data audit

Special condition 8 of consent 1795-4 requires that the Company record fish pass water levels and generation figures as a measure of abstraction rates. The company is required to forward the records to the Council at three monthly intervals.

Special condition 3 of consent 1796-3 requires the Company to maintain a record of water levels in Lake Opunake and provide records to the Council at three monthly intervals.
The Company has provided records at 15 minute intervals of generation records and water levels in Lake Opunake. The records were checked to determine whether or not water levels in the lake complied with consent conditions. Fish pass water level data was also received.
2 Results

2.1 Water

2.1.1 Inspections

It is necessary to recount a recent compliance issue, as it influenced the focus of some inspections. During the 2016-2017 monitoring period, the Council received a complaint about sediment laden water entering Lake Opunake. The subsequent inspection confirmed that sediment laden water was entering Lake Opunake, and it was determined that the Company was operating in contravention of permitted activity rule 21 of the Regional Freshwater Plan for Taranaki. As the Company does not hold a resource consent specifically for the discharge of water into Lake Opunake, they need to comply with rule 21, which provides for the discharge of water to surface water. This is a permitted activity, provided (amongst other things) that the discharge does not result in any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity. This standard was not being met at the time of inspection, and as a result the Company was issued with an abatement notice, requiring them to comply with rule 21. The Company was complying with this abatement notice during all subsequent inspections completed in the 2016-2017 monitoring period, and must continue to comply with this notice at all times. It is recommended that when the Company applies to renew the current resource consents for the scheme, that they also apply for a resource consent to discharge water into Lake Opunake. This will formalise the activity and may allow them to discharge discoloured water into Lake Opunake in the future. It may also set discharge standards and monitoring requirements for any future discharges.

The first compliance monitoring inspection during the period under review was completed on 18 August 2017. This inspection noted that the Waiaua River was relatively high and very turbid. Water was being diverted into the race and entering Lake Opunake, from where it was being taken for generation. It was noted that the water at the lake outlet was turbid, but in the wider bays, could be described as dirty (Photo 3). The water entering the lake appeared cleaner than that in the lake, and therefore this is not a breach of the abatement notice. It was also noted during this inspection that the fish pass had suffered some damage and required maintenance, as water was escaping through the base of the pass. There was no trap in place at the head of the pass, and the consent holder was asked to install this as soon as possible. Three whitebaiters were observed at the station outlet.

On 18 September 2017 the second compliance monitoring inspection was completed. During this inspection it was found that a fish trap had been installed at the head of the fish pass. Unfortunately the net had clogged up and been pushed out of alignment by the flow, making it ineffective. Generation ceased during this inspection, but at the coast it was noted that the discharge had not stopped completely, indicating a leak at the control valve.
This control valve was still leaking during the third inspection, undertaken on 20 December 2017. Also during this inspection it was noted that further work had been completed at the lake stop gate, as water had been observed escaping the lake at this point. It appeared that the maintenance had effectively stopped this leak. There was a large delta exposed at the head of the lake at this time, on which 13 pied stilt were feeding.

The following inspection performed on 31 January 2018, found that the extended period of hot weather appeared to have impacted on the fish communities, with one dead eel and two dead trout observed. This hot weather had passed by the time of the fifth inspection, completed on 15 March 2018, which was preceded by a large flood. This flood had mobilised significant amounts of sand and gravel, which were moving downstream. At the lake a large delta was exposed, and much of the lake appeared clogged with macrophytes. There was a large number of water fowl with over 200 Canadian geese observed, along with a number of black swans, mallards, shelduck and pied stilt. The lake level appeared low and may have been in breach of the minimum level, although the staff gauge was too dirty to confirm this. It appeared that the control valve was still leaking.

The final compliance monitoring inspection of the reported period was completed on 20 April 2018. The system was operating normally, although the screen cleaner at the station outlet was not operating. A net was set at the head of the fish pass, but again it had shifted and appeared ineffective.

The station was shut down on 1 June 2018, as the consents had expired. An inspection undertaken at the time found that no generation was occurring, and no water was being discharged to Opunake Beach. The Company informed the Council that another valve had been closed closer to the lake outlet, stopping the discharge of water through the leaking valve. Water was only entering the canal and lake in an effort to maintain water quality in the lake. No generation has occurred since the consents expired.

2.1.2 Hydrological inspections

Special condition 4 of water permit 1795-4 sets residual flows that the operator needs to comply with, as follows:

“The consent holder shall ensure that a residual flow of not less than 80 L/s as measured in the Waiaua River immediately downstream of the fish pass, and not less than 180 L/s as measured in the Waiaua River immediately downstream of the canal sluice gate discharge, is maintained at all times”.

This monitoring component was changed for the reported period, following discussions with the Company. The monitoring programme now required that the upstream gauging be completed, and if the flow was found to be in excess of 180 L/s, being compliant with both residual flows, then no further gaugings were warranted. If the upstream gauging was less than or close to 180 L/s, then an additional gauging was to be completed to check compliance with the higher residual flow. Previously, two gaugings were completed regardless of the flow.

The site was visited three times by hydrology staff during the reported period. A summary of results are presented in Table 2. These gauging results found that the flow downstream of the fish pass (but upstream of the sluice gate) was above 180 L/s on each occasion. This represents good compliance with the required residual flows, and consequently no second gauging downstream of the sluice gate was warranted on either occasion.
Table 2  Hydrological inspection and gauging results for the Waiaua River, and Opunake hydroelectric power scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Fish pass level (mm)</th>
<th>Lake Level (mm)</th>
<th>Flow downstream of fish pass (L/s)</th>
<th>Flow downstream of sluice gate (L/s)</th>
<th>Compliant?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum required:</td>
<td>No minimum (440 optimum)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 October 2017</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 December 2017</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 April 2018</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to undertaking gaugings, the water level in the lake was recorded, and compared with the minimum lake level. The recorded lake level was in compliance with consented limits on each occasion.

On two occasions, the flow in the Waiaua River was also gauged upstream of the scheme. When this is done in conjunction with residual flow gaugings, it allows an estimate to be made of the abstraction rate at that time, being the flow of water into Lake Opunake, by subtracting the flow downstream of the canal sluice gate from that recorded upstream of the scheme. The flow recorded upstream in October 2017 was 3.021 m³/s, resulting in an estimated take rate of 2.499 m³/s, although no gauging was performed downstream of the canal sluice gate. In December 2017, the upstream flow was gauged at 1.269 m³/s, resulting in an estimated take at this time of 1.026 m³/s. These estimated rates of abstraction were all in compliance with the maximum rate of take condition of consent 1795-4, which is set at 3.9 m³/s.

2.1.3  Provision of consent holder data

2.1.3.1  Generation levels

Water permit 1795-4 allows the Company to abstract 3.9 m³/s of water from the Waiaua River. Special condition 8 requires the Company to record generation figures (as a measure of abstraction rates) at a minimum of 15 minute intervals and to make records available to the Council. These records were provided to the Council for the 2017-2018 monitoring period (Figure 1). Most of this data was provided during the reported period, although data for the last two months was provided following a lengthy delay. Although the provision of data has improved since the previous period, it is expected that, provided the consents are renewed and include the requirement to provide data, the 2018-2019 monitoring period will see a further improvement in the timely provision of data.

With regards to the actual recording of data, there were three occasions where there was no generation data available, being from 20 to 22 October, 19 to 22 November and 9 December 2017. It is unclear what caused this loss of data, although it is usually related to equipment failure, often as a result of the computer system crashing. Although it is accepted that at times failures of this nature can occur, it is important that the Company has a backup system in place, even as simple as taking manual readings from time to time while the equipment is replaced/repaired.

It is clear that the site experienced a reduced number of outages in the reported period (evident as gaps in the data), with the largest occurring in March 2018, associated with an electronics issue. The majority of the remaining outages were related to river intake gates being closed, due to the Waiaua River being in flood. There are occasionally issues with the programmed logic controller (PLC). The PLC is used to control the scheme, opening and closing gates as circumstances require. This allows the scheme to run automatically. It appears that issues with the PLC reduced significantly during the 2016-2017 period, with this improved performance continuing through the 2017-2018 period.
This generation data also shows the shutdown once the resource consents for the scheme expired. There was no generation in the month of June.

Figure 2 shows the typical generation cycle over two distinct periods. This indicates that there is some variation in how often generation occurs in a day, with generation ceasing to allow the lake to refill. This variation will in most cases be directly related to the amount of flow in the river, influencing the rate at which the lake refills. This is well illustrated by Figure 2, which shows generation during a dry period (January 2018) and a wet period (October 2017). Figure 2 also shows the influence of tide on the generation figures, as in October, the tide caused a subtle reduction in generation approximately every 12 hours.
2.1.3.2 Lake levels

Special condition 1 of consent 1796-3 outlines the requirements for water level management in Lake Opunake. According to the consent, the water level within the lake is not to be lowered more than 480 mm below the lake spillway crest (lake water level 500 mm), although with the approval of the Council, it may be lowered further for maintenance purposes.

The spillway crest was altered by a previous consent holder, by adding boards to raise the lake level. The altered crest is equivalent in height to 0.98 m on the lake staff gauge. This work was carried out many years ago however, and the Council is of the understanding that the consent conditions relate to the top of the boards as being the “spillway crest”. This has been determined from the consent files, and monitoring data from previous consent holders.

A recording device for monitoring lake levels has been installed since November 2001. Records of lake levels have been provided for 2017-2018 period as required by special condition 3 of consent 1796-3.

The 2017-2018 lake level data is provided in Figure 3, and shows that there were no extended periods where lake level data was not recorded. This maintains the improvement from that reported in the 2015-2016 monitoring period, with much of this improvement coincident with a change in scheme ownership. There were some spikes recorded in the data, but these are disregarded as erroneous data.

In terms of breaches of the minimum lake level, the recorded level dropped below this minimum on twelve occasions, over seventeen calendar days. The number of instances was similar to that recorded in the previous monitoring period, but the number of days involved increased. There were a number of reasons that resulted in the recording of a low lake level, including recorder error (clearly evident in the recorded data as a negative spike), equipment maintenance, and the closing of the river intake in response to a flood.

When there is a flood in the Waiaua River, the intake is closed to minimise sand inundation of the scheme. As a result, the canal is emptied, and even though there is a gate that stops most of the lake water flowing back into the canal, there is inevitably some leakage at this gate, and also at the lake spillway. This leakage draws the lake down if the river remains in flood for some time. During the reported period, there were six occasions when the lake level dropped below the minimum level while the river intake gate was closed.

![Figure 3 Water levels in Lake Opunake, 2017-2018 period](image-url)
An example of this is illustrated in Figure 4, where a flood occurred on 16 September 2017, resulting in the intake gate closing and generation ceasing. The lake level reduced through leakage, dropping below the minimum lake level shortly after generation ceased. The rate at which the lake level reduced at during this shutdown was much quicker than normal. This is likely to be related to the observation made during the September 2017 inspection, with water still being discharged to Opunake Beach after the station was shutdown. The leak at the canal stop gate identified in the 2015-2016 period, which was partly repaired in the 2016-2017 period, was resolved at the start of the reported period.

It is noted that the Company does not draw the lake down to 0.5 m during each generation, and this ensures there is some reserve capacity, should the Waiaua River flood just as generation ceases, reducing the potential for a low lake level to eventuate. No complaints were received regarding the low lake levels during the 2017-2018 monitoring period.

An improvement in the data recorded was noted in the 2003-2004 monitoring period, with a steady improvement occurring over time (2004-2015), and the records reported herein continue to indicate an improvement in the management of the lake level data, being more complete than those provided in previous periods. As with the generation data, there were three occasions of missing data. It is important that data is recorded even if no generation is occurring, and it should be noted that large gaps in the data could be deemed non-compliant. Furthermore, the 5% error applied to the data is a relatively generous allowance, and not consistent with the National Environmental Standard for Water Level Recording (NEMS, 2013). It is likely that this allowance will be reviewed when the consents are processed for renewal.
2.1.3.3 Fish pass water levels

The entire scheme is controlled remotely, with the set up allowing the water level in the fish pass to be managed effectively and water levels adjusted remotely. Fish pass water level data has been supplied to the Council since December 2004. The data for the 2017-2018 period is displayed in Figure 5. As with the generation and lake level data, there are three occasions where there is no data available. Management of the fish pass water levels has improved markedly since the 2015-2016 monitoring period, with flows often being near to the optimum water level (440 mm). This optimum level has been gauged to reflect the 80 L/s residual flow which is required to flow down the fish pass. The larger variations in fish pass water levels observed in Figure 5 relate either to an incorrect reading or to the routine flushing of the canal and fish pass. The data indicates that for 84% of the time, water levels in the fish pass ranged from 390 mm to 490 mm, similar to that recorded in the previous year, maintaining the significant improvement from the 30% noted in the 2015-2016 year.

At times when the intake gates are closed, the fish pass and canal are usually dry. However over the last half of the monitoring year, the Company would open one of the intake gates slightly, in an effort to reduce the rate of water lost from the lake. This ensured some flow was provided down the fish pass also. The lack of flow down the fish pass during a flood results in a lack of fish passage into the canal. Although this is technically not compliant with the wording of the consent, this is not treated as non-compliance. This is because sand inundation, which frequently accompanies a flood in the Waiaua River, can severely impact on the operation of the scheme, by jamming equipment such as gates and hydraulic ramps. It is therefore considered unreasonable to require the gates to remain open during floods.

During June 2018, when the consents had expired, the intake gates were open enough to keep the lake full and to maintain fish passage.

Figure 5  Fish pass water level data, July 2017 – June 2018
2.1.3.4 Cross-checking of data

Along with needing to provide a complete data record, it is also important that the data provided is accurate. This is not only to ensure that consent compliance can be properly assessed, but also so that the Company can operate the scheme as efficiently as possible. The recorded data was checked against the field readings obtained during inspections of the scheme to allow an assessment to the accuracy of data provided. This comparison is presented in Table 3.

The data shows that all the fish pass readings were taken when the water level was at or near optimum flows, and none were above the maximum recordable height of 0.52 m. The readings indicate that on all but two occasions, the accuracy of measurement meet the NEMS (2013) standards of 3 mm accuracy, with the other two readings differing by 4 and 22 mm, with the latter occurring at time when the automatic controller had an issue resulting in a highly variable water level in the fish pass. Overall this represents an improvement from the previous (2016-2017) monitoring period and for the purpose that water level was being recorded, it appears that the data is being recorded at an acceptable level of accuracy.

The lake level data was also assessed for accuracy, and all readings taken were within the range of the level recorder. The readings taken differed from that recorded by between 11 and 190 mm. Some of this variation may be due to the actual time the field reading was taken not being recorded accurately, coupled with a variation in lake level at the time. Lake Opunake is rarely held at a stable level, as the lake is used as storage, meaning when the scheme is operating normally, the lake level is either rising or falling. However, an error of 190 mm is excessive, and the Company needs to take heed of this, with it expected that the lake level is recorded more accurately in the future. Of concern is that there has been no improvement in recorder accuracy from the 2016-2017 period, which also reported issues with accuracy. Although one reading taken during the reported period was less than the minimum lake level, the staff gauge was so dirty that the accuracy of this reading was poor. The company was advised at the time that the lake level may be too low.

Ideally, the maintenance and accuracy of the recorders will be consistent with the National Environmental Standards for water level recording (NEMS, 2013). At the very least, the fish pass and lake level recorders should be maintained to an accuracy of 5%. This 5% should be applied to the range of the recorder, as opposed to applying a 5% error to the actual figure recorded.

Table 3 Field readings of fish pass water levels and lake level compared with recorded levels, 2017-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Fish pass level (m)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Recorded</th>
<th>Difference*</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Lake Level (m)</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Recorded</th>
<th>Difference*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18/08/2017</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/10/2017</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/12/2017</td>
<td>1059</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0900</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0900</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/12/2017</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1248</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/01/2018</td>
<td>1457**</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1415</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/03/2018</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>0.583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/04/2018</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>0.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/04/2018</td>
<td>1348</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>1345</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1415</td>
<td>0.582</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Taking into account the margin of error recorded at the time of taking the reading

** Due to an issue with the automatic controller the fish pass water level was highly variable at this time
2.1.4 Stakeholders meeting

As a requirement of special condition 10 of consent 1795-4, an annual meeting is to be held between the Company, officers of the Council, and interested submitters, to discuss any matters relating to the exercise of this consent, particularly the monitoring programme design, implementation and interpretation, in order to facilitate ongoing consultation.

Over time, since this consent has been granted, the need for this meeting has reduced, as each issue was resolved. As a result there had not been a meeting held for some time. However, with the consents due to expire, it was determined that a meeting was required in the 2017-2018 period.

Fifteen people attended this meeting, which was held on 1 June 2018. This included representatives of the Company, regional and district councils, Taranaki Fish and Game and interested residents.

The discussion initially related to how the scheme operated, and how it responded during a flood. There was also an extended discussion on the sand inundation of the lake, and how it could be resolved. In general there was support for the scheme amongst the attendees, although it should be noted the not all stakeholders were present, with no iwi or Department of Conservation representatives in attendance.

Overall, the following concerns were raised and discussed:

- Loss of recreational use of the lake
- Sand inundation of the lake, although acknowledging that it brings in wading birds
- Macrophyte growth within the lake
- Risks to swimmers on Opunake Beach
- Quality of the trout fishery in the lower river
- How the renewal of consents will proceed.

2.1.5 Fish surveys

No fish survey was undertaken in the 2017-2018 period. The last survey, undertaken on 26 February 2014, supported the conclusion that the weir and intake tunnels constitute a barrier to fish passage, and as such, the Company are non-compliant with resource consents 1795-4 and 5581-1.

In a previous monitoring report (TRC, 2014), it was determined that the Company needed to investigate options for remediating fish passage at this location, and it was recommended their investigations include consultation with the Department of Conservation and Fish and Game NZ.

Opunake Power Limited had begun investigating the development of a trap and transfer system, which may include assistance from the local school. It is was originally anticipated that this system would be in place by August 2016, although this was delayed due to the sale of the scheme. This system was trialled in the 2017-2018 period, with a whitebait net set at the head of the fish pass. However, this was found to be ineffective and prone to blockage. Further work is required to establish an effective trap and transfer system.

2.2 Investigations, interventions, and incidents

The monitoring programme for the year was based on what was considered to be an appropriate level of monitoring, review of data, and liaison with the Company. During the year matters may arise which require additional activity by the Council, for example provision of advice and information, or investigation of potential or actual courses of non-compliance or failure to maintain good practices. A pro-active approach that in the first instance avoids issues occurring is favoured.

The Council operates and maintains a register of all complaints or reported and discovered excursions from acceptable limits and practices, including non-compliance with consents, which may damage the environment. The incident register includes events where the Company concerned has itself notified the Council. The register contains details of any investigation and corrective action taken.
Complaints may be alleged to be associated with a particular site. If there is potentially an issue of legal liability, the Council must be able to prove by investigation that the identified company is indeed the source of the incident (or that the allegation cannot be proven).

In the 2017-2018 period, the Council was not required to record an incident in relation to the Company’s compliance with resource consent conditions.

However, the Council did spend a significant amount of time working with the Company in an effort to guide them through the consent renewal process. This process is ongoing.
3 Discussion

3.1 Discussion of site performance

During the monitoring period under review, the Company has continued maintenance of the system in the face of significant problems caused by the atypical levels of erosion on the mountain within the Waiaua Catchment. Furthermore, localised storms have also impacted on the scheme. The scheme was again impacted by significant sediment impacts, primarily around the weir, where gate controls were compromised at times.

Special condition 2 of consent 1795-4 requires that the Company maintain effective capability for the upstream and downstream passage of native and introduced fish at the diversion weir for the Opunake scheme. During all inspections of the fish pass conducted during the 2017-2018 period, it was noted that the fish pass had appropriate flows, although on one occasion an issue with the automatic controls led to variable flows. The data submitted indicated that flows were close to the optimum for a fair proportion of the monitoring period. It is accepted that the fish pass will not provide optimum fish passage all of the time, as when the system is flushed, shut down in response to a flood or experiencing a high lake level, the fish pass will have an inappropriate amount of flow. The goal is to provide an appropriate flow for the majority of the time. For the 2017-2018 period, it is considered that this requirement has been complied with, maintaining the significant improvement made since the 2015-2016 period, coincident with a change in scheme ownership.

This discussion primarily relates to the provision of fish passage into the canal, as it has already been determined that there is little fish passage possible through the intake tunnels to the river upstream of the weir. The intake tunnels are considered to constitute a barrier to fish passage because although fish have been seen within the canal on occasions and in the fish pass during maintenance periods, fish surveys in the Waiaua River have not yet demonstrated that inanga are able to pass the weir (fish pass and intake tunnels). Other weak swimming species such as smelt and torrentfish have also been detected upstream of the weir only sporadically and in a much lower abundance compared to downstream, suggesting the weir and associated structures remain a barrier. Inanga and smelt have been observed on previous occasions in the canal however, suggesting that the fish pass up to the canal provides adequate passage when operating well. This was confirmed in the 2013-2014 monitoring period, with two sites surveyed in the Waiaua River and one in the nearby Mangahume Stream concluding that the Waiaua River upstream of the weir is relatively depauperate. The Company initiated a trap and transfer programme during the reported period, but this was found to be ineffective and in need of further development.

Special condition 4 of consent 1795-4 requires that 80 L/s of residual flow is provided downstream of the fish pass, and 180 L/s be provided downstream of the canal sluice gate. These flows were found to be compliant during the three hydrological inspections undertaken between 1 July 2017 and 30 June 2018. In addition, a visual estimate made during the compliance monitoring inspections also indicated compliance. The Company understands the importance of providing sufficient residual flow, and has committed to maintaining compliance. Compliance in this area saw strong improvement in the 2013-2014 period when the scheme was under different ownership, and this has continued right through into the currently reported period.

The Company is required to record generation figures, lake level, sand trap discharges, and fish pass water levels, and provide these records to the Council. All of these records, including the sand trap discharges, have been taken, and provided to the Council for the monitoring period in question. Most of this data was provided with less delay than previously, although the last two months of data were provided late. It is important that the Company provides this data in the timeframes specified in the consent, and as such, this is an area where further improvement in performance is required.
Resource consent 1797-3 relates to the discharge of sand and silt deposits from a sand trap within the diversion canal, back to the Waiaua River. Special condition 2 of this consent requires that a record of sand trap discharges be kept, and be provided at three monthly intervals for review. Automation work in the 2003-2004 year meant that sluicing was done automatically, four times per week for 10 minutes (at night). However, this equipment has since been removed, and now sluicing can only be undertaken by manually opening the gate. These manual sluices have been logged by the Company and were forwarded to the Council as a part of the ‘chat log’.

Special condition 1 of resource consent 1796-3 previously specified minimum water levels within Lake Opunake that the Company must maintain at different times of the day (i.e. the operating ranges for the lake). History showed that the previous consent holder struggled to comply with this consent condition. A change to consent conditions was granted on 16 October 2006, which specified a minimum lake level that was to be maintained at all times. Compliance with this condition during the reported period has been good, in that at no time did generation draw the lake down to at or below this limit. However, there was an increase in the number of occasions when the lake dropped below the minimum when the scheme was shut down in response to flooding in the river. It appears that this was directly related to water leaking out of the penstock when no generation was occurring. This was an issue that the Company attempted to resolve during the period, noting that this loss of water also had a financial impact on the scheme. Although this leak had not been resolved by the time the resource consents expired, an additional manual valve was used to stop the flow of water into the penstock. On a positive note, the leak noted in the previous year at the lake stop gate was resolved in the reported period.

In terms of administrative performance, the recording of data has already been discussed, but deteriorated slightly in the reported period, with three distinct periods of missing data, likely related to failure of the recording equipment. However, the Company continued to maintain good contact with the Council, primarily around providing fish passage around the scheme and initiating the consent renewal process. Consent 1795-4 requires the annual provision of a revised operational procedure. This document was received in May 2018, meeting this requirement.

Overall, the scheme has operated well, and at all times the Company has been proactive and quick to respond to any queries from the Council. There is some improvement required regarding administrative performance, although what the future administrative requirements are, are as yet unknown. As the Company was too late in applying to renew the resource consents held for the scheme, they are unable to continue operating, and the scheme remains in inactive while the company works through the consenting process.

3.2 Environmental effects of exercise of consents

The main environmental effects from the hydroelectric power scheme are associated with fish passage upstream of the weir in the Waiaua River and the maintenance of residual flows below the weir. Instream works such as gravel extraction, and maintenance of the lake may also produce adverse effects.

It is believed that the weir on the Waiaua River restricts the upstream migration of poor swimming native fish such as smelt and inanga, which are present downstream of the weir. The only migrant fish recorded upstream of the weir in any numbers are longfin eels and redfin bullies. Despite good management of the fish pass, and previous improvement works on providing passage through the tunnels, no inanga and few smelt have been recorded upstream of the weir. The fish ladder that was installed in the intake tunnel in the 2004-2005 period does not seem to have improved passage for any species. Fish passage works undertaken to date have had very limited success with achieving fish passage past the weir and intake tunnels, and as such, the Company needs to investigate options for remediating fish passage at this location. The Company trialled a trap and transfer system in the current period, utilising a whitebait net, but this was found to be prone to blockage and is in need of further development.
In terms of residual flow, the Company has frequently provided flows above what is required, and this will have gone some way in reducing the adverse effects of the reduced flows in the lower river. A fish survey undertaken in 2014 found large numbers of bullies, which is likely to be a result of the low flow in this reach, as this family of fish prefer lower velocities. However three torrentfish were also recorded, and this species, as their name suggests, prefer swift velocities. This indicates that although the lower flow inevitably reduces the amount of habitat available, it has not necessarily resulted in a loss of species. That said, it does appear that the low flows may result in a reduced abundance of swift water species such as torrentfish.

Gravel extraction in the river has been undertaken in the past to maintain a clear intake, improving the flow of water into the canal. Inspections undertaken in previously reported periods in relation to gravel extraction works have noted only slight discolouration of the river downstream of the works, with the Company operating in a manner that minimises effects during these maintenance works. The Company often diverts the water away from work areas where this is possible and no adverse effects have been noted downstream of instream works when they’ve been undertaken. No such works were undertaken during the 2017-2018 monitoring period. At times vegetation clearance is undertaken around Lake Opunake, although no such works were undertaken in the reported period. The Company is required to notify the Council prior to undertaking such works, so monitoring can be performed if appropriate.

Although lake levels have been outside consent limits on a number of occasions in the reporting period, these instances were not deemed significant as they were beyond the control of the Company, and no complaints were received by Council about these low lake levels.

The hydraulic ram in the fish pass operated well, improving from previous years, with optimum flows noted within the fish pass over the majority of the monitoring period. Only on one occasion was an issue noted during an inspection, and this was resolved quickly by the Company upon notification.

The issue of sedimentation within the lake has been the main topic of discussion with members of the local community who are concerned at the loss of recreational value in the lake. It is clear that the sand delta is continuing to grow, and the Company has been investigating options to either reduce this sand ingress, or to flush this sand out of the lake. This is taking some time, and as yet no solution has been implemented. It is important that this be resolved, as the recreational value of the lake is an important form of mitigation for the scheme’s effects on the local community, including the reduced flows in the lower river. It is likely that the renewal of the resource consents will include consideration of this sand inundation, remediation, and minimising future sedimentation.

3.3 Evaluation of performance

A tabular summary of the consent holder’s compliance record for the year under review is set out in Tables 4 to 11.

Table 4 Summary of performance for Consent 1795-4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose: To take water from the Waiaua River in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme</th>
<th>Means of monitoring during period under review</th>
<th>Compliance achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition requirement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Limits of abstraction rates</td>
<td>Inspections, review of data</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Exercise of consent in accordance with application</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Fish passage</td>
<td>Inspections, previous fish surveys</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Residual flows</td>
<td>Inspections/Hydrological gaugings</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Purpose:** To take water from the Waiaua River in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition requirement</th>
<th>Means of monitoring during period under review</th>
<th>Compliance achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Review condition – residual flow</td>
<td>Actioned by TRC in 2009 – withdrawn 25 May 2015</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Notification condition</td>
<td>Company to notify council</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Sluice gate to be closed at certain flows</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Recording of generation and fishpass levels</td>
<td>Records provided to Council 3 monthly, review of records</td>
<td>Yes (delayed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Consent holder to review Operational Procedure by 30 June</td>
<td>Provision of Operational Procedure during reported period</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Meeting with stakeholders annually</td>
<td>Meeting held</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Consent to lapse if not exercised in five years</td>
<td>Consent was exercised</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Review Condition</td>
<td>No review sought by either Council or Company under this condition</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent: **Good**

Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent: **Good**

N/A = not applicable

**Table 5** Summary of performance for Consent 1796-3

**Purpose:** To take and use water from Lake Opunake for hydroelectric power generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition requirement</th>
<th>Means of monitoring during period under review</th>
<th>Compliance achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Defines lake levels within which the consent holder must operate</td>
<td>Inspections, records provided to Council 3 monthly</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Must maintain a constant flow down fish pass</td>
<td>Inspections, records provided to Council – Note, the Company is not required to provide flow when the scheme is shut down in response to flooding or for maintenance.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Monitor lake levels and forward records to Council 3 monthly</td>
<td>Records provided to Council</td>
<td>Yes (delayed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Maintain a staff gauge at Lake Opunake</td>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Review of consent</td>
<td>No review sought by Council</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent: **Good**

Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent: **Good**

N/A = not applicable
Table 6  Summary of performance for Consent 1797-3

**Purpose:** To discharge sand and silt deposits from a diversion canal sand trap via a spillway to the Waiaua River

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition requirement</th>
<th>Means of monitoring during period under review</th>
<th>Compliance achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Supply sediment management protocol within 3 months of granting consent</td>
<td>Received by Council in September 2001</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Record sand trap discharges and supply to Council</td>
<td>Automated discharges 4 times/week; Manual discharges logged but not supplied to Council</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Adopt best practicable option</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Option for change or cancellation of conditions</td>
<td>No review sought by either Council or Company</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Review of consent</td>
<td>No review sought by Council</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent: **High**

Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent: **Good**

N/A = not applicable

Table 7  Summary of performance for Consent 4563-2

**Purpose:** To erect, place and maintain an outfall structure in the coastal marine area on the Opunake Beach foreshore

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition requirement</th>
<th>Means of monitoring during period under review</th>
<th>Compliance achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Structure shall be maintained in accordance with application</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Notify Council prior to and following maintenance works</td>
<td>No maintenance work undertaken</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Adopt best practicable option</td>
<td>No maintenance work undertaken</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Disturbance minimised during works</td>
<td>No maintenance work undertaken</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Structure shall be removed if no longer required</td>
<td>Structure still in use</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Option for change or cancellation of conditions</td>
<td>No review sought by either Council or Company</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Review of consent</td>
<td>No review sought by Council</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent: **High**

Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent: **High**

N/A = not applicable
Table 8  Summary of performance for Consent 4658-1

**Purpose:** To disturb the bed of Lake Opunake by removing reeds and flaxes from the edge of the lake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition requirement</th>
<th>Means of monitoring during period under review</th>
<th>Compliance achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Consent holder to adopt best practical option</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Works to be undertaken in accordance with application</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Notify Council prior to works</td>
<td>No works undertaken</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Defines time of year works can be undertaken in</td>
<td>No works undertaken</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Minimise discharge or placement of silt/organics/debris into lake</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Remove all plant trimmings during work</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Place removed material so it does not enter lake</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Consent to lapse if not exercised in five years</td>
<td>Consent was exercised</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Review Condition</td>
<td>No review sought by Council</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent: N/A

Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent: N/A

N/A = not applicable (consent not exercised in 2017-2018)

Table 9  Summary of performance for Consent 4744-2

**Purpose:** To discharge water from hydroelectric power generation through two marine outfall pipes into the Tasman Sea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition requirement</th>
<th>Means of monitoring during period under review</th>
<th>Compliance achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Discharge rate limit</td>
<td>Review of data</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Discharge of contaminated water shall not occur</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Installation of warning signs</td>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent: High

Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent: High

N/A = not applicable
Table 10  Summary of performance for Consent 5581-1

| Purpose: To dam the Waiaua River in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Condition requirement | Means of monitoring during period under review | Compliance achieved? |
| 1. Structure shall be maintained in accordance with application | Inspections | Yes |
| 2. Maintain and operate a safe dam | Inspections | Yes |
| 3. Maintain a fish pass | Inspections, fish surveys | No |
| 4. Notify Council prior to and following maintenance works which involve disturbance of the bed | No works undertaken | N/A |
| 5. Adopt best practicable option | No works undertaken | N/A |
| 6. During works, bed disturbance shall be kept to a minimum and reinstated | No works undertaken | N/A |
| 7. Defines times when disturbance of river bed may be undertaken | Notification and inspections | Yes |
| 8. Removal of structure when no longer required | Structure still in use | N/A |
| 9. Option for change or cancellation of conditions | No review sought by either Council or Company | N/A |
| 10. Review of consent | No review sought by Council | N/A |

Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent: **Good**

Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent: **High**

N/A Not applicable

Table 11  Summary of performance for Consent 5692-1

| Purpose: To disturb the bed of the Waiaua River by removing sediment build-up upstream of a weir |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Condition requirement | Means of monitoring during period under review | Compliance achieved? |
| 1. Notification prior to and following disturbance | No works undertaken | N/A |
| 2. Disturbance shall be undertaken generally in accordance with application documentation | No works undertaken | N/A |
| 3. Defines times when disturbance of river bed may be undertaken | No works undertaken | N/A |
| 4. Adopt best practicable option | No works undertaken | N/A |
Purpose: *To disturb the bed of the Waiaua River by removing sediment build-up upstream of a weir*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition requirement</th>
<th>Means of monitoring during period under review</th>
<th>Compliance achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. During works, bed disturbance shall be kept to a minimum and reinstated</td>
<td>No works undertaken</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Maintain a record of disturbance activity and forward to Council annually</td>
<td>No works undertaken</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Placement of sediment downstream of weir only with Council permission</td>
<td>No works undertaken</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Option for change or cancellation of conditions</td>
<td>No review sought by either Council or Company</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Review of consent</td>
<td>No review sought by Council</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent: **High**
Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent: **Good**

N/A = not applicable (consent not exercised in 2017-2018)

During the year, the Company demonstrated a ‘good’ level of environmental and administrative performance with the resource consents as defined in Section 1.1.4. During the year under review, management of residual flows was very good, with flows often exceeding the minimum required by some margin. However, the fact that fish passage remains an issue is the principle reason that certain consents only attained a ‘good’ rating. There were a small number of administrative oversights by the Company, primarily around the delayed provision of data, and a small number of gaps in this data. Although there is a need to improve accuracy of the recorded data, an improvement was noted in the accuracy of the fish passage water level data. Overall, the improved performance noted in the 2016-2017 monitoring period, coincident with the change in owner, was maintained in the 2017-2018 period.

3.4 Recommendations from the 2016-2017 Annual Report

In the 2016-2017 Annual Report, it was recommended:

1. THAT in the first instance, monitoring of consented activities at Opunake hydroelectric power scheme in the 2017-2018 year be amended from that undertaken in 2016-2017, by making one of the two gaugings undertaken per hydrological inspection provisional.
2. THAT should there be issues with environmental or administrative performance in 2017-2018, monitoring may be adjusted to reflect any additional investigation or intervention as found necessary.
3. THAT the option for a review of resource consent 4658 in June 2018, as set out in condition 9 of the consent, not be exercised, on the grounds that the existing conditions are adequate to deal with the adverse effects of the activity.

These recommendations were implemented in the 2017-2018 period.
3.5 Alterations to monitoring programmes for 2018-2019

In designing and implementing the monitoring programmes for air/water discharges in the region, the Council has taken into account:

- the extent of information already made available through monitoring or other means to date;
- its relevance under the RMA;
- the Council’s obligations to monitor consented activities and their effects under the RMA;
- the record of administrative and environmental performances of the consent holder; and
- reporting to the regional community.

The Council also takes into account the scope of assessments required at the time of renewal of permits, and the need to maintain a sound understanding of industrial processes within Taranaki exercising resource consents.

It is proposed that for 2018-2019 that the monitoring programme remain unchanged from the 2017-2018 period, although this monitoring is not to be implemented unless new resource consents have been granted. In the interim, the site will not be actively monitored. It should be noted that it is likely that the monitoring programme will need to be reviewed upon any resource consents being granted, to ensure that the existing monitoring programme remains appropriate.
4 Recommendations

1. THAT in the first instance, the monitoring programme for the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme in the 2018-2019 year remain unchanged from the 2017-2018 programme, but that this programme be inactive while resource consent applications are processed.

2. THAT should new resource consents be granted in relation to this scheme, the monitoring programme is reviewed to ensure it is still appropriate.

3. THAT should there be issues with environmental or administrative performance in 2018-2019, monitoring may be reinstated and/or adjusted to reflect any additional investigation or intervention as found necessary.
## Glossary of common terms and abbreviations

The following abbreviations and terms may be used within this report:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biomonitoring</td>
<td>Assessing the health of the environment using aquatic organisms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bund</td>
<td>A wall around a tank to contain its contents in the case of a leak.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumec</td>
<td>A volumetric measure of flow- 1 cubic metre per second (1 m³s⁻¹).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO</td>
<td>Dissolved oxygen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>Elevated flow in a stream, such as after heavy rainfall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident</td>
<td>An event that is alleged or is found to have occurred that may have actual or potential environmental consequences or may involve non-compliance with a consent or rule in a regional plan. Registration of an incident by the Council does not automatically mean such an outcome had actually occurred.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Action/s taken by Council to instruct or direct actions be taken to avoid or reduce the likelihood of an incident occurring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation</td>
<td>Action taken by Council to establish what were the circumstances/events surrounding an incident including any allegations of an incident.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident Register</td>
<td>The Incident Register contains a list of events recorded by the Council on the basis that they may have the potential or actual environmental consequences that may represent a breach of a consent or provision in a Regional Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L/s</td>
<td>Litres per second.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m²</td>
<td>Square Metres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI</td>
<td>Macroinvertebrate community index; a numerical indication of the state of biological life in a stream that takes into account the sensitivity of the taxa present to organic pollution in stony habitats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixing zone</td>
<td>The zone below a discharge point where the discharge is not fully mixed with the receiving environment. For a stream, conventionally taken as a length equivalent to 7 times the width of the stream at the discharge point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>Nephelometric Turbidity Unit, a measure of the turbidity of water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physicochemical</td>
<td>Measurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource consent</td>
<td>Refer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Sections 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMA</td>
<td>Resource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Suspended solids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQMCI</td>
<td>Semi quantitative macroinvertebrate community index.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>Temperature, measured in °C (degrees Celsius).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turb</td>
<td>Turbidity, expressed in NTU.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UI</td>
<td>Unauthorised Incident.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For further information on analytical methods, contact a Science Services Manager.
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Appendix I

Resource consents held by Opunake Power Limited

(For a copy of the signed resource consent please contact the TRC Consents department)
Water Permit
Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991
a resource consent is hereby granted by the
Taranaki Regional Council

Name of Consent Holder: Opunake Power Limited
PO Box 91826
Auckland 1142

Decision Date: 13 October 2006
Commencement Date: 13 October 2006

Conditions of Consent
Consent Granted: To take water from the Waiaua River in association with the Opunake hydro electric power scheme

Expiry Date: 1 June 2018
Site Location: South Road (State Highway 45), Opunake
Legal Description: Lot 1 SS6265 Sib Sec 47 Borough of Opunake Blk IX Opunake SD
Grid Reference (NZTM): 1674582E-5632132N
Catchment: Waiaua

For General, Standard and Special conditions pertaining to this consent please see reverse side of this document
General conditions

a) On receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.

b) Unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder’s own expense.

c) The consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:

i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent; and
ii) charges authorised by regulations.

Special conditions

1. The take authorised by this consent shall be limited to a maximum of 3900 litres per second.

2. The exercise of this consent shall be undertaken generally in accordance with the documentation submitted in support of application 2661. In the case of any contradiction between the documentation submitted in support of application 2661 and the conditions of this consent, the conditions of this consent shall prevail.

3. The consent holder shall maintain a fish pass that allows the passage of native fish, juvenile trout and adult trout to habitat upstream of the weir at SH45.

4. The consent holder shall ensure that a residual flow of not less than 80 L/s as measured in the Waiaua River immediately downstream of the fish pass, and not less than 180 L/s as measured in the Waiaua River immediately downstream of the canal sluice gate discharge, is maintained at all times.

5. In accordance with section 128 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council shall review during the month of June 2009 and/or June 2012, the appropriateness of a gradual increase in the residual flow specified in condition 4 of this consent.

6. The consent holder shall notify the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, in writing at least seven days prior to the exercise of this consent.

7. The sluice gate/bywash shall only be closed when the level of the Waiaua River in receding flows falls below a level of 100 mm above the intake weir crest.

8. The consent holder shall record generation figures [as a measure of abstraction rates] and fish pass water levels at a minimum of 15-minute intervals, and shall make such records available to the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, at three monthly intervals.
9. The consent holder shall review the Operational Procedure and forward this to the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council by 30 June of each year. The scheme shall be operated in accordance with this Operational Procedure.

10. The consent holder and staff of the Taranaki Regional Council shall meet as appropriate, and at least once per year, with interested submitters to the consent, to discuss any matter relating to the exercise of this resource consent, particularly the monitoring programme design, implementation and interpretation, in order to facilitate ongoing consultation.

11. This consent shall lapse on the expiry of five years after the date of issue of this consent, unless the consent is given effect to before the end of that period or the Taranaki Regional Council fixes a longer period pursuant to section 125(1)(b) of the Resource Management Act 1991.

12. In accordance with section 128 and section 129 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review, amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review during the month of June 2009 and/or June 2012, for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects on the environment arising from the exercise of this resource consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it was not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Transferred at Stratford on 10 March 2016

For and on behalf of
Taranaki Regional Council

__________________________________________
A D McLay
Director - Resource Management
Water Permit
Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991
a resource consent is hereby granted by the
Taranaki Regional Council

Name of Consent Holder: Opunake Power Limited
PO Box 91826
Auckland 1142

Decision Date (Change): 16 October 2006
Commencement Date (Change): 16 October 2006 (Granted: 21 March 2001)

Conditions of Consent
Consent Granted: To take and use water from Lake Opunake for hydroelectric power generation in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme

Expiry Date: 1 June 2018
Site Location: Lake Opunake, Layard Street, Opunake
Legal Description: Lot 1 SS6265 Sub Sec 47 Borough of Opunake Blk IX Opunake SD

Grid Reference (NZTM) 1674033E-5631971N
Catchment: Waiaua

For General, Standard and Special conditions pertaining to this consent please see reverse side of this document

Page 1 of 3
General conditions

a) On receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.

b) Unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.

c) The consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
   
i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent; and
   ii) charges authorised by regulations.

Special conditions

Conditions 1 & 2 (changed)

1. The consent holder shall maintain levels within Lake Opunake in the following manner:
   
a) the lake level shall not be lowered more than 480 mm (equivalent to 500 mm on lake staff gauge) below the lake spillway crest (980 mm on lake staff gauge);
   
b) lowering the lake below this level for maintenance (i.e., weed control, de-silting or other operational reasons) shall be done only with the prior written approval of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council.

2. Notwithstanding special condition 1 the exercise of this consent shall be undertaken to ensure there is a constant flow through the fish pass.

Conditions 3 & 4 (unchanged)

3. The consent holder shall monitor Lake Opunake levels, at a minimum of 15 minute intervals and shall make records of such measurements available to the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, at three monthly intervals.

4. The consent holder shall install and maintain a staff gauge at Lake Opunake to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council.
Consent 1796-3

**Condition 5 (changed)**

5. In accordance with section 128 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review, amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review during the month of June 2009 and/or June 2012, for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions adequately deal with the environmental effects arising from the exercise of this consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it was not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Transferred at Stratford on 10 March 2016

For and on behalf of
Taranaki Regional Council

__________________________________________

A D McLay

Director - Resource Management
Discharge Permit
Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991
a resource consent is hereby granted by the
Taranaki Regional Council

Name of Consent Holder: Opunake Power Limited
PO Box 91826
Auckland 1142

Decision Date: 21 March 2001
Commencement Date: 21 March 2001

Conditions of Consent
Consent Granted: To discharge sand and silt deposits from a diversion canal sand trap via a spillway to the Waiaua River in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme

Expiry Date: 1 June 2018
Site Location: Lake Opunake, Layard St, Opunake
Legal Description: Lot 1 SS6265 Sub Sec 47 Borough of Opunake Blk IX Opunake SD

Grid Reference (NZTM) 1674248E-5631944N
Catchment: Waiaua

For General, Standard and Special conditions pertaining to this consent please see reverse side of this document
Consent 1797-3

General conditions

a) That on receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council (hereinafter the Chief Executive), the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.

b) That unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.

c) That the consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
   i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent; and
   ii) charges authorised by regulations.

Special conditions

1) The consent holder shall supply a sediment management protocol within three months of the granting of this consent for the written approval of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council.

2) The consent holder shall maintain a record of any sand trap discharges for supply to the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, at three monthly intervals.

3) The consent holder shall at all times adopt the best practicable option, as defined in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to avoid or minimise the adverse effect of the discharge.

4) The consent holder may apply to the Taranaki Regional Council for a change or cancellation of the conditions of their consent, in accordance with section 127(1)(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991, to take account of operational requirements or the results of the monitoring.

5) In accordance with section 128 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review during the month of December 2003 and/or June 2006 and/or June 2012, for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions adequately deal with the environmental effects arising from the exercise of this consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it is not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Transferred at Stratford on 10 March 2016

For and on behalf of
Taranaki Regional Council

__________________________________________
A D McLay
Director - Resource Management
Coastal Permit
Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991
a resource consent is hereby granted by the
Taranaki Regional Council

Name of Consent Holder: Opunake Power Limited
PO Box 91826
Auckland 1142

Decision Date: 21 March 2001

Commencement Date: 21 March 2001

Conditions of Consent
Consent Granted: To erect, place and maintain an outfall structure in the coastal marine area on the Opunake Beach foreshore in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme
Expiry Date: 1 June 2018
Site Location: Opunake Beach, Beach Road, Opunake
Legal Description: Lot 1 SS6265 Sub Sec 47 Borough of Opunake Blk IX Opunake SD
Grid Reference (NZTM) 1673748E-5632044N
Catchment: Tasman Sea
General conditions

a) That on receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council (hereinafter the Chief Executive), the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.

b) That unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.

c) That the consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:

1) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent; and
2) charges authorised by regulations.

Special conditions

1) The structure authorised by this consent shall be maintained generally in accordance with the information submitted in support of the application and to ensure that the conditions of this consent are met.

2) The consent holder shall notify the Taranaki Regional Council, at least 48 hours prior to the commencement and upon completion of, the any subsequent maintenance works which would involve disturbance of, deposition to, or discharges to the coastal marine area.

3) That during any maintenance works the consent holder shall adopt the best practicable option, as defined in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to avoid or minimise the discharge of silt or other contaminants and to avoid or minimise the disturbance of the coastal marine area and any effects to water quality.

4) That during any maintenance works the consent holder shall ensure that the area and volume of disturbance shall so far as is practicable, be minimised and any areas which are disturbed, shall so far as is practicable be reinstated.

5) The structure authorised by this consent shall be removed and the area reinstated, if and when it is no longer required. The consent holder shall notify the Taranaki Regional Council at least 48 hours prior to the structures removal and reinstatement.

6) The consent holder may apply to the Taranaki Regional Council for a change or cancellation of the conditions of their consent, in accordance with section 127(1)(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991, to take account of operational requirements or the results of the monitoring.
7) In accordance with section 128 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review during the month of December 2003 and/or June 2006 and/or June 2012, for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions adequately deal with the environmental effects arising from the exercise of this consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it is not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Transferred at Stratford on 10 March 2016

For and on behalf of
Taranaki Regional Council

A D McLay
Director - Resource Management
Land Use Consent
Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991
a resource consent is hereby granted by the
Taranaki Regional Council

Name of Consent Holder: Opunake Power Limited
PO Box 91826
Auckland 1142

Decision Date: 22 March 2006
Commencement Date: 22 March 2006

Conditions of Consent

Consent Granted: To disturb the bed of Lake Opunake in the Waiaua catchment by removing reeds and flaxes from the edge of the lake

Expiry Date: 1 June 2024
Review Date(s): June 2018
Site Location: Lake Opunake, Layard Street, Opunake
Legal Description: Sec 46-49 Borough of Opunake Blk IX Opunake SD
Grid Reference (NZTM) 1674148E-5632044N
Catchment: Waiaua
Tributary: Opunake Lake

For General, Standard and Special conditions pertaining to this consent please see reverse side of this document
Consent 4658-2

General conditions

a) On receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.

b) Unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.

c) The consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
   i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent; and
   ii) charges authorised by regulations.

Special conditions

1. The consent holder shall at all times adopt the best practicable option, as defined in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to prevent or minimise any adverse effects on the environment from the exercise of this consent.

2. The exercise of this consent shall be undertaken generally in accordance with the documentation submitted in support of application 4057. In the case of any contradiction between the documentation submitted in support of application 4057 and the conditions of this consent, the conditions of this consent shall prevail.

3. The consent holder shall notify the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, in writing at least seven days prior to commencing work.

4. That works shall only be undertaken during the period 1 May to 31 October.

5. That the consent holder shall observe every practicable measure to minimise the discharge or placement of silt and/or organics and/or debris into the lake.

6. That the consent holder shall collect and remove all plant trimmings and other floatable material produced during the works.

7. That where removed material is placed on or near the banks of the lake, this is done in a manner which avoids decaying vegetation or leaching into the lake or the Waiaua River.

8. This consent shall lapse on the expiry of five years after the date of issue of this consent, unless the consent is given effect to before the end of that period or the Taranaki Regional Council fixes a longer period pursuant to section 125(1)(b) of the Resource Management Act 1991.
9. In accordance with section 128 and section 129 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review, amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review during the month of June 2012 and/or June 2018, for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects on the environment arising from the exercise of this resource consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it was not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Transferred at Stratford on 10 March 2016

For and on behalf of
Taranaki Regional Council

__________________________________________
A D McLay
Director - Resource Management
Coastal Permit
Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991
a resource consent is hereby granted by the
Taranaki Regional Council

Name of Consent Holder: Opunake Power Limited
PO Box 91826
Auckland 1142

Decision Date: 15 November 2012
Commencement Date: 15 November 2012

Conditions of Consent

Consent Granted: To discharge water from hydroelectric power generation through two marine outfall pipes into the Tasman Sea

Expiry Date: 1 June 2018

Site Location: Beach Road, Opunake

Legal Description: Sec 48 Opunake Suburban (Discharge source)
Adjacent to Sec 1 Blk VII TN of Opunake (Discharge site)

Grid Reference (NZTM): 1673815E-5631907N

Catchment: Tasman
Waiaua

Tributary: Opunake Lake

For General, Standard and Special conditions pertaining to this consent please see reverse side of this document
General condition

a. The consent holder shall pay to the Taranaki Regional Council [the Council] all the administration, monitoring and supervision costs of this consent, fixed in accordance to section 36 of the Resource Management Act.

Special conditions

1. The rate of discharge shall not exceed 3900 litres per second.

2. There shall be no discharge of contaminated water as a result of the exercise of this consent.

3. The consent holder shall install and/or maintain signage at the site of discharge warning the public that there may be discharge of water from the outfall structures at any time.

Transferred at Stratford on 10 March 2016

For and on behalf of
Taranaki Regional Council

A D McLay
Director - Resource Management
Land Use Consent
Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991
a resource consent is hereby granted by the
Taranaki Regional Council

Name of Consent Holder: Opunake Power Limited
PO Box 91826
Auckland 1142

Decision Date: 21 March 2001
Commencement Date: 21 March 2001

Conditions of Consent

Consent Granted: To dam the Waiaua River in association with the Opunake hydroelectric power scheme

Expiry Date: 1 June 2018
Site Location: South Road (State Highway 45), Opunake
Legal Description: Lot 1 SS6265 Sib Sec 47 Borough of Opunake Blk IX Opunake SD
Grid Reference (NZTM) 1674548E-5632144N
Catchment: Waiaua
Consent 5581-1

General conditions

a) That on receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council (hereinafter the Chief Executive), the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.

b) That unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.

c) That the consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
   i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent; and
   ii) charges authorised by regulations.

Special conditions

1. The weir authorised by this consent shall be maintained generally in accordance with the information submitted in support of the application and to ensure that the conditions of this consent are met.

2. It is the responsibility of the consent holder to maintain and operate a safe dam and the Taranaki Regional Council accepts no responsibility in this regard.

3. The consent holder shall maintain a fish pass that allows the passage of native fish, juvenile trout and adult trout.

4. The consent holder shall notify the Taranaki Regional Council, at least 48 hours prior to the commencement and upon completion of, the any subsequent maintenance works which would involve disturbance of, or deposition to, the riverbed or discharges to water.

5. That during any maintenance works the consent holder shall adopt the best practicable option, as defined in the section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to avoid or minimise the discharge of silt or other contaminants into water or onto the riverbed and to avoid or minimise the disturbance of the riverbed and any adverse effects on water quality.

6. That during any maintenance works the consent holder shall ensure that the area and volume of riverbed disturbance shall so far as is practicable, be minimised and any areas which are disturbed, shall so far as is practicable be reinstated.

7. That any disturbance of parts of the riverbed covered by water and/or any works which may result in downstream discolouration shall be undertaken only between 1 November and 30 April, except where this requirement is waived in writing by the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council.
8. The structure[s] authorised by this consent shall be removed and the area reinstated, if and when the structure[s] are no longer required. The consent holder shall notify the Taranaki Regional Council at least 48 hours prior to structure[s] removal and reinstatement.

9. The consent holder may apply to the Taranaki Regional Council for a change or cancellation of the conditions of their consent, in accordance with section 127(1)(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991, to take account of operational requirements or the results of the monitoring.

10. In accordance with section 128 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review during the month of December 2003 and/or June 2006 and/or June 2012, for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions adequately deal with the environmental effects arising from the exercise of this consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it is not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Transferred at Stratford on 10 March 2016

For and on behalf of
Taranaki Regional Council

_____________________________
A D McLay
Director - Resource Management
Land Use Consent
Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991
a resource consent is hereby granted by the
Taranaki Regional Council

Name of Consent Holder: Opunake Power Limited
PO Box 91826
Auckland 1142

Decision Date: 21 March 2001
Commencement Date: 21 March 2001

Conditions of Consent

Consent Granted: To disturb the bed of the Waiaua River by removing sediment build-up upstream of a weir for the purpose of maintaining the Opunake hydroelectric scheme intake

Expiry Date: 1 June 2018
Site Location: South Road (State Highway 45), Opunake
Legal Description: Lot 1 SS6265 Sub Sec 47 Borough of Opunake Blk IX Opunake SD
Grid Reference (NZTM) 1674548E-5632144N
Catchment: Waiaua

For General, Standard and Special conditions pertaining to this consent please see reverse side of this document
General conditions

a) That on receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council (hereinafter the Chief Executive), the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.

b) That unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.

c) That the consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:

i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent; and

ii) charges authorised by regulations.

Special conditions

1) The consent holder shall notify the Taranaki Regional Council in writing at least 72 hours prior to the commencement and upon completion of any disturbance licensed by this consent.

2) The disturbance authorised by this consent shall be undertaken generally in accordance with the documentation submitted in support of the application and to ensure the conditions of this consent are met.

3) Any disturbance of parts of the riverbed covered by water and/or any works which may result in downstream discolouration shall be undertaken only between 1 December and 30 April, except where this requirement is waived in writing by the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council.

4) The consent holder shall adopt the best practicable option, as defined in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to avoid or minimise the discharge of silt or other contaminants into water or onto the riverbed and to avoid or minimise the disturbance of the riverbed and any adverse effects on water quality.

5) The consent holder shall ensure that the area and volume of riverbed and bank disturbance shall, so far as is practicable, be minimised and any areas which are disturbed shall, so far as is practicable, be reinstated.

6) The consent holder shall maintain a record of all disturbance activity including, timing and duration of disturbance activities and volumes of sediment removed, and shall forward this to the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council on an annual basis, by 31 May each year.

7) The placement of sediment downstream of the weir for the purposes of maintaining clearance at the intake gates shall only be undertaken upon written approval of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, and in accordance with special conditions 3, 4, and 5.
8) The consent holder may apply to the Taranaki Regional Council for a change or cancellation of the conditions of their consent, in accordance with section 127(1)(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991, to take account of operational requirements or the results of the monitoring.

9) In accordance with section 128 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review during the month of December 2003 and/or June 2006 and/or June 2012, for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions adequately deal with the environmental effects arising from the exercise of this consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it is not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Transferred at Stratford on 1 April 2016

For and on behalf of
Taranaki Regional Council

__________________________________________
A D McLay
Director - Resource Management