# McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2013-2014

Technical Report 2014-68

ISSN: 0144-8184 (Print) ISSN: 1178-1467 (Online) Document: 1412475 (Word) Document: 1452341 (Pdf) Taranaki Regional Council Private Bag 713 STRATFORD

February 2015

# **Executive summary**

McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited (MASL) operates an aluminium melting and extrusion plant located at Bell Block, in the Mangaone and Mangati catchments. Processing of copper and brass (copper/zinc) at the metal melting and extrusion plant ceased in June 2002 and January 2003, respectively. MASL holds resource consents to allow it to discharge into the Mangaone and Mangati streams, and to discharge emissions into the air. This report for the period July 2013-June 2014 describes the monitoring programme implemented by the Taranaki Regional Council (the Council) to assess MASL's environmental performance during the period under review, and the results and environmental effects of their activities.

MASL holds a total of two resource consents that are covered within this particular report: consent 1857 to discharge stormwater into an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream, and consent 4034 to discharge emissions into the air, which together include a total of 22 conditions setting out the requirements that they must satisfy.

# During the 2013-14 year, MASL demonstrated an overall good level of environmental performance with the resource consents.

The Council's monitoring programme for the year under review included four site inspections, 18 water samples collected for physicochemical analysis, two biomonitoring surveys of receiving waters, and one deposition gauge survey in the vicinity of the foundry site.

As in the previous monitoring period, sampling showed slight improvements in water quality in relation to dissolved copper and dissolved zinc concentrations. This supports the trend from previous sampling of an improvement in water quality within the receiving environment. Biomonitoring results have also continued to indicate a slight improvement in water quality and ecological conditions in the stream over the last few years. MCI and SQMCI<sub>S</sub> scores indicate that treated stormwater discharged from the site is not having a detrimental effect on the macroinvertebrate communities of the unnamed tributary.

The results from deposition gauging indicate that there was an environmentally acceptable level of particulate deposition in the vicinity of the foundry site. No visible emissions or odour issues were noted during inspections and no complaints were received during the period under review.

During the year, MASL demonstrated a good level of environmental performance and a high level of administrative compliance with the resource consents. The Council was required to record one incident and issue an abatement notice in association with the conditions of resource consent 1857-6. This notice was complied with and there were no resulting adverse environmental effects. The site was well managed and general housekeeping on site was excellent.

For reference, in the 2013-2014 year, 60% of consent holders in Taranaki monitored through tailored compliance monitoring programmes achieved a high level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents, while another 29% demonstrated a good level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents.

This report includes a recommendation for the 2014-2015 year.

# Table of contents

				Page			
1.	Intro	duction		1			
	1.1	Compl	iance monitoring programme reports and the Resource				
		Manag	rement Act 1991	1			
		1.1.1	Introduction	1			
		1.1.2		1			
		1.1.3		2 2			
	1.2	<ul><li>1.1.4 Evaluation of environmental and administrative performance</li><li>Process description</li></ul>					
	1.2 Frocess description 1.2.1 Discharges to stormwater						
		1.2.1 1.2.2	Discharges to air	4 6			
	1.3		rce consents	7			
	1.3.1 Water discharge permit			, 7			
		1.3.1	Air discharge pemit	8			
	1.4		pring programme	9			
		1.4.1	Introduction	9			
		1.4.2	Programme liaison and management	9			
		1.4.3	Site inspections	9			
		1.4.4	Chemical sampling	10			
		1.4.5	Biomonitoring surveys	10			
2.	Resu	lts		12			
	2.1	Water		12			
		2.1.1	Stormwater management plan	12			
		2.1.2	Inspections	12			
		2.1.3	Results of discharge and receiving water monitoring	13			
		2.1.4	Biological monitoring of receiving waters	17			
	2.2	Air		19			
		2.2.1	Inspections	19			
	• •	2.2.2	Deposition dust gauging	19			
	2.3	Investi	gations, interventions, and incidents	21			
3.	Discu	ussion		22			
	3.1	Discuss	sion of site performance	22			
	3.2	Enviro	nmental effects of exercise of consents	22			
		3.2.1	Environmental effects of exercise of water discharge permit	22			
		3.2.2	Environmental effects of exercise of air discharge permit	22			
	3.3	Evaluation of performance					
	3.4	Recommendations from the 2012-2013 Annual Report					
	3.5	Alterat	tions to monitoring programmes for 2014-2015	25			
4.	Reco	mmendat	ion	26			

Glossary of common terms and abbreviations	27
Bibliography and references	29
Appendix I Resource consents held by McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited	

Appendix II Biomonitoring reports

# List of tables

Table 1	Results of discharge and receiving environment monitoring for the 2013-	
	2014 year	15
Table 2	Results of combined discharge (STW001027) and receiving water	
	(MGO000031) monitoring for the 2013-2014 year	16
Table 3	Dry weather Sanger's Intake (MGO000031) monitoring results for the	
	2013-2014 year	16
Table 4	Foundry deposition gauge results for the 14 Feb – 7 Mar 2014 survey	20
Table 5	Summary of performance for Consent 1857-6 to discharge treated	
	stormwater into the Mangaone Stream	23
Table 6	Summary of performance for Consent 4034-3 to discharge emissions into	
	the air	24

# List of figures

Figure 1	MASL foundry site, showing the boundary between Mangaone and	
	Mangati stream catchments and water monitoring sites	5
Figure 2	Location of the MASL plant at Bell Block and related water quality	
	monitoring sites	11
Figure 3	Locations of dust deposition monitoring sites in relation to the MASL site.	19

# List of photos

Photo 1 MASL Baghouse

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Compliance monitoring programme reports and the Resource Management Act 1991

#### 1.1.1 Introduction

This report is the Annual Report for the period July 2013-June 2014 by the Taranaki Regional Council (the Council) on the monitoring programme associated with resource consents held by McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited (MASL). The Company operates an aluminium foundry and extrusion process situated at Paraite Road, in the Mangaone and Mangati catchments. The location of the site is shown in Figures 1 and 2 below.

Copper and brass foundries and extrusion processes had previously been operated at the site. Copper processing ceased in June 2002 and brass processing ceased in January 2003. MASL also previously operated a separate powdercoating facility on Connett Rd in the Mangati catchment. This operation was shifted to the main Paraite Rd site during the 2008-2009 year and is now monitored under the existing consents for this site.

This report covers the results and findings of the monitoring programme implemented by the Council in respect of the consent held by MASL that relates to discharges of water within the Mangaone catchment (1857)<sup>1</sup>. This report also covers the results of the Council's monitoring programme associated with the air discharge permit held by MASL to cover emissions to air from the site (4034).

One of the intents of the Resource Management Act 1991 [RMA] is that environmental management should be integrated across all media, so that a consent holder's use of water, air, and land should be considered from a single comprehensive environmental perspective. Accordingly, the Taranaki Regional Council generally implements integrated environmental monitoring programmes and reports the results of the programmes jointly. This report discusses the environmental effects of Company's use of water and air, and is the twenty first combined annual report by the Council for the foundry site.

## 1.1.2 Structure of this report

Section 1 of this report is a background section. It sets out general information about compliance monitoring under the RMA and the Council's obligations and general approach to monitoring sites though annual programmes, the resource consents held by MASL, the nature of the monitoring programme in place for the period under review, and a description of the activities and operations conducted at the Company's site.

Section 2 presents the results of monitoring during the period under review, including scientific and technical data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Two stormwater discharge permits are held for the MASL site, consents 1857 and 3139. Consent 3139 (for the discharge of cooling water and stormwater from the MASL site to the Mangati Stream) is reported separately by the Taranaki Regional Council in a joint report covering discharges within the Mangati catchment.

Section 3 discusses the results, their interpretations, and their significance for the environment.

Section 4 presents recommendations to be implemented in the 2014-2015 monitoring year.

A glossary of common abbreviations and scientific terms, and a bibliography, are presented at the end of the report.

#### 1.1.3 The Resource Management Act 1991 and monitoring

The RMA primarily addresses environmental 'effects' which are defined as positive or adverse, temporary or permanent, past, present or future, or cumulative. Effects may arise in relation to:

- (a) the neighbourhood or the wider community around an activity, and may include cultural and social-economic effects;
- (b) physical effects on the locality, including landscape, amenity and visual effects;
- (c) ecosystems, including effects on plants, animals, or habitats, whether aquatic or terrestrial;
- (d) natural and physical resources having special significance (eg, recreational, cultural, or aesthetic);
- (e) risks to the neighbourhood or environment.

In drafting and reviewing conditions on discharge permits, and in implementing monitoring programmes, the Council is recognising the comprehensive meaning of 'effects' inasmuch as is appropriate for each activity. Monitoring programmes are not only based on existing permit conditions, but also on the obligations of the RMA to assess the effects of the exercise of consents. In accordance with section 35 of the RMA, the Council undertakes compliance monitoring for consents and rules in regional plans, and maintains an overview of the performance of resource users and consent holders. Compliance monitoring, including both activity and impact monitoring, enables the Council to continually re-evaluate its approach and that of consent holders to resource management and, ultimately, through the refinement of methods and considered responsible resource utilisation, to move closer to achieving sustainable development of the region's resources.

#### 1.1.4 Evaluation of environmental and administrative performance

Besides discussing the various details of the performance and extent of compliance by the consent holder/s during the period under review, this report also assigns a rating as to each Company's environmental and administrative performance.

**Environmental performance** is concerned with <u>actual or likely effects</u> on the receiving environment from the activities during the monitoring year. **Administrative performance** is concerned with the Company's approach to demonstrating consent compliance <u>in site operations and management</u> including the timely provision of information to Council (such as contingency plans and water take data) in accordance with consent conditions.

Events that were beyond the control of the consent holder <u>and</u> unforeseeable (i.e. a defence under the provisions of the *RMA* can be established) may be excluded with regard to the performance rating applied. For example loss of data due to a flood destroying deployed field equipment.

The categories used by the Council for this monitoring period, and their interpretation, are as follows:

#### **Environmental Performance**

- **High** No or inconsequential (short-term duration, less than minor in severity) breaches of consent or regional plan parameters resulting from the activity; no adverse effects of significance noted or likely in the receiving environment .The Council did not record any verified unauthorised incidents involving significant environmental impacts and was not obliged to issue any abatement notices or infringement notices in relation to such impacts.
- **Good** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were negligible or minor at most. There were some such issues noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports, but these items were not critical, and follow-up inspections showed they have been dealt with. These minor issues were resolved positively, co-operatively, and quickly. The Council was not obliged to issue any abatement notices or infringement notices in relation to the minor non-compliant effects; however abatement notices may have been issued to mitigate an identified potential for an environmental effect to occur.

#### For example:

- High suspended solid values recorded in discharge samples, however the discharge was to land or to receiving waters that were in high flow at the time;
- Strong odour beyond boundary but no residential properties or other recipient nearby.
- **Improvement required** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were more than minor, but not substantial. There were some issues noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports. Cumulative adverse effects of a persistent minor non-compliant activity could elevate a minor issue to this level. Abatement notices and infringement notices may have been issued in respect of effects.
- **Poor** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were significant. There were some items noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports. Cumulative adverse effects of a persistent moderate non-compliant activity could elevate an 'improvement required' issue to this level. Typically there were grounds for either a prosecution or an infringement notice in respect of effects.

#### Administrative compliance

- **High** The administrative requirements of the resource consents were met, or any failure to do this had trivial consequences and were addressed promptly and co-operatively.
- **Good** Perhaps some administrative requirements of the resource consents were not met at a particular time, however this was addressed without repeated interventions from the Council staff. Alternatively adequate reason was provided for matters such as the no or late provision of information, interpretation of 'best practical option' for avoiding potential effects, etc.
- **Improvement required** Repeated interventions to meet the administrative requirements of the resource consents were made by Council staff. These matters took some time to resolve, or remained unresolved at the end of the period under review. The Council may have issued an abatement notice to attain compliance.
- **Poor** Material failings to meet the administrative requirements of the resource consents. Significant intervention by the Council was required. Typically there were grounds for an infringement notice.

For reference, in the 2013-2014 year, 60% of consent holders in Taranaki monitored through tailored compliance monitoring programmes achieved a high level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents, while another 29% demonstrated a good level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents.

## 1.2 Process description

#### 1.2.1 Discharges to stormwater

The MASL manufacturing plant extends across the boundary between two stormwater catchments (Figure 1). Drainage from the western side (3.9 hectares) is to the eastern headwaters of the Mangaone Stream, about four kilometres from where the stream enters the Waiwhakaiho River. Drainage from the eastern side (4.9 hectares) is to the Mangati Stream. There are separate consents for stormwater discharges to the two catchments. This report relates to the western stormwater discharge. The eastern stormwater discharge, consent 3139, is monitored under the Mangati Stream Catchment Monitoring Programme.

The area which drains to the Mangaone catchment is bounded by the Marton – New Plymouth railway, Henwood Road, and the main building. Significant changes have occurred at the site during the last fifteen years with respect to both processing activities undertaken at the site and improvements to control the effects of historical activities. An outline of the historical activities and their potential impacts on current stormwater quality is given below. More detailed discussions are contained in previous monitoring reports, which are listed in the bibliography.




Figure 1 MASL foundry site, showing the boundary between Mangaone and Mangati stream catchments and water monitoring sites

Approximately 40% of the site draining to the Mangaone catchment is planted, 16% roofed, 41% tar seal or concrete, and 3% bare gravel.

The estimated storm flow to the Mangaone system, for a 10-minute duration event of 5 year return period, is 670 litres per second. Currently, for consent compliance purposes, the discharge point is at Henwood Road railway crossing. Monitoring is also undertaken at the points of exit from the site.

Aerial emissions from the now defunct brass and copper foundry and swarf drying plant prior to the installation of the baghouse in 1996, have contributed to the significant concentrations of metals, particularly copper, zinc and lead, now found in the surface soils at the site. Historical practices relating to the handling and storage of scrap, dross and baghouse dust have also resulted in particular areas having elevated metals concentrations.

Included in the Mangaone catchment are a tool room, fabrication shops, an aluminium anodising plant, an anodising effluent treatment plant, and a powdercoating facility. Also in this catchment are areas previously used in relation to the copper and brass remelting and extrusion activities, which are now used for aluminium scrap sorting, finishing and warehousing.

A Stormwater Management Plan developed by the Company identifies actions that MASL has already carried out and is continuing to undertake to minimise the potential for contaminants to enter the stormwater from current operating practices and historical contamination. The progress the Company has made with the action items discussed in the plan is covered in section 2.1.1 of this report.

There are two main stormwater drains westward from the site, which converge immediately above the railway crossing.

The southern drainage ditch runs approximately parallel with the railway, starting outside the former swarf drying plant and brass foundry. Its catchment includes a bunded holding area for drummed waste substances. The drainage from this area is

discharged via a piped system which incorporates a baffled sediment and oil trap. A stop valve is fitted just downstream of the trap allowing isolation of the discharge in the event of a spillage in this catchment.

The western drain services the area around the aluminium anodising plant and fabrication building. The anodising effluent treatment plant is contained within a bunded area. The stormwater discharges to a small swamp in the eastern headwaters of the Mangaone Stream, about four kilometres from where the stream enters the Waiwhakaiho River further west. The swamp is fed from groundwater seeps and from a small lake on the property of the Pacific International Hotel Management School on Henwood Road. This area was redeveloped during the creation of the SH3 Bell Block bypass. Transit NZ holds consents for the reclamation of part of the northern end of the lake (5801) and to discharge stormwater from road surface drainage into the lakes (5803).

#### 1.2.2 Discharges to air

In January 2008, a reconditioned gas fired furnace was installed which replaced the previous gas fired melting and holding furnaces. Only clean scrap is loaded into the new gas furnace, which discharges directly to atmosphere through a separate stack.

The Company receives clean in-house production and purchased scrap aluminum extrusions and ingots for re-melting and casting into extrusion log. A small amount of fumeless cleaning flux is used during the melting process. Small additions of silicon and magnesium are made to the aluminum in the gas fired furnace after it is melted for alloying purposes. The furnace burners are turned to low during dross removal, which combined with the fumeless flux, means that there are no emissions generated. No toxic metals are used during the melting process.



Photo 1 MASL Baghouse

Before the aluminum is cast, further fumeless cleaning flux is used, along with casting table and launder coating applications. Continuous lubricant is injected into molds during the casting process.

Anodised and powdercoated scrap is chopped for loading into a separate induction furnace where emissions are routed to the aluminum baghouse. A fumeless cleaning flux is used after melting, followed by dross removal with the melt then being transferred to the gas fired furnace.

Discharges to air from the powdercoating facility are monitored under the foundry site consent. The potential effects from powdercoating are emissions of powder and odours into the air from the process. If solvents are used in the process there is also potential for air emissions to contain a toxic component. These effects can all be avoided by appropriate technology; and in this case an exhaust fan followed by a baghouse is used. This technology is simple, robust and effective.

During past monitoring periods, there were frequent, but intermittent, plume discharges from the various sources to air. These varied in their impact offsite, depending on processing and weather conditions at the time of emission. Fugitive emissions have not been noted from vents on the aluminium building roof in recent monitoring periods. The baghouse controls have pre-set temperature limits, which will divert the exhaust gases directly to the stacks if the incoming gases exceed the limits set. This avoids the superheated gases damaging the baghouse or its components. This type of discharge is still subject to conditions 1 and 2 of consent 4034-3, which require the consent holder to adopt the 'best practicable option' to minimise adverse effects upon the receiving environment from the discharges.

## 1.3 Resource consents

#### 1.3.1 Water discharge permit

Section 15(1)(a) of the RMA stipulates that no person may discharge any contaminant into water, unless the activity is expressly allowed for by a resource consent or a rule in a regional plan, or by national regulations.

McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited holds water permit **1857-6** to discharge treated stormwater from an industrial premise that includes a metal extrusion plant into an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream. This permit was issued by the Council on 4 December 2012 under Section 87(e) of the RMA. It is due to expire on 1 June 2026.

There are nine special conditions imposed on consent 1857-6.

Condition 1 requires that the consent holder adopts the best practicable option to prevent or minimise any adverse effects on the environment.

Condition 2 limits the catchment area of the discharge to 4 hectares.

Condition 3 requires that hazardous substance storage areas are isolated from the stormwater catchment.

Condition 4 specifies limits for the components within the discharge immediately downstream of the railway crossing culvert.

Condition 5 establishes a mixing zone of 150 metres extending from below the railway crossing culvert, beyond which compliance with section 107 of the RMA is required.

Condition 6 requires that MASL maintain a contingency plan for action to be taken in the event of accidental discharge or spillage of contaminants.

Condition 7 requires the maintenance of a stormwater management plan to the satisfaction of the Council.

Condition 8 requires the consent holder to notify the Council prior to making changes at the site which may alter the nature of the discharge.

Condition 9 provides for review of the consent.

The permit is attached to this report in Appendix I.

#### 1.3.2 Air discharge pemit

Section 15(1)(c) of the RMA stipulates that no person may discharge any contaminant from any industrial or trade premises into air, unless the activity is expressly allowed for by a resource consent, a rule in a regional plan, or by national regulations.

McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited holds air discharge permit **4034-3** to cover the discharge of emissions into air from the smelting of non-ferrous metals and associated processes. This permit was first issued by the Council on 8 September 1993 as a resource consent under Section 87(e) of the RMA. It was most recently renewed on 15 August 2008 and is due to expire on 1 June 2026.

There are thirteen special conditions imposed on consent 4034-3.

Conditions 1 and 2 require that the consent holder adopts the best practicable option to prevent or minimise any adverse effects on the environment.

Condition 3 stipulates that any discharge to air shall not give rise to offensive or toxic levels of smoke, dust or odour at or beyond the site boundary.

Conditions 4, 5 and 6 limit the dust deposition rate beyond the site boundary; the total particulate matter concentration of ventilated gas streams; and the effect of discharges on the ambient suspended particulate concentration at the site boundary.

Condition 7 requires the consent to be exercised in accordance with the consent holder's Environmental Management Manual.

Condition 8 requires the consent holder to notify the Council prior to making any changes at the site which may alter the nature of the discharge.

Conditions 9, 10 and 11 stipulate limits on the opacity of any discharge; the increase in the ground level PM<sub>10</sub> concentration beyond the boundary due to site discharges; and

the concentration of any other contaminants in the discharge not specifically named in this consent.

Condition 12 requires the consent holder to immediately notify the Council of any incident or situation that does not comply with this consent, and to supply a written report on the incident to the Council within one week.

Condition 13 provides for review of the consent.

The permit is attached to this report in Appendix I.

## 1.4 Monitoring programme

#### 1.4.1 Introduction

Section 35 of the RMA sets out obligations upon the Council to gather information, monitor, and conduct research on the exercise of resource consents, and the effects arising, within the Taranaki region and report upon these.

The Council may therefore make and record measurements of physical and chemical parameters, take samples for analysis, carry out surveys and inspections, conduct investigations, and seek information from consent holders.

The monitoring programme for the MASL site consisted of four primary components.

#### 1.4.2 Programme liaison and management

There is generally a significant investment of time and resources by the Council in:

- ongoing liaison with resource consent holders over consent conditions and their interpretation and application;
- in discussion over monitoring requirements;
- preparation for any reviews;
- renewals;
- new consents;
- advice on the Council's environmental management strategies and content of regional plans; and
- consultation on associated matters.

#### 1.4.3 Site inspections

The MASL site was visited four times during the monitoring period. With regard to the consents for the discharge to water, the main points of interest were plant processes with potential or actual discharges to receiving watercourses, including contaminated stormwater and process wastewaters. Air inspections focused on plant processes with associated actual and potential emission sources and characteristics, including potential odour, dust, noxious or offensive emissions. Sources of data being collected by the consent holder were identified and accessed, so that performance in respect of operation, internal monitoring, and supervision could be reviewed by the Council. The neighbourhood was surveyed for environmental effects.

#### 1.4.4 Chemical sampling

The Council undertook sampling of the discharge from the site for the purpose of compliance monitoring, and surveyed the water quality upstream and downstream of the discharge point and mixing zone to determine the impact on the receiving water.

There are eight permanent chemical monitoring sites (as shown in Figures 1 and 2). These are the western and eastern discharge points; the discharge drain at Henwood Rd; the lake outlet upstream of the stormwater discharge; the northern arm of the swamp upstream of the discharge; the eastern arm of the swamp which receives the discharge (just inside the mixing zone); the receiving water in the unnamed tributary; and the receiving water in the Mangaone Stream at Egmont Rd.

One monitoring run is carried out annually in wet weather, for compliance monitoring of the stormwater discharge, and one run is carried out annually in dry weather, to determine long term trends in the quality of the receiving water. Samples are analysed for pH, conductivity, suspended solids and concentrations of total and dissolved copper and zinc. The results of this monitoring are presented in Table 1.

In addition, one reduced wet weather sampling run and three dry weather receiving water samples were taken to assess the relative levels of dissolved copper and zinc in the combined discharge and the receiving water below the mixing zone. The results are included in Tables 2 and 3.

To assess levels of particulate emissions to air, deposition gauges were placed in the vicinity of the site on one occasion during the period under review and the collected samples were analysed for deposited particulates and selected metals.

#### 1.4.5 Biomonitoring surveys

Biological surveys were performed in November 2013 and February 2014 in an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream to determine whether or not the discharge of stormwater from the site had had a detrimental effect upon the communities of the stream.

The full survey reports are attached in Appendix II.




Figure 2 Location of the MASL plant at Bell Block and related water quality monitoring sites

# 2. Results

## 2.1 Water

## 2.1.1 Stormwater management plan

A comprehensive Stormwater Management Plan was received by Council in the 2000-2001 monitoring period, which addressed both current and historical issues impacting on the site's discharges to the Mangati and Mangaone streams. The Company adopted a combined approach aimed primarily at elimination or minimisation at source, but also encompassing settlement devices.

Changes in management practices or engineering solutions were implemented to address current activities where there is a potential for the discharge of contaminants. Where possible, the soils containing elevated levels of toxicants as a result of historical activities were immobilised on site by either covering or by curbing and planting as appropriate.

A significant commitment was required to progress the upgrades outlined in the plan, and therefore the improvements were staged over a number of years with initial projects targeting issues with the highest potential for adverse effects. The majority of the control measures identified were completed by the end of 2005. A stormwater quality monitoring programme aimed at identifying, isolating, managing and assessing improvements to the quality of discharges from the site was also incorporated into the plan.

The progress the Company made each year in addressing the matters identified in the Stormwater Management Plan is detailed in earlier monitoring reports listed in the bibliography. Due to concerns raised by Council about the possible effects on stormwater quality, the removal of the pine trees from the south east corner of the site was staggered. The final phase of this project was due for completion in March 2010. However, due to budgetary concerns, the tree removal and replanting programme was deferred until the 2011-2012 year. This change to the original programme was approved by the Council. Undertaking this activity over a number of years has allowed MASL to have greater control over the sediments released from the area.

The tenth revision of the Stormwater Management Plan became effective on 25 May 2010. Observations and discussions at inspections that relate to the stormwater management plan are contained in the following section.

## 2.1.2 Inspections

Four inspections were undertaken at the MASL site during the period under review. Due to time constraints, the final inspection for the year occurred on 1 July 2014. The following was found during the inspections:

## 27 August 2013

It was overcast with no wind at the time of inspection. No objectionable odours or emissions were noted. Visual inspection was undertaken with Bruce Wells [MASL]. The site was tidy and clean. Good processes and procedures were in place and no issues were raised. It was noted that the base of the open drain nearest the railway line was dark in colour (grey/black). This may have required further investigation to determine whether the cause of this discolouration was natural or from a site discharge.

#### 2 December 2013

There was a light breeze from the west with no cloud cover. No objectionable odours or emissions were noted during the inspection with Bruce Wells. The site was dry and reasonably tidy. During the inspection Bruce noted that a spill had occurred due to a burst pipe, resulting in a contaminant flowing towards a stormwater drain. The spill was detected and cleaned up before it entered the waterway. It was identified that the bund was inadequate to contain a spill and works were to be undertaken to upgrade the bund. All stormwater drains looked clean and clear except for one where the water within the sump was discoloured. It was concluded that the source of the discolouration may have been the release of tannins from a tree above the sump.

#### 21 March 2014

An inspection of the site was carried out to check that consent conditions were being complied with. The weather was fine at the time of inspection with little to no wind. Bruce Wells was present during the inspection. In general the site was tidy and clean. However, two issues were raised and needed addressing. The first related to the disposal of waste filters. It was observed that filters had been placed into a container and left beside a skip bin. Water that had been used to cool the filters down was leaking from the container towards a stormwater drain. It was noted that the water was discoloured and likely to contain contaminants. It was discussed with Bruce that the filters should be cooled down without water or the container sealed to stop the leakage.

The second issue was that the bund for the acid-etch sludge press had not been constructed as discussed during the previous inspection. Spills from this system would likely discharge to a stormwater drain. An abatement notice was to be issued requiring adequate bunding to be installed.

#### 1 July 2014

A follow-up site visit was carried out with Bruce Wells. Bruce advised that a planting programme had recently been carried out. An above ground bunded petrol tank was to be installed. The bund around the anodising acid-etch sludge filter press had been completed and the abatement notice was being complied with. No new issues were raised at the time of inspection.

#### 2.1.3 Results of discharge and receiving water monitoring

Samples were taken by the Council from several permanent sampling points under dry conditions on 19 May 2014 and in wet weather conditions on 5 May 2014. The results are presented in Table 1. A reduced wet weather run was conducted on 30 May 2014. A summary of these combined discharge and receiving water results is given in Table 2. Three dry weather samples of the tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the mixing zone were also taken during the year. The results from these samples are presented in Table 3.

Dry weather runs are intended to determine long term trends in the quality of the receiving water. Historical metals concentration data available for dry weather runs was limited prior to the introduction of regular sampling at the start of the 1999-2000 monitoring period.

Wet weather runs enable the Council to determine whether compliance with the discharge conditions on consent 1857-6 is being achieved, and what effects the discharge is having on the receiving waters.

Up until a consent renewal in September 2003 there were six prescribed control limits imposed upon the MASL stormwater discharge. These limits applied to the pH, suspended solids, oil and grease, and copper, lead and zinc concentrations. From September 2003 to the end of the 2012 monitoring period the three prescribed control limits imposed on contaminants were for pH, oil and grease, and suspended solids. The current version of the consent also includes a limit on dissolved copper in the combined discharge of  $0.05 \text{ g/m}^3$  and  $1 \text{ g/m}^3$  for dissolved zinc.

The consent also limits the effects that the discharge may have on the receiving water beyond a mixing zone extending 150 m from where the discharge enters the swampy area. Site MGO000028 is within this mixing zone.

Traditionally, the establishment of water quality criteria has been based upon the use of measures such as "total recoverable metals" for determining acceptable limits for metal concentrations in discharges. However, in recent years a number of countries including the United States (under the aegis of the USEPA) have revised their toxicant criteria towards the protection of freshwater aquatic ecosystems in the receiving environment, and as such dissolved metal concentrations are considered more representative of the bioavailable fraction of metals in the water column.

The receiving water quality criteria for dissolved copper and zinc, in water with hardness of 50 g/m<sup>3</sup> CaCO<sub>3</sub>, are 0.0062 g/m<sup>3</sup> Cu and 0.058 g/m<sup>3</sup> Zn for chronic (long-term) exposure. The corresponding criteria for acute (1-hour) exposure are 0.0088 g/m<sup>3</sup> Cu and 0.064 g/m<sup>3</sup> Zn. Chronic exposure criteria are best related to dry weather sampling results, whilst acute exposure criteria are best related to wet weather sampling conditions.

Aside from the dissolved metal concentrations within the mixing zone in the Eastern Arm [MGO000028], none of the samples collected from the tributary of the Mangaone Stream exceeded the relevant USEPA receiving water criteria for the protection of aquatic ecosystems.

The levels of dissolved copper found within the mixing zone of the Eastern Arm (MGO000028) were lower than the median of previous sampling, which is  $0.044 \text{ g/m}^3$  Cu. The level of dissolved zinc during the wet run exceeded the median of previous results of  $0.41 \text{ g/m}^3$  Zn. However, in general there is continuing evidence of an improvement in the water quality of the tributary of the Mangaone Stream in relation to both dissolved copper and dissolved zinc.

		Discharge			Downstream		Upstream		
Parameter	Western Drain	Eastern Drain	Combined Discharge	East Arm	Sanger's Intake*	Egmont Road	North Arm	Lake Outlet	
Site code	STW001095	STW002044	STW001027	MGO000028	MGO000031	MGO000050	MGO000023	MGO000022	
			Dry Run	i – 19 May 20	14				
Temperature (degC)	-	-	-	18.3	13.5	13.5	15.5	15.1	
Conductivity (mS/m)	-	-	-	19.9	17.5	18.8	17.8	10.2	
рН	-	-	-	6.6	7.0	7.1	6.8	6.6	
Suspended Solids (g/m³)	-	-	-	< 2	< 2	3	2	10	
Cu Acid Soluble (g/m³)	-	-	-	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	
Cu Dissolved (g/m³)*	-	-	-	0.015	0.002	0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Zn Acid Soluble (g/m³)	-	-	-	0.204	0.006	< 0.005	0.007	0.038	
Zn Dissolved (g/m <sup>3</sup> )*	-	-	-	0.189	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.005	0.028	
			Wet Ru	n – 5 May 20°	14				
Temperature (degC)	16.3	16.2	16.3	15.6	15.2	15.4	15.6	15.7	
Conductivity (mS/m)	4.9	7.7	5.0	15.0	17.5	17.6	15.8	7.9	
рН	6.3	7.6	6.4	6.3	6.9	7.0	6.7	6.4	
Suspended Solids (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	< 2	8	2	< 2	2	8	< 2	4	
Cu Acid Soluble (g/m³)	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	
Cu Dissolved (g/m³)*	0.015	0.009	0.016	0.021	0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.002	
Zn Acid Soluble (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.04	0.143	0.991	0.547	0.016	0.008	0.019	0.042	
Zn Dissolved (g/m <sup>3</sup> )*	1.01	0.076	0.965	0.510	0.011	< 0.005	0.016	0.034	
Al Acid Soluble (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.3	0.4	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	
Pb Acid Soluble (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	-	-	-	-	-	
Oil and Grease (g/m³)	< 0.5	0.5	< 0.5	-	-	-	-	-	
*USEPA acute criteri	a for dissolve	d metals: Cu	0.0088 g/m <sup>3</sup>	and Zn 0.064	g/m³ [at hardr	ness of 50 g/m <sup>2</sup>	<sup>3</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> ]	I	

 Table 1
 Results of discharge and receiving environment monitoring for the 2013-2014 year

The results for all other parameters indicated that the discharge was not having an adverse effect on the receiving waters. Levels of aluminium, lead, oil and grease, and suspended solids in the discharge were low and in compliance with applicable consent conditions.

The results of combined discharge and receiving water monitoring for the 2013-2014 monitoring period are summarised in Table 2. The monitoring points are the stormwater culvert at the Henwood Road railway crossing (STW001027), which is representative of the total stormwater discharge from the foundry site to the Mangaone catchment, and the unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream that is located downstream of the MASL stormwater discharge point. The second location is shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 and is referred to as Sanger's Intake (MGO000031)<sup>2</sup>. One set of samples were taken during the period under review. All results for dissolved metals in the tributary below the mixing zone were below the USEPA acute receiving water criteria. The discharge was in compliance with consent 1857-6.

Table 2Results of combined discharge (STW001027) and receiving water (MGO000031) monitoring<br/>for the 2013-2014 year

Date	Sampling point	Temp (ºC)	рН	Conductivity (mS/m)	Suspended Solids (g/m³)	Dissolved Copper* (g/m³)	Dissolved Zinc* (g/m³)
20 ( 14	STW001027	13.6	7.1	6.9	72	0.023	0.393
30.6.14	MGO000031*	13.3	7.1	13.9	18	0.004	0.020
*USEDA acuto critoria for discolved motals: Cu 0.0088 g/m <sup>3</sup> and Zn 0.064 g/m <sup>3</sup> [at bardness of 50 g/m <sup>3</sup> CaCOa]							

\*USEPA acute criteria for dissolved metals: Cu 0.0088 g/m<sup>3</sup> and Zn 0.064 g/m<sup>3</sup> [at hardness of 50 g/m<sup>3</sup> CaCO<sub>3</sub>]

The aim of this monitoring was to examine any potential correlation between the levels of dissolved metals in the MASL discharge and in the tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the mixing zone. This monitoring was instigated during the previous monitoring periods for the purpose of gathering data to assist in setting practical metals content limits in the Company's renewed stormwater discharge consent.

Date	Temp (ºC)	рН	Conductivity (mS/m)	Dissolved Copper* (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	Dissolved Zinc* (g/m <sup>3</sup> )
27.2.14	16.4	7.1	22.0	< 0.001	0.012
13.6.14	12.2	6.9	13.8	0.003	0.010
23.6.14	12.0	7.0	16.7	0.002	0.014
*USEPA chronic criteria for dissolved metals: Cu 0.0062 g/m <sup>3</sup> and Zn 0.058 g/m <sup>3</sup> [at hardness of 50 g/m <sup>3</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> ]					

 Table 3
 Dry weather Sanger's Intake (MGO000031) monitoring results for the 2013-2014 year

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Sanger's Intake sampling location is downstream of the stormwater mixing zone. It also provides a useful indication of the overall quality of the receiving water within the unnamed tributary. This is because this particular sampling location is representative of all contributing sources of heavy metals, including several other sources of contaminants that are not directly associated with the stormwater discharge itself.

Three samples of the tributary of the Mangaone Stream at Sanger's Intake during dry weather were also collected during the year. The results are shown in Table 3.

In interpreting the impact of the discharge on the receiving water at Sanger's intake, a number of other sources of metals not directly associated with the discharge itself that also affect the receiving water must be considered. For example, other sources may include: leaching related to historical aerial contamination from the MASL site; stormwater run-off from the Bell Block shopping complex and State Highway; earthworks associated with the bypass and industrial area development; and release of metals from the eastern arm associated with historical stormwater discharge activities.

The results of sampling from Sanger's Intake under dry weather conditions are similar to those found when stormwater was discharging from the MASL site. This indicates that the discharge is not significantly increasing copper and zinc concentrations within the tributary of the Mangaone.

#### 2.1.4 Biological monitoring of receiving waters

The Council's standard 'sweep sampling' technique was used at two established sites to collect streambed macroinvertebrates from an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream on 25 November 2013 and 13 February 2014. The two sites sampled were MGO000031 (site 3) and MGO000033 (site 4) (Figure 2). Samples were sorted and identified to provide number of taxa (richness) and MCI and SQMCI<sub>5</sub> scores.

The MCI is a measure of the overall sensitivity of the macroinvertebrate community to the effects of organic pollution in stony streams. It is based on the presence/absence of taxa with varying degrees of sensitivity to environmental conditions. The SQMCI<sub>S</sub> takes into account taxa abundance as well as sensitivity to pollution, and may reveal more subtle changes in communities, particularly if non-organic impacts are occurring, and may be more useful in soft-bottomed streams. Significant differences in either the MCI or the SQMCI<sub>S</sub> between sites may indicate the degree of adverse effects (if any) of the discharges being monitored.

#### 25 November 2013

The results of this biological survey indicated that the number of taxa recorded in the community a short distance downstream of the stormwater discharge from MASL was relatively high, being nine taxa more than the historical median for this site. The community 400 metres further downstream was more typical, having a macroinvertebrate richness two taxa more than the historical median. The upstream richness does not indicate an impact from the MASL discharge, and contrary to most previous surveys, does not reflect the limited potential for recruitment from upstream, which has poor invertebrate habitat. The MCI value at site 3 was eight units higher than the median for this site, but well within the scores previous recorded. This result is typical of recent surveys, and indicates that the low score recorded in the previous survey was a one-off. The MCI score at site 4 was insignificantly higher than the median, and similar to that recorded at site 3. The MCI score at site 3 does not indicate any impacts from the stormwater discharge, as this site also recorded a relatively healthy SQMCI<sub>S</sub> score and community richness.

In relation to historical survey results, the current survey results were indicative of no recent impacts of discharges on the macroinvertebrate communities of either site. With the exception of the February 2006 and April 2007 surveys, the SQMCIs values have been relatively high in the last 23 surveys. This was due mainly to the high abundances of medium-scoring taxa, particularly 'sensitive' amphipods. This trend was continued in the present survey at both sites. The generally higher SQMCIs values in recent surveys may have indicated subtle improvements in the community from that recorded in the late 1990's. Although it is unlikely to be a significant change, it correlates with the improvement shown in the physicochemical water quality monitoring data over this time.

This macroinvertebrate survey indicated that the discharge of treated stormwater from the MASL site had not had any detrimental effect on the macroinvertebrate community of this unnamed tributary.

#### 13 February 2014

The results of this biological survey indicated that the number of taxa recorded in the community a short distance downstream of the stormwater discharge from MASL was moderate, being two taxa more than the historical median for this site. The community 400 metres further downstream was less typical, having a macroinvertebrate richness seven taxa more than the historical median. The upstream richness does not indicate an impact from the MASL discharge, but may reflect the impact of summer flow conditions, and may also reflect the limited potential for recruitment from upstream, which has poor invertebrate habitat. The MCI value at site 3 was six units less than the median for this site, but well within the scores previous recorded. This result is somewhat less that that recorded in recent surveys, but similar to that recorded in the previous summer survey. The MCI score at site 4 was insignificantly higher than the median, but nine units higher than that recorded at site 3. At this stage, it is considered that the MCI score at site 3 does not indicate any impacts from the stormwater discharge, as this site also recorded a relatively healthy SQMCIs score and community richness, and the low MCI score is more a reflection of summer flow conditions. In relation to historical survey results, the current survey results were indicative of no recent impacts of discharges on the macroinvertebrate communities of either site.

With the exception of the February 2006 and April 2007 surveys, the SQMCI<sub>S</sub> values have been relatively high in the last 24 surveys. This was due mainly to the high abundances of medium-scoring taxa, particularly 'sensitive' amphipods. This trend was continued in the present survey at both sites, with site 4 recording a new maximum SQMCI<sub>S</sub> score. The generally higher SQMCI<sub>S</sub> values in recent surveys may have indicated subtle improvements in the community from that recorded in the late 1990's. Although it is unlikely to be a significant change, it correlates with the improvement shown in the physicochemical water quality monitoring data over this time.

This macroinvertebrate survey indicated that the discharge of treated stormwater from the MASL site had not had any detrimental effect on the macroinvertebrate community of this unnamed tributary.

# 2.2 Air

### 2.2.1 Inspections

Inspection notes are included in section 2.1.2 above. No issues regarding air quality were noted during the monitoring period.

### 2.2.2 Deposition dust gauging

Many industries emit dust from various sources during operational periods. In order to assess the effects of the emitted dust, industries have been monitored using deposition gauges. Deposition gauges are buckets elevated on a stand to about 1.6 metres. The buckets have a solution in them to ensure that any dust that settles out of the air is not resuspended by wind.

The rate of dust-fall is calculated by dividing the weight of insoluble material (grams) collected by the cross-sectional area of the gauge  $(m^2)$  and the number of days over which the sample was taken. The units of measurement are grams/metre<sup>2</sup>/day.




Figure 3 Locations of dust deposition monitoring sites in relation to the MASL site.

Guideline values used by the Council for dust deposition are  $4 \text{ g/m}^2/30 \text{ days or}$  130 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day deposited matter. Consideration is given to the location of the industry and the sensitivity of the surrounding community when assessing results against these values. Material from the gauges was analysed both for solid particulates and for chemicals associated with pollution, including selected metals.

In the 2013-2014 period, gauges were deployed on one occasion at four locations in the vicinity of the foundry site for a period of 21 days. The locations of the monitoring sites around the foundry are shown in Figure 3 above.

Material from the gauges was analysed for particulates, aluminium, copper, lead, zinc and conductivity. For comparison, the median values of data obtained from an urban 'state of the environment' deposition gauge site located on the corner of Mangorei Road and Devon Road (site AIR000012) have been included in Table 4.

	Site	AIR004601	AIR004602	AIR004603	AIR004604	AIR000012 (median 2000-2003) comparison site
Total particulat	e mg/m²/day	80	20	120	20	50
Total particulat Median June 1	e mg/m²/day 996-June 2013	40	40	95	50	-
Aluminium	Dissolved	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.09	-
mg/m²/day	Particulate	1.2	0.44	2.3	0.9	-
Copper mg/m²/day	Dissolved	0.11	0.01	0.00	0.35	0.021
Lead mg/m²/day	Dissolved	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	-
Zinc mg/m²/day	Dissolved	0.13	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.284
Conductivity @	20°C mS/m/day	0.26	0.10	0.10	0.16	0.28

 Table 4
 Foundry deposition gauge results for the 14 Feb – 7 Mar 2014 survey

#### Particulates

The rate of particulate deposition was below the 130 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day guideline value at all monitoring sites, and were similar to the medians of previous sampling results. The elevated deposition rate at site AIR004603 may be explained by its proximity to both the MASL site and surrounding industrial sites, including a large truck depot. This continues the pattern found in previous years.

#### Metals deposition

The ratio between the particulate and dissolved fractions varies according to the individual metal and the pH of the liquid in the deposition gauge. As much as 99% of the total zinc has been found to be in the dissolved (bio-available) form. This is likely to be higher than the proportion bio-available in the soil, as historically the pH of the liquid in the gauge has been quite acidic (favouring metal solubility) relative to the soil. Because of this, combined with the closure of the copper and brass activities at the site, particulate metal analysis of the filters for copper, lead and zinc has been ceased.

The Department of Health (1992) has set guidelines for maximum annual application to agricultural land of heavy metals (in sewage sludge). These guidelines may be used for assessing the impact of metals deposited on land in the vicinity of the MASL site. The guidelines for copper, lead and zinc are 12, 15 and 30 kilograms per hectare per year, respectively. This equates to 3.3, 4.1 and 8.2 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day for copper, lead and zinc respectively. There is no guideline for aluminium.

The highest values recorded for the dissolved metals deposited in the 2013-2014 monitoring year were 10%, 1.2%, and 1.6% of the respective guidelines for copper, lead, and zinc. The levels of dissolved and particulate aluminium deposition were similar to or lower than the historical median values at all sites.

The highest dissolved copper result was found at site AIR004604 which is the farthest site from the plant. This would suggest that the source of the copper was from the vicinity of the gauge rather than the MASL site.

In comparison to the median values found at the urban 'state of the environment' monitoring site, during the year under review the MASL deposition gauge sites showed copper and zinc deposition rates that were generally less than or similar to those in an urban area close to a main road.

#### Other parameters

These results are consistent for those parameters which are predominantly affected by the proximity of a monitoring site to the sea, i.e. total dissolved salts (indicated by conductivity). Activities at the MASL site do not lead to significant emissions of these compounds, and there is no evidence from the monitoring of site-specific effects related to total dissolved salts.

## 2.3 Investigations, interventions, and incidents

The monitoring programme for the year was based on what was considered to be an appropriate level of monitoring, review of data, and liaison with the consent holder. During the year matters may arise which require additional activity by the Council, for example provision of advice and information, or investigation of potential or actual courses of non-compliance or failure to maintain good practices. A pro-active approach that in the first instance avoids issues occurring is favoured.

The Council operates and maintains a register of all complaints or reported and discovered excursions from acceptable limits and practices, including non-compliance with consents, which may damage the environment. The Unauthorised Incident Register (UIR) includes events where the company concerned has itself notified the Council. The register contains details of any investigation and corrective action taken.

Complaints may be alleged to be associated with a particular site. If there is potentially an issue of legal liability, the Council must be able to prove by investigation that the identified company is indeed the source of the incident (or that the allegation cannot be proven).

In the 2013-2014 period, the Council was required to record one incident in association with the conditions of resource consent 1857-6. During a compliance monitoring inspection it was observed that bunding of the acid-etch sludge filter press had not been carried out, following an earlier spill of product and discussion about mitigation measures. Abatement notice EAC-20209 was issued on 24 March 2014 requiring MASL to install a bund below the acid-etch sludge filter press, to ensure that no unauthorised discharge to water occurred. Subsequent inspection found that the Company had complied with the abatement notice.

# 3. Discussion

# 3.1 Discussion of site performance

MASL has markedly reduced the discharge of contaminants from its foundry site in recent years. The Company achieved compliance with the prescribed consent limits imposed on its discharge permits for the site in the 2013-2014 monitoring period. Good control of emissions from the aluminium foundry was achieved during the year under review. There were no air related complaints received by Council.

The Company has implemented the improvements relating to consent 1857 and the stormwater management programme developed in conjunction with the Council.

One abatement notice was issued to ensure that improvements were made to bunding of a process area at the site. This notice was complied with.

## 3.2 Environmental effects of exercise of consents

## 3.2.1 Environmental effects of exercise of water discharge permit

Stormwater from the MASL site has discharged to a small swamp in the headwaters of the Mangaone Stream for a period of over 30 years. The Council has monitored the effects of the discharge and the receiving water since 1982 through both chemical and biological surveys.

Continued improvements in effluent management and housekeeping practices by MASL have assisted in reducing the concentrations of contaminants within the stormwater discharged from the site. Sample results during the period under review support the trend of reductions in the levels of contaminants in the receiving water at Sanger's Intake seen during recent years. No samples outside of the mixing zone exceeded the relevant USEPA receiving water criteria for the protection of aquatic ecosystems.

The two biological surveys undertaken during the period under review indicated that the discharge of treated stormwater from the MASL site had not had any recent detrimental effect on the macroinvertebrate communities of the unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream. This provides further evidence that there has been an improvement in physicochemical water quality in recent monitoring years, consistent with the water quality monitoring data.

## 3.2.2 Environmental effects of exercise of air discharge permit

Atmospheric particulate matter can arise from a number of sources, both natural and from human activity eg, vegetation pollens, smoke and ash, sea spray, dust from soils and paved surfaces, and manufacturing processes. While extremely fine particles may remain floating in the atmosphere for weeks or months, coarser dusts may settle out within timeframes ranging from a few seconds to minutes.

The environmental effects of dusts include loss of visibility, loss of the amenity and aesthetic values of a 'clear sky', irritation to breathing, and soiling of surfaces. It has been found that background rates of dust deposition in rural areas of New Zealand are typically 0.1-1.5 g/m<sup>2</sup>/30 days, while in urban areas rates are generally higher, in the

range of 0.6-3.0 g/m<sup>2</sup>/30 days. From experience, rates above 3-4 g/m<sup>2</sup>/30 days tend to lead to complaints by neighbours over the objectionable or offensive nature of dust emissions from particular sources.

Deposition gauging was conducted for the 19th time during the 2013-2014 monitoring period around the foundry site. The results from the gauging indicate that there was an environmentally acceptable level of particulate deposition in the vicinity of the foundry site. The results of the gauging also showed that the levels of deposited metals were much lower than allowed by the Department of Health (1992) guidelines for maximum annual application to agricultural land of heavy metals (in sewage sludge).

The incidence of conspicuously visible emissions has reduced greatly at the foundry site since the installation of the aluminium baghouse. No visible emissions or odour issues were noted during inspections and no complaints were received during the period under review.

## 3.3 Evaluation of performance

A tabular summary of the consent holder's compliance record for the year under review is set out in Tables 5 and 6.

Со	ndition requirement	Means of monitoring during period under review	Compliance achieved?
1.	Adoption of best practicable option to minimise effects on the environment	Inspections and liaison with consent holder	No
2.	Maximum catchment size	Site inspections	Yes
3.	Appropriate hazardous substance storage	Site inspections	No
4.	Limits on chemical composition of discharge	Sampling	Yes
5.	Discharge shall not cause specified adverse effects beyond mixing zone	Sampling and biomonitoring surveys of the receiving water	Yes
6.	Implementation and review of a contingency plan	Reviewed plan submitted as part of the MASL Environmental Management Manual	Yes
7.	Maintenance of a stormwater management plan	Reviewed plan submitted as part of the MASL Environmental Management Manual	Yes
8.	Notification prior to making changes at the site which may alter the nature of the discharge	Notifications and site inspections	Yes
9.	Optional review provision	Next option for review in June 2020	N/A
Overall assessment of environmental performance and compliance in respect of this consent Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent			Good High

Table 5Summary of performance for Consent 1857-6 to discharge treated stormwater into the<br/>Mangaone Stream

N/A = not applicable

Со	ndition requirement	Means of monitoring during period under review	Compliance achieved?
1.	Adoption of best practicable option to minimise effects on the environment	Site inspections	Yes
2.	Selection of best practicable equipment and processes	Site inspections	Yes
3.	Discharge shall not give rise to offensive or toxic levels of contaminants at the site boundary	Site inspections and discharge monitoring	Yes
4.	Limit on dust deposition rate beyond the site boundary	Deposition gauge monitoring	Yes
5.	Maximum particulate concentration of discharges	Testing not undertaken during year under review	N/A
6.	Limit on elevation of ambient suspended particulate matter concentration	Deposition gauge monitoring	Yes
7.	Compliance with the MASL Environmental Management Manual	Site inspections and liaison with consent holder	Yes
8.	Notification prior to making changes at the site which may alter the nature of the discharge	Notifications and site inspections	Yes
9.	Limit on opacity of any discharge	Testing not undertaken during year under review	N/A
10.	Limit on effect of emissions on PM10 concentration at the boundary	Testing not undertaken during year under review	N/A
11.	Limits on other contaminants beyond site boundary	Testing not undertaken during year under review	N/A
12.	Notification in the event of unauthorised discharge	No unauthorised incidents logged during the year	N/A
13.	Optional review provision	Next option for review in June 2020	N/A
	erall assessment of environmental perform erall assessment of administrative perform	High High	

Table 6 S	ummary of	performance for	Consent 4034-3 to	discharge	emissions into the air
-----------	-----------	-----------------	-------------------	-----------	------------------------

N/A = not applicable

During the year, MASL demonstrated a good level of environmental performance and a high level of administrative compliance with the resource consents as defined in Section 1.1.4. The site was well managed. However, one abatement notice was issued to ensure compliance with conditions in the Company's stormwater consent.

## 3.4 Recommendations from the 2012-2013 Annual Report

In the 2012-2013 Annual Report, it was recommended:

- 1. THAT monitoring of consented activities at the MASL site in the 2013-2014 year continues at the same level as in 2012-2013.
- 2. THAT the option for review of resource consents 1857-6 and 4034-3 in June 2014, as set out in conditions 9 and 13, respectively, not be exercised on the grounds that the current conditions are considered adequate to deal with any adverse effects on the environment arising from the exercise of these resource consents.

These recommendations were implemented.

## 3.5 Alterations to monitoring programmes for 2014-2015

In designing and implementing the monitoring programmes for air/water discharges in the region, the Council has taken into account the extent of information made available by previous authorities, its relevance under the RMA the obligations of the Act in terms of monitoring emissions/discharges and effects, and subsequently reporting to the regional community. The Council also takes into account the scope of assessments required at the time of renewal of permits, and the need to maintain a sound understanding of industrial processes within Taranaki emitting to the atmosphere/discharging to the environment.

It is proposed that for 2014-2015 the monitoring programme is amended to reflect the Council's changes to the structure of all monitoring programmes, primarily to provide for amended health and safety requirements.

# 4. Recommendation

1. THAT monitoring of consented activities at the MASL site in the 2014-2015 year be amended from that undertaken in 2013-2014 to reflect the Council's changes to the structure of all monitoring programmes.

# **Glossary of common terms and abbreviations**

The following abbreviations and terms may be used within this report:

Al*	Aluminium.
As*	Arsenic.
Biomonitoring	Assessing the health of the environment using aquatic organisms.
BOD	Biochemical oxygen demand. A measure of the presence of degradable organic matter, taking into account the biological conversion of ammonia to nitrate.
BODF	Biochemical oxygen demand of a filtered sample.
Bund	A wall around a tank to contain its contents in the case of a leak.
CBOD	Carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand. A measure of the presence of degradable organic matter, excluding the biological conversion of ammonia to nitrate.
cfu	Colony forming units. A measure of the concentration of bacteria usually expressed as per 100 millilitre sample.
COD	Chemical oxygen demand. A measure of the oxygen required to oxidise all matter in a sample by chemical reaction.
Cu*	Copper.
Cumec	A volumetric measure of flow- 1 cubic metre per second (1 m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ).
DO	Dissolved oxygen.
DRP	Dissolved reactive phosphorus.
E.coli	Escherichia coli, an indicator of the possible presence of faecal material and pathological micro-organisms. Usually expressed as colony forming units per 100 millilitre sample.
Ent	Enterococci, an indicator of the possible presence of faecal material and pathological micro-organisms. Usually expressed as colony forming units per 100 millilitre of sample.
F	Fluoride.
FC	Faecal coliforms, an indicator of the possible presence of faecal material and pathological micro-organisms. Usually expressed as colony forming units per 100 millilitre sample.
Fresh	Elevated flow in a stream, such as after heavy rainfall.
g/m <sup>3</sup>	Grams per cubic metre, and equivalent to milligrams per litre (mg/L). In water, this is also equivalent to parts per million (ppm), but the same does not apply to gaseous mixtures.
Incident	An event that is alleged or is found to have occurred that may have actual or potential environmental consequences or may involve non-compliance with a consent or rule in a regional plan. Registration of an incident by the Council does not automatically mean such an outcome had actually occurred.
Intervention	Action/s taken by Council to instruct or direct actions be taken to avoid or reduce the likelihood of an incident occurring.
Investigation	Action taken by Council to establish what were the circumstances/events surrounding an incident including any allegations of an incident.
l/s	Litres per second.

MCI	Macroinvertebrate community index; a numerical indication of the state of biological life in a stream that takes into account the sensitivity of the taxa present to organic pollution in stony habitats.
mS/m	Millisiemens per metre.
Mixing zone	The zone below a discharge point where the discharge is not fully mixed with the receiving environment. For a stream, conventionally taken as a length equivalent to 7 times the width of the stream at the discharge point.
NH <sub>4</sub>	Ammonium, normally expressed in terms of the mass of nitrogen (N).
NH <sub>3</sub>	Unionised ammonia, normally expressed in terms of the mass of nitrogen (N).
NO <sub>3</sub>	Nitrate, normally expressed in terms of the mass of nitrogen (N.)
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit, a measure of the turbidity of water.
O&G	Oil and grease, defined as anything that will dissolve into a particular organic solvent (e.g. hexane). May include both animal material (fats) and mineral matter (hydrocarbons).
Pb*	Lead.
рН	A numerical system for measuring acidity in solutions, with 7 as neutral. Numbers lower than 7 are increasingly acidic and higher than 7 are increasingly alkaline. The scale is logarithmic i.e. a change of 1 represents a ten-fold change in strength. For example, a pH of 4 is ten times more acidic than a pH of 5.
Physicochemical	Measurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.
$PM_{10}$	Relatively fine airborne particles (less than 10 micrometre diameter).
Resource consent	Refer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Sections 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).
RMA	Resource Management Act 1991 and including all subsequent amendments.
SS	Suspended solids.
SQMCI	Semi quantitative macroinvertebrate community index.
Temp	Temperature, measured in °C (degrees Celsius).
Turb	Turbidity, expressed in NTU.
UI	Unauthorised Incident.
UIR	Unauthorised Incident Register – contains a list of events recorded by the Council on the basis that they may have the potential or actual environmental consequences that may represent a breach of a consent or provision in a Regional Plan.
Zn*	Zinc.

\*an abbreviation for a metal or other analyte may be followed by the letters 'As', to denote the amount of metal recoverable in acidic conditions. This is taken as indicating the total amount of metal that might be solubilised under extreme environmental conditions. The abbreviation may alternatively be followed by the letter 'D', denoting the amount of the metal present in dissolved form rather than in particulate or solid form.

For further information on analytical methods, contact the Council's laboratory.

# **Bibliography and references**

- Department of Health (1992): Public health guidelines for the safe use of sewage effluent and sewage sludge on land. Public Health Services Report
- Taranaki Regional Council (1994): McKechnie Metals Group Resource Consent Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1992-93. Technical Report 93-41
- Taranaki Regional Council (1995): McKechnie Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1993-94. Technical Report 94-64
- Taranaki Regional Council (1995): McKechnie Pacific Limited Plume Monitoring Investigation 1994-95. Technical Report 95-10
- Taranaki Regional Council (1996): McKechnie Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Report 1994/95. Technical Report 95-77
- Taranaki Regional Council (1996): McKechnie Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1995-96. Technical Report 96-35
- Taranaki Regional Council (1997): McKechnie Pacific Limited Plume Monitoring Investigation 1996-97. Technical Report 97-28
- Taranaki Regional Council (1998): McKechnie Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1996-97. Technical Report 97-53
- Taranaki Regional Council (1999): McKechnie Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1997-98. Technical Report 98-68
- Taranaki Regional Council (2000): MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1998-1999.Technical Report 99-98
- Taranaki Regional Council (2000b): MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1999-2000. Technical Report 2000-18
- Taranaki Regional Council (2002): MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2000-2001. Technical Report 2001-84
- Taranaki Regional Council (2003): MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2001-2002. Technical Report 2002-43
- Taranaki Regional Council (2003): MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2002-2003. Technical Report 2003-41
- Taranaki Regional Council (2005): MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2003-2004. Technical Report 2004-34
- Taranaki Regional Council (2005): MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2004-2005. Technical Report 2005-59

- Taranaki Regional Council (2007): MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2005-2006. Technical Report 2006-06
- Taranaki Regional Council (2008): MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2006-2007. Technical Report 2007-85
- Taranaki Regional Council (2009): MCK Metals Pacific Limited Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2007-2008. Technical Report 2008-66
- Taranaki Regional Council (2010): MCK Metals Pacific Limited Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2008-2009. Technical Report 2009-86
- Taranaki Regional Council (2012): McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2009-2010. Technical Report 2010-112
- Taranaki Regional Council (2013): McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited Monitoring Programme Biennial Report 2010-2012. Technical Report 2012-75
- Taranaki Regional Council (2014): McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited Monitoring Programme Biennial Report 2012-2013. Technical Report 2013-91

## Appendix I

Resource consents held by McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited

#### Discharge Permit Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991 a resource consent is hereby granted by the Taranaki Regional Council

Name of	McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited
Consent Holder:	Private Bag 2007
	NEW PLYMOUTH 4342

Consent Granted 15 August 2008 Date:

## **Conditions of Consent**

- Consent Granted: To discharge emissions into the air from extrusion and remelting of aluminium and associated activities at or about (NZTM) 1699193E-5678120N
- Expiry Date: 1 June 2026
- Review Date(s): June 2014, June 2020
- Site Location: Paraite Road, Bell Block, New Plymouth
- Legal Description: Lot 1 DP 9212, Lot 1 DP 10008 & Lot 2 DP 330342

#### **General conditions**

- a) On receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.
- b) Unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.
- c) The consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
  - i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent; and
  - ii) charges authorised by regulations.

#### **Special conditions**

- Notwithstanding any other conditions of this consent the consent holder shall at all times adopt the best practicable option, as defined in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to prevent or minimise any adverse effects on the environment from the exercise of this consent.
- 2. The consent holder shall minimise the emission and effects of contaminants discharged to air from the property, by the selection of the best practicable process equipment, process control equipment, contaminant abatement equipment, and methods of control, supervision and operation, and the proper and effective operation, supervision, control and maintenance of all equipment and processes at all times.
- 3. Any discharge to air from the exercise of this consent shall not give rise to any offensive, objectionable or toxic levels of smoke or dust or odour at or beyond the boundary of the property on which the extrusion and remelting of aluminium and associated activities are occurring.
- 4. The dust deposition rate beyond the property boundary of the MCK Metals Pacific Ltd Plant, arising from the discharge, shall be less than  $4.0 \text{ g/m}^2/30 \text{ days or } 0.13 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{day}$ .
- 5. All gas streams ventilated or otherwise discharged from the plant shall be treated to reduce the concentration of total particulate matter to less than 125 milligrams per cubic metre, normal temperature and pressure, at any time.

#### Consent 4034-3

- 6. The discharge of suspended particulate matter from the site shall not increase the ambient concentration of suspended particulate matter by more than 3 milligrams per cubic metre (measured under ambient conditions), determined by measurements at the upwind and downwind boundaries of the property.
- 7. The consent will be exercised in accordance with the procedures set out in the consent holder's Environmental Management Manual (August 2007) and subsequent revisions, and the consent holder shall subsequently adhere to and comply with the procedures, requirements, obligations and all other matters specified in the Environmental Management Manual (August 2007), except by specific agreement of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council. In the case of any contradiction between the Environmental Management Manual (August 2007) and the conditions of this resource consent, the conditions of this resource consent shall prevail.
- 8. The consent holder shall notify the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, prior to making any changes to the processes or operations undertaken at the site, or the chemicals used or stored on site, which could alter the nature of the discharge. Any such change shall then only occur following receipt of any necessary approval under the Resource Management Act. Notification shall include the consent number, a brief description of the activity consented and an assessment of the environmental effects of any changes, and to be emailed to <u>worknotification@trc.govt.nz</u>.
- 9. The opacity of any discharge to air when measured by photoelectric means shall not equal or exceed a value of 20% opacity.
- 10. The emissions to the air from the Consent Holder's premises shall not significantly contribute to, nor cause the monitored ground level concentrations of particulate material less than 10 microns in aerodynamic diameter to exceed 50 micrograms per cubic metre of air expressed as a 24 hour average at or beyond the boundary of Consent Holder's premises.
- 11. That the discharge to atmosphere of any contaminants other then those specified above shall not cause the concentration within the air space beyond the boundary of the Consent Holder's property of that contaminant to exceed 1/30<sup>th</sup> [one-thirtieth] of the relevant Workplace Exposure Standard Time-weighted average [Workplace Exposure Standards Effective from 2002].
- 12. The Consent Holder shall, for the purposes of adequately monitoring the consent as required under Section 35 of the Act, on becoming aware of any incident or situation that does not comply with this consent, immediately advise the Council on the incident. The Consent Holder shall then supply a written report to the Council on the cause, effects and the actions taken to mitigate the effects on the environment and to prevent recurrence. The written report shall be submitted to the Council within one week of the incident occurring.

#### Consent 4034-3

13. In accordance with section 128 and section 129 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review, amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review during the month of June 2014 and/or June 2020, for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects on the environment arising from the exercise of this resource consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it was not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Transferred at Stratford on 4 March 2010

For and on behalf of Taranaki Regional Council

**Director-Resource Management** 

### Discharge Permit Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991 a resource consent is hereby granted by the Taranaki Regional Council

Name of	McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited
Consent Holder:	Private Bag 2007
	NEW PLYMOUTH 4342

- Decision Date: 4 December 2012
- Commencement 4 December 2012 Date:

## **Conditions of Consent**

- Consent Granted: To discharge treated stormwater from an industrial premise that includes a metal extrusion plant into an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream at or about (NZTM) 1698859E-5677985N
- Expiry Date: 1 June 2026
- Review Date(s): June 2014, June 2020 and in accordance with special condition 9
- Site Location: 36 Paraite Road, Bell Block, New Plymouth
- Legal Description: Lot 1 DP 10008 Lot 1 DP 9212 Lot 2 DP 330342 [discharge source]
- Catchment: Waiwhakaiho
- Tributary: Mangaone

#### **General condition**

a. The consent holder shall pay to the Taranaki Regional Council [the Council] all the administration, monitoring and supervision costs of this consent, fixed in accordance with section 36 of the Resource Management Act.

#### **Special conditions**

- 1. The consent holder shall at all times adopt the best practicable option, as defined in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to prevent or minimise any adverse effects on the environment from the exercise of this consent.
- 2. The stormwater discharged shall be from a catchment area not exceeding 4 hectares.
- 3. Any significant volumes of hazardous substances on site shall be stored in a dedicated bunded area with drainage to sumps, or to other appropriate recovery systems, and not directly to the site stormwater system.
- 4. Constituents of the discharge shall meet the standards shown in the following table.

Constituent	<u>Standard</u>
pH	within the range 6.0 to 9.0
Suspended solids	concentration not greater than 100 gm <sup>-3</sup>
Oil and grease	concentration not greater than 15 gm <sup>-3</sup>
Dissolved copper	concentration not greater than 0.05 gm <sup>-3</sup>
Dissolved zinc	concentration not greater than 1 gm <sup>-3</sup>

This condition shall apply in the receiving waters at a designated sampling point immediately downstream of the railway crossing culvert at or about [1698859E-5677985N].

- 5. After allowing for reasonable mixing, within a mixing zone extending 150 metres downstream of the discharge point, the discharge shall not, either by itself or in combination with other discharges, give rise to any or all of the following effects in the receiving water:
  - a) the production of any conspicuous oil or grease films, scums or foams, or floatable or suspended materials;
  - b) any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity;
  - c) any emission of objectionable odour;
  - d) the rendering of fresh water unsuitable for consumption by farm animals;
  - e) any significant adverse effects on aquatic life.
- 6. The consent holder shall maintain a contingency plan that details measures and procedures to be undertaken to prevent spillage or any discharge of contaminants not authorised by this consent. The contingency plan shall be followed in the event of a spill or unauthorised discharge and shall be certified by the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council as being adequate to avoid, remedy or mitigate the environmental effects of such a spillage or discharge.

#### Consent 1857-6

- 7. The consent holder shall maintain a stormwater management plan that documents how the site is to be managed to minimise the contaminants that become entrained in the stormwater. This plan shall be followed at all times, shall be certified by the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, and shall include but not necessarily be limited to:
  - a) the loading and unloading of materials;
  - b) maintenance of conveyance systems;
  - c) general housekeeping; and
  - d) management of the interceptor system.
- 8. The consent holder shall notify the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, prior to making any changes to the processes or operations undertaken at the site, or the chemicals used or stored on site, or any disturbance of soil on site that could alter the nature of the discharge. Any such change shall then only occur following receipt of any necessary approval under the Resource Management Act. Notification shall include the consent number, a brief description of the activity consented and an assessment of the environmental effects of any changes, and be emailed to consents@trc.govt.nz.
- 9. In accordance with section 128 and section 129 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review, amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review:
  - a) during the month of June 2014 and/or June 2020; and/or
  - b) within 3 months of receiving a notification under special condition 8 above;

for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects on the environment arising from the exercise of this resource consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it was not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Signed at Stratford on 4 December 2012

For and on behalf of Taranaki Regional Council

**Director-Resource Management** 

Appendix II

**Biomonitoring reports** 

ToJob Manager, C MacKenzieFromScientific Officer, B JansmaFile03-02-005-07/01; 1857Report NoBJ240Document No.1413216Date4 October 2014

# Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited plant, November 2013.

## Introduction

The macroinvertebrate communities of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited [MASL] plant have been monitored annually or biannually since November 1983. This biological survey was the first of two surveys scheduled in the 2013-2014 monitoring year. The results of surveys performed since the 1998-99 monitoring year are discussed in various Taranaki Regional Council reports (refer to the references).

## **Methods**

The standard '400 ml sweep-net-sampling' technique was used to collect streambed macroinvertebrates from two established sites in the Mangaone Stream (Table 1, Figure 1) on 25 November 2013. The 'sweep-net sampling' technique is very similar to Protocol C2 (soft-bottomed, semi-quantitative) of the New Zealand Macroinvertebrate Working Group (NZMWG) protocols for macroinvertebrate samples in wadeable streams (Stark et al, 2001).

 Table 1
 Biomonitoring sites in the tributary of the Mangaone Stream that receives stormwater discharges from MASL

Site No.	Site code	Map reference	Location
3	MGO 000031	P19: 086397	Below swamp (Sanger's intake)
4	MGO 000033	P19: 086395	400 metres downstream of site 3

Samples were preserved with Kahle's Fluid for later sorting and identification under a stereomicroscope according to Taranaki Regional Council methodology using protocol P1 of NZMWG protocols for sampling macroinvertebrates in wadeable streams (Stark et al. 2001). Macroinvertebrate taxa found in each sample were recorded as:

R (rare)	= less than 5 individuals;
C (common)	= 5-19 individuals;
A (abundant)	= estimated 20-99 individuals;
VA (very abundant)	= estimated 100-499 individuals;
XA (extremely abundant)	= estimated 500 individuals or more.

Stark (1985) developed a scoring system for macroinvertebrate taxa according to their sensitivity to organic pollution in stony New Zealand streams. Highly 'sensitive' taxa were assigned the highest scores of 9 or 10, while the most 'tolerant' forms scored 1. Sensitivity scores for certain taxa have been modified in accordance with Taranaki experience. Averaging the scores assigned to the taxa found at a site, and multiplying the average by a scaling factor of 20 produces a Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) value.

The MCI was designed as a measure of the overall sensitivity of macroinvertebrate communities to the effects of organic pollution. MCI results can also reflect the effects of warm temperatures, slow current speeds and low dissolved oxygen levels, because the taxa capable of tolerating these conditions generally have low sensitivity scores. Usually more 'sensitive' communities (with higher MCI values) inhabit less polluted waterways. The use of this index in non-stony streams is possible if results are related to physical habitat (muddy or weedy streams tend to produce lower MCI values than stony streams).

A semi-quantitative MCI value (SQMCI<sub>s</sub>) has also been calculated for the taxa present at each site by multiplying each taxon score by a loading factor (related to its abundance), totalling these products, and dividing by the sum of the loading factors (Stark, 1998 and 1999). The loading factors were 1 for rare (R), 5 for common (C), 20 for abundant (A), 100 for very abundant (VA) and 500 for extremely abundant (XA). Unlike the MCI, the SQMCI<sub>s</sub> is not multiplied by a scaling factor of 20, therefore SQMCI<sub>s</sub> values range from 1 to 10, while MCI values range from 20 to 200.

## **Results and discussion**

The stormwater discharge from the MASL plant flows into a small drain which itself flows into the eastern arm of a swampy area. The swamp forms the headwater of a small stream in which sites 3 and 4 are located. A long culvert was laid in the stream between sites 3 and 4 prior to the November 2000 survey (Figure 1). The culvert begins a few metres downstream of site 3 and ends at the point where site 4 was previously located. Site 4 was subsequently moved several metres downstream. The habitat at this location was similar to the habitat at the original site. There have also been changes in the headwaters of this unnamed tributary in relation to the construction of the bell block bypass.

It should be noted that the stream was culverted between sites 3 and 4 to allow the landowner to discharge cleanfill, in doing so, slowly filling in the gully. This site holds a consent to discharge this cleanfill, and is monitored under a separate monitoring programme. However, activities at this cleanfill site have the potential to impact on the communities of this stream.

During the current survey, flows were low with both sites having a clear slow flow. The survey was performed in the early morning, and the water temperature had a narrow range (16.3 - 16.5°C). Substrate comprised predominantly silt at both sites.

Both sampling sites supported aquatic macrophytes, as is often typical of softer-bedded, slower-flowing habitats. These macrophytes provided partial shading of the bed at site 4, but as they were only present on the edges at site 3, no bed shading was evident. No algae growth was noted. Of note was a banded kokopu collected at site 4, and a whitebait collected at site 3.

#### **Macroinvertebrate communities**

The results of the previous macroinvertebrate surveys performed at sites 3 and 4 in the Mangaone tributary that receives stormwater discharges from MASL are summarised in Table 2, together with the results of the current survey.

Table 2Numbers of taxa and MCI values recorded in the unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream<br/>downstream of MASL, from November 1983 to the current survey

Number of		Nu	mbers of ta	іха		MCI values	6		SQM	Cl <sub>s</sub> values	
Site	previous surveys	Range	Median	Current	Range	Median	Current	No.	Range	Median	Current
3	53	6-26	17	26	52-94	77	85	28	1.7-4.8	4.1	4.5
4	44	6-27	19	21	70-90	77	82	28	1.5-4.8	4.2	4.6

Table 3Macroinvertebrate fauna of a tributary of Mangaone Stream in relation to MASL<br/>sampled on 25 November 2013

sampled on 25 Nov	Site Number		3	4		
Taxa List	Site Code	MCI	MG0000031	MGO000033		
	Sample Number	score	FWB13345	FWB13346		
MOLLUSCA	Lymnaeidae	3	R	-		
	Physa	3	R	С		
	Potamopyrgus	4	ХА	XA		
CRUSTACEA	Ostracoda	1	R	-		
	Isopoda	5	-	R		
	Paracalliope	5	VA	XA		
	Paraleptamphopidae	5	ХА	XA		
	Talitridae	5	R	R		
EPHEMEROPTERA (MAYFLIES)	Austroclima	7	R	-		
	Deleatidium	8	R	-		
ODONATA (DRAGONFLIES)	Xanthocnemis	4	R	-		
HEMIPTERA (BUGS)	Microvelia	3	С	А		
COLEOPTERA (BEETLES)	Dytiscidae	5	-	R		
	Staphylinidae	5	С	R		
TRICHOPTERA (CADDISFLIES)	Hydrobiosis	5	R	-		
	Polyplectropus	6	С	R		
	Psilochorema	6	R	С		
	Oxyethira	2	R	-		
	Paroxyethira	2	R	-		
	Triplectides	5	VA	С		
DIPTERA (TRUE FLIES)	Zelandotipula	6	R	R		
	Orthocladiinae	2	А	А		
	Polypedilum	3	С	А		
	Tanypodinae	5	R	-		
	Culicidae	3	-	R		
	Dolichopodidae	3	-	R		
	Empididae	3	-	А		
	Ephydridae	4	С	-		
	Muscidae	3	R	-		
	Psychodidae	1	-	R		
	Austrosimulium	3	А	С		
ACARINA (MITES)	Acarina	5	R	A		
		No of taxa	26	21		
		MCI	85	82		
		SQMCIs	4.5	4.6		
		EPT (taxa)	6	3		
<b>%EPT (taxa)</b> 23						
'Tolerant' taxa	'Moderately sensitive' taxa		'Highly sensitive'	taxa		
R – Rare C – Common	$\Delta = \Delta bundant = V/\Delta = V/\Delta$	an / Abundant	VA Extram	Abundant		

R = Rare C = Common A = Abundant VA = Very Abundant XA = Extremely Abundant




Figure 1 Biomonitoring sites in a tributary of the Mangaone Stream related to the stormwater discharge from MASL

#### Site 3 (MGO000031)

A relatively high richness of 26 taxa was found at site 3, nine taxa more than the median richness found by previous surveys, and equal to the previously recorded maximum richness (Table 2). The community was dominated by a typical weedbed fauna including three 'moderately sensitive' taxa (amphipods (very abundant *Paracalliope* and extremely abundant paraleptamphopids) and very abundant stick-cased caddis (*Triplectides*)); and three 'tolerant' taxa (extremely abundant snail (*Potamopyrgus*), and abundant orthoclad midges and sandfly larvae (*Austrosimulium*)) (Table 3).

The moderate proportion of 'tolerant' taxa in the community (50% of richness) was reflected in the MCI score of 85 units which was eight units higher than the median of previously recorded scores, a statistically insignificant difference (Table 2 and Figure 2), and a score typical of weedy habitats that are in good condition. MCI scores have consistently been near to or above the median since 2000, and the current result follows this pattern. It also indicates that the result from the last survey was a one-off (Figure 2). The SQMCI<sub>S</sub> score of 4.5 was also typical of weedy habitats, and slightly higher than the long term median for this site due principally to the numerical dominance of two 'moderately sensitive' amphipod taxa.

Overall, this indicates that the community was in average to above average condition, and there is no indication that the stormwater discharge from the MASL site had had any impact on this community.




Figure 2 Taxa numbers and MCI scores recorded to date at site 3

#### Site 4 (MGO000033)

Community richness at site 4 (21 taxa) was two taxa higher than the median richness found by all previous surveys (Table 2, Figure 3) and slightly less than that recorded upstream in the current survey.

The absence of impacts from the MASL stormwater discharge was also recorded at site 4, with the MCI score (82) being five units higher than the median, reflecting the similar proportion of 'tolerant' taxa present (48% of richness) in the community. The variability in numbers of taxa recorded at sites 3 and 4 in the Mangaone tributary to date is illustrated in Figure 4.




Figure 3 Taxa numbers and MCI scores recorded to date at site 4

Macroinvertebrate communities at site 3 (closer to the swampy headwaters and MASL stormwater discharge) have generally contained lower numbers of taxa than the communities at site 4 (see medians and ranges in Table 2), although this result was not repeated in the current survey. The lower numbers of taxa at site 3 may be explained by the close proximity of this site to the upstream swamp. The swampy habitat may not be a significant source of colonisation of stream invertebrates by means of downstream drift. In the current survey, community richness at site 3 was nine taxa taxon more, and at site 4 two taxa more, than their respective historical median values.

The site 4 community was dominated by similar taxa as those recorded upstream (Table 3), although there was reduced abundance of *Triplectides* mayfly and sandfly larvae (*Austrosimulium*), and the addition of 'moderately sensitive' mites and 'tolerant' water striders (*Microvelia*) and empidid midge larvae. There were a small number of significant differences in individual taxon abundances between sites, reflecting only subtle differences in habitat between the sites. These changes had little effect on the SQMCI<sub>S</sub> scores, which differed by only 0.1 unit between sites.

SQMCI<sub>S</sub> values from both sites have generally varied between 4 and 5 units (particularly at site 4) in more recent surveys and this trend has continued in this survey. Slow-flowing, muddy-bedded and weedy stream macroinvertebrate communities most commonly produce SQMCI<sub>S</sub> values in the range of 2 to 4 units. The abundances of 'moderately sensitive' taxa (eg amphipods) in this weedy stream increased these values toward the upper range found in most streams of this type. The recent increased abundances of such 'moderately sensitive' taxa suggest that water quality or habitat quality may have improved slightly during the past seven years (Figure 5), particularly at site 3.




Figure 4 Number of taxa at sites 3 and 4 in an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream in relation to discharges from MASL




Figure 5 SQMCI<sub>S</sub> values at sites 3 and 4 in an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream in relation to discharges from MASL Ltd

## **Summary and Conclusions**

The Council's standard 'sweep sampling' technique was used at two established sites to collect streambed macroinvertebrates from an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream on 25 November 2013. Samples were sorted and identified to provide number of taxa (richness) and MCI and SQMCI<sub>S</sub> scores.

The MCI is a measure of the overall sensitivity of the macroinvertebrate community to the effects of organic pollution in stony streams. It is based on the presence/absence of taxa with varying degrees of sensitivity to environmental conditions. The SQMCI<sub>S</sub> takes into account taxa abundance as well as sensitivity to pollution, and may reveal more subtle changes in communities, particularly if non-organic impacts are occurring, and may be more useful in soft-bottomed streams. Significant differences in either the MCI or the SQMCI<sub>S</sub> between sites may indicate the degree of adverse effects (if any) of the discharges being monitored.

The results of this biological survey indicated that the number of taxa recorded in the community a short distance downstream of the stormwater discharge from MASL was relatively high, being nine taxa more than the historical median for this site. The community 400 metres further downstream was more typical, having a macroinvertebrate richness two taxa more than the historical median. The upstream richness does not indicate an impact from the MASL discharge, and contrary to most previous surveys, does not reflect the limited potential for recruitment from upstream, which has poor invertebrate habitat. The MCI value at site 3 was eight units higher than the median for this site, but well within the scores previous recorded. This result is typical of recent surveys, and indicates that the low score recorded in the previous survey was a one-off. The MCI score at site 4 was insignificantly higher than the median, and similar to that recorded at site 3. The MCI score at site 3 does not indicate any impacts from the stormwater discharge, as this site also recorded a relatively healthy SQMCIs score and community richness. In relation to historical survey results, the current survey results were indicative of no recent impacts of discharges on the macroinvertebrate communities of either site.

With the exception of the February 2006 and April 2007 surveys, the SQMCIs values have been relatively high in the last 23 surveys. This was due mainly to the high abundances of medium-scoring taxa, particularly 'sensitive' amphipods. This trend was continued in the present survey at both sites. The generally higher SQMCIs values in recent surveys may have indicated subtle improvements in the community from that recorded in the late 1990's. Although it is unlikely to be a significant change, it correlates with the improvement shown in the physicochemical water quality monitoring data over this time.

This macroinvertebrate survey indicated that the discharge of treated stormwater from the MASL site had not had any detrimental effect on the macroinvertebrate community of this unnamed tributary.

## References

- Dunning KJ, 2002a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, January 2002. TRC report KD100.
- Dunning KJ, 2002b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, April 2002. TRC report KD121.
- Fowles CR and Colgan BC, 2004: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, January 2004. TRC report CF321.
- Fowles CR, and Dunning KJ, 2003: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, December 2002. TRC report CF277.
- Fowles CR, and Hope KJ, 2005a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, December 2004. TRC report CF363.
- Fowles CR, and Hope KJ, 2005b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2005. TRC report CF381.
- Fowles CR, and Jansma B, 2008a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, December 2007. TRC CF460.
- Fowles CR, and Jansma B, 2008b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, May 2008. TRC CF465.
- Fowles CR, and Moore, SC, 2004: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, March 2004. TRC report CF322.
- Hickey CW and Vickers ML, 1992: Comparison of the sensitivity to heavy metals and pentachlorophenol of the mayflies *Deleatidium* spp and the cladoceran *Daphnia magna*. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 26: 87-93.
- Hope KJ, 2005: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, October 2005. TRC report KH056.
- Hope, KJ and Jansma, B, 2006: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2006. TRC report KH086.

- Jansma B, 2007a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2007. TRC report BJ026.
- Jansma B, 2007b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, April 2007. TRC report BJ028.
- Jansma B, 2009: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2009. TRC report BJ082.
- Jansma B, 2009: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, March 2009. TRC Report BJ083.
- Jansma B, 2010: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2010. TRC report BJ116.
- Jansma B, 2011: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, October 2010. TRC report BJ132.
- Jansma B, 2011: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2011. TRC report BJ133.
- Jansma B, 2012: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, March 2012. BJ187.
- Jansma B, 2013: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, November 2012. BJ222.
- Jansma B, 2014: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2013. BJ223.
- McWilliam H, 1999a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 1998. TRC report HM155.
- McWilliam H, 1999b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, February 1999. TRC report HM182.
- McWilliam H, 2000a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 1999. TRC report HM206.
- McWilliam H, 2000b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, March 2000. TRC report HM218.
- McWilliam H, 2001a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 2000. TRC report HM240.
- McWilliam H, 2001b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, April 2001. TRC report HM249.
- Moore SC, 2003: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, February 2003. TRC report SM581.

- Stark JD, 1985: A macroinvertebrate community index of water quality for stony streams. *Water and Soil* Miscellaneous Publication No. 87.
- Stark JD, 1998: SQMCI: a biotic index for freshwater macroinvertebrate coded abundance data. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 32(1): 55-66.
- Stark JD, 1999: An evaluation of Taranaki Regional Council's SQMCI biomonitoring index. Cawthron Institute, Nelson. Cawthron Report No. 472.
- Stark JD, Boothroyd IKG, Harding JS, Maxted JR, Scarsbrook MR, 2001: Protocols for sampling macroinvertebrates in wadeable streams. New Zealand Macroinvertebrate Working Group Report No. 1. Prepared for the Ministry for the Environment. Sustainable Management Fund Project No. 5103. 57p.

ToJob Manager, C MacKenzieFromScientific Officer, B JansmaFile03-02-005-07/01; 1857Report NoBJ241Document No.1413217Date4 October 2014

# Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, February 2014.

## Introduction

The macroinvertebrate communities of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd [MASL] plant have been monitored annually or biannually since November 1983. This biological survey was the second of two surveys scheduled in the 2013-2014 monitoring year. The results of surveys performed since the 1998-99 monitoring year are discussed in various Taranaki Regional Council reports (refer to the references).

## **Methods**

The standard '400 ml sweep-net-sampling' technique was used to collect streambed macroinvertebrates from two established sites in the Mangaone Stream (Table 1, Figure 1) on 13 February 2014. The 'sweep-net sampling' technique is very similar to Protocol C2 (soft-bottomed, semi-quantitative) of the New Zealand Macroinvertebrate Working Group (NZMWG) protocols for macroinvertebrate samples in wadeable streams (Stark et al, 2001).

 Table 1
 Biomonitoring sites in the tributary of the Mangaone Stream that receives stormwater discharges from MASL

Site No.	Site code	Map reference	Location
3	MGO 000031	P19: 086397	Below swamp (Sanger's intake)
4	MGO 000033	P19: 086395	400 metres downstream of site 3

Samples were preserved with Kahle's Fluid for later sorting and identification under a stereomicroscope according to Taranaki Regional Council methodology using protocol P1 of NZMWG protocols for sampling macroinvertebrates in wadeable streams (Stark et al. 2001). Macroinvertebrate taxa found in each sample were recorded as:

R (rare)	= less than 5 individuals;
C (common)	= 5-19 individuals;
A (abundant)	= estimated 20-99 individuals;
VA (very abundant)	= estimated 100-499 individuals;
XA (extremely abundant)	= estimated 500 individuals or more.

Stark (1985) developed a scoring system for macroinvertebrate taxa according to their sensitivity to organic pollution in stony New Zealand streams. Highly 'sensitive' taxa were assigned the highest scores of 9 or 10, while the most 'tolerant' forms scored 1. Sensitivity scores for certain taxa have been modified in accordance with Taranaki experience. Averaging the scores assigned to the taxa found at a site, and multiplying the average by a scaling factor of 20 produces a Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) value.

The MCI was designed as a measure of the overall sensitivity of macroinvertebrate communities to the effects of organic pollution. MCI results can also reflect the effects of warm temperatures, slow current speeds and low dissolved oxygen levels, because the taxa capable of tolerating these conditions generally have low sensitivity scores. Usually more 'sensitive' communities (with higher MCI values) inhabit less polluted waterways. The use of this index in non-stony streams is possible if results are related to physical habitat (muddy or weedy streams tend to produce lower MCI values than stony streams).

A semi-quantitative MCI value (SQMCI<sub>s</sub>) has also been calculated for the taxa present at each site by multiplying each taxon score by a loading factor (related to its abundance), totalling these products, and dividing by the sum of the loading factors (Stark, 1998 and 1999). The loading factors were 1 for rare (R), 5 for common (C), 20 for abundant (A), 100 for very abundant (VA) and 500 for extremely abundant (XA). Unlike the MCI, the SQMCI<sub>s</sub> is not multiplied by a scaling factor of 20, therefore SQMCI<sub>s</sub> values range from 1 to 10, while MCI values range from 20 to 200.

## **Results and discussion**

The stormwater discharge from the MASL plant flows into a small drain which itself flows into the eastern arm of a swampy area. The swamp forms the headwater of a small stream in which sites 3 and 4 are located. A long culvert was laid in the stream between sites 3 and 4 prior to the November 2000 survey (Figure 1). The culvert begins a few metres downstream of site 3 and ends at the point where site 4 was previously located. Site 4 was subsequently moved several metres downstream. The habitat at this location was similar to the habitat at the original site. There have also been changes in the headwaters of this unnamed tributary in relation to the construction of the bell block bypass.

It should be noted that the stream was culverted between sites 3 and 4 to allow the landowner to discharge cleanfill, in doing so, slowly filling in the gully. This site holds a consent to discharge this cleanfill, and is monitored under a separate monitoring programme. However, activities at this cleanfill site have the potential to impact on the communities of this stream.

During the current survey, flows were low with both sites having a clear slow flow. The survey was performed in the mid-morning, and the water temperature had a narrow range (16.4 - 16.6°C). Substrate comprised predominantly silt at both sites.

Both sampling sites supported aquatic macrophytes, as is often typical of softer-bedded, slower-flowing habitats. These macrophytes provided partial shading of the bed at both sites, even though they were only present on the edges at site 3. A slippery film of algae was noted at both sites, and the amount of iron oxide sediment at site 4 was significant.

#### **Macroinvertebrate communities**

The results of the previous macroinvertebrate surveys performed at sites 3 and 4 in the Mangaone tributary that receives stormwater discharges from MASL are summarised in Table 2, together with the results of the current survey.

 Table 2
 Numbers of taxa and MCI values recorded in the unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream downstream of MASL, from November 1983 to the current survey

Number of		Nu	mbers of ta	ixa	MCI values			SQMCI <sub>S</sub> values			
Site	previous surveys	Range	Median	Current	Range	Median	Current	No.	Range	Median	Current
3	54	6-26	17	19	52-94	78	72	29	1.7-4.8	4.1	4.5
4	45	6-27	19	26	70-90	77	81	29	1.5-4.8	4.2	4.9

Table 3Macroinvertebrate fauna of a tributary of Mangaone Stream in relation to MASL<br/>sampled on 13 February 2014

sampled on 13 Feb	Site Number		3	4
Taxa List	Site Code	MCI	MGO000031	MGO000033
	Sample Number	score	FWB14104	FWB14105
NEMERTEA	Nemertea	3	-	С
ANNELIDA (WORMS)	Oligochaeta	1	С	R
HIRUDINEA (LEECHES)	Hirudinea	3	R	-
MOLLUSCA	Lymnaeidae	3	R	R
	Physa	3	С	R
	Potamopyrgus	4	XA	VA
CRUSTACEA	Copepoda	5	-	R
	Ostracoda	1	R	R
	Isopoda	5	-	R
	Paracalliope	5	VA	ХА
	Paraleptamphopidae	5	XA	XA
ODONATA (DRAGONFLIES)	Xanthocnemis	4	С	С
HEMIPTERA (BUGS)	Microvelia	3	R	A
COLEOPTERA (BEETLES)	Dytiscidae	5	-	R
	Hydrophilidae	5	-	R
TRICHOPTERA (CADDISFLIES)	Polyplectropus	6	А	А
	Psilochorema	6	-	R
	Triplectides	5	А	С
DIPTERA (TRUE FLIES)	Hexatomini	5	-	R
	Zelandotipula	6	-	С
	Orthocladiinae	2	R	С
	Polypedilum	3	-	С
	Tanypodinae	5	С	С
	Tanytarsini	3	R	-
	Culicidae	3	-	R
	Paradixa	4	-	R
	Empididae	3	-	R
	Ephydridae	4	R	-
	Sciomyzidae	3	R	-
	Austrosimulium	3	С	-
ACARINA (MITES)	Acarina	5	R	С
		No of taxa	19	26
		MCI	72	81
		SQMCIs	4.5	4.9
		EPT (taxa)	2	3
	%	EPT (taxa)	11	12
'Tolerant' taxa	'Moderately sensitive' taxa		'Highly sensitive'	taxa
R = Rare C = Common	A = Abundant $VA = Verv$	Abundant	XA - Extron	elv Abundant

 $R = Rare \qquad C = Common \qquad A = Abundant \qquad VA = Very Abundant \qquad XA = Extremely Abundant$ 




Figure 1 Biomonitoring sites in a tributary of the Mangaone Stream related to the stormwater discharge from MASL

#### Site 3 (MGO000031)

A moderate richness of 19 taxa was found at site 3, two taxa more than the median richness found by previous surveys (Table 2). The community was dominated by a typical weedbed fauna including four 'moderately sensitive' taxa (amphipods (very abundant *Paracalliope* and extremely abundant paraleptamphopids) and abundant *Polyplectropus* caddis and stick-cased caddis (*Triplectides*)); and one 'tolerant' taxon (extremely abundant snail (*Potamopyrgus*)) (Table 3).

The moderate high proportion of 'tolerant' taxa in the community (68% of richness) was reflected in the MCI score of 72 units which was six units less than the median of previously recorded scores, a statistically insignificant difference (Table 2 and Figure 2), and a score typical of weedy habitats that are in good condition. MCI scores have consistently been near to or above the median since 2000, and the current result follows this pattern. It is similar to the score recorded in the previous spring survey, but a statistically significant reduction from that recorded in the spring 2013 survey (Figure 2). This indicates deterioration from the spring survey, but the SQMCI<sub>S</sub> score of 4.5 was equal to that recorded previously, and was also typical of weedy habitats, and slightly higher than the long term median for this site due principally to the numerical dominance of two 'moderately sensitive' amphipod taxa.

The change in MCI score is likely related to summer flow and habitat conditions, which are generally less favourable to 'sensitive' taxa, due to warmer waters and lower dissolved oxygen concentrations, especially in weedy streams. Overall, this indicates that the community was in average condition, and there is no indication that the stormwater discharge from the MASL site had had any impact on this community.




Figure 2 Taxa numbers and MCI scores recorded to date at site 3

#### Site 4 (MGO00033)

Community richness at site 4 (26 taxa) was seven taxa higher than the median richness found by all previous surveys (Table 2, Figure 3), the second highest richness recorded at this site to date, and slightly less than that recorded upstream in the current survey.

The absence of impacts from the MASL stormwater discharge was also recorded at site 4, with the MCI score (81) being four units higher than the median, reflecting the reduced (when compared with site 3) proportion of 'tolerant' taxa present (50% of richness) in the community. The variability in numbers of taxa recorded at sites 3 and 4 in the Mangaone tributary to date is illustrated in Figure 4.




Figure 3 Taxa numbers and MCI scores recorded to date at site 4

Macroinvertebrate communities at site 3 (closer to the swampy headwaters and MASL stormwater discharge) have generally contained lower numbers of taxa than the communities at site 4 (see medians and ranges in Table 2), and this result was repeated in the current survey. The lower numbers of taxa at site 3 may be explained by the close proximity of this site to the upstream swamp. The swampy habitat may not be a significant source of colonisation of stream invertebrates by means of downstream drift. In the current survey, community richness at site 3 was two taxa taxon more, and at site 4 five taxa more, than their respective historical median values.

The site 4 community was dominated by the same taxa as those recorded upstream (Table 3), with the exception of *Triplectides* mayfly, and the addition of 'moderately sensitive' 'tolerant' water striders (*Microvelia*). There were a small number of significant differences in individual taxon abundances between sites, reflecting only subtle differences in habitat between the sites. These changes had little effect on the SQMCI<sub>S</sub> scores, which differed by only 0.4 unit between sites. It is worth noting that the SQMCI<sub>S</sub> score recorded at site 4 is the highest recorded at this site to date.

SQMCI<sub>S</sub> values from both sites have generally varied between 4 and 5 units (particularly at site 4) in more recent surveys and this trend has continued in this survey. Slow-flowing, muddy-bedded and weedy stream macroinvertebrate communities most commonly produce SQMCI<sub>S</sub> values in the range of 2 to 4 units. The abundances of 'moderately sensitive' taxa (eg amphipods) in this weedy stream increased these values toward the upper range found in most streams of this type. The recent increased abundances of such 'moderately sensitive' taxa suggest that water quality or habitat quality may have improved slightly during the past eight years (Figure 5), particularly at site 3.




Figure 4 Number of taxa at sites 3 and 4 in an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream in relation to discharges from MASL




Figure 5 SQMCI<sub>S</sub> values at sites 3 and 4 in an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream in relation to discharges from MASL

## **Summary and Conclusions**

The Council's standard 'sweep sampling' technique was used at two established sites to collect streambed macroinvertebrates from an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream on 13 February 2014. Samples were sorted and identified to provide number of taxa (richness) and MCI and SQMCI<sub>S</sub> scores.

The MCI is a measure of the overall sensitivity of the macroinvertebrate community to the effects of organic pollution in stony streams. It is based on the presence/absence of taxa with varying degrees of sensitivity to environmental conditions. The SQMCI<sub>S</sub> takes into account taxa abundance as well as sensitivity to pollution, and may reveal more subtle changes in communities, particularly if non-organic impacts are occurring, and may be more useful in soft-bottomed streams. Significant differences in either the MCI or the SQMCI<sub>S</sub> between sites may indicate the degree of adverse effects (if any) of the discharges being monitored.

The results of this biological survey indicated that the number of taxa recorded in the community a short distance downstream of the stormwater discharge from MASL was moderate, being two taxa more than the historical median for this site. The community 400 metres further downstream was less typical, having a macroinvertebrate richness seven taxa more than the historical median. The upstream richness does not indicate an impact from the MASL discharge, but may reflect the impact of summer flow conditions, and may also reflect the limited potential for recruitment from upstream, which has poor invertebrate habitat. The MCI value at site 3 was six units less than the median for this site, but well within the scores previous recorded. This result is somewhat less that that recorded in recent surveys, but similar to that recorded in the previous summer survey. The MCI score at site 4 was insignificantly higher than the median, but nine units higher than that recorded at site 3. At this stage, it is considered that the MCI score at site 3 does not indicate any impacts from the stormwater discharge, as this site also recorded a relatively healthy SQMCI<sub>S</sub> score and community richness, and the low MCI score is more a reflection of summer flow conditions. In relation to historical survey results, the current survey results were indicative of no recent impacts of discharges on the macroinvertebrate communities of either site.

With the exception of the February 2006 and April 2007 surveys, the SQMCI<sub>S</sub> values have been relatively high in the last 24 surveys. This was due mainly to the high abundances of medium-scoring taxa, particularly 'sensitive' amphipods. This trend was continued in the present survey at both sites, with site 4 recording a new maximum SQMCI<sub>S</sub> score. The generally higher SQMCI<sub>S</sub> values in recent surveys may have indicated subtle improvements in the community from that recorded in the late 1990's. Although it is unlikely to be a significant change, it correlates with the improvement shown in the physicochemical water quality monitoring data over this time.

This macroinvertebrate survey indicated that the discharge of treated stormwater from the MASL site had not had any detrimental effect on the macroinvertebrate community of this unnamed tributary.

## References

- Dunning KJ, 2002a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, January 2002. TRC report KD100.
- Dunning KJ, 2002b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, April 2002. TRC report KD121.
- Fowles CR and Colgan BC, 2004: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, January 2004. TRC report CF321.
- Fowles CR, and Dunning KJ, 2003: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, December 2002. TRC report CF277.
- Fowles CR, and Hope KJ, 2005a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, December 2004. TRC report CF363.
- Fowles CR, and Hope KJ, 2005b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2005. TRC report CF381.
- Fowles CR, and Jansma B, 2008a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, December 2007. TRC CF460.
- Fowles CR, and Jansma B, 2008b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, May 2008. TRC CF465.
- Fowles CR, and Moore, SC, 2004: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, March 2004. TRC report CF322.
- Hickey CW and Vickers ML, 1992: Comparison of the sensitivity to heavy metals and pentachlorophenol of the mayflies *Deleatidium* spp and the cladoceran *Daphnia magna*. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 26: 87-93.
- Hope KJ, 2005: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, October 2005. TRC report KH056.
- Hope, KJ and Jansma, B, 2006: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2006. TRC report KH086.

- Jansma B, 2007a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2007. TRC report BJ026.
- Jansma B, 2007b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, April 2007. TRC report BJ028.
- Jansma B, 2009: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2009. TRC report BJ082.
- Jansma B, 2009: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, March 2009. TRC Report BJ083.
- Jansma B, 2010: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2010. TRC report BJ116.
- Jansma B, 2011: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, October 2010. TRC report BJ132.
- Jansma B, 2011: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2011. TRC report BJ133.
- Jansma B, 2012: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, March 2012. BJ187.
- Jansma B, 2013: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, November 2012. BJ222.
- Jansma B, 2014: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2013. BJ223.
- Jansma B, 2014: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, November 2013. BJ240.
- McWilliam H, 1999a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 1998. TRC report HM155.
- McWilliam H, 1999b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, February 1999. TRC report HM182.
- McWilliam H, 2000a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 1999. TRC report HM206.
- McWilliam H, 2000b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, March 2000. TRC report HM218.
- McWilliam H, 2001a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 2000. TRC report HM240.
- McWilliam H, 2001b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, April 2001. TRC report HM249.

- Moore SC, 2003: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, February 2003. TRC report SM581.
- Stark JD, 1985: A macroinvertebrate community index of water quality for stony streams. *Water and Soil* Miscellaneous Publication No. 87.
- Stark JD, 1998: SQMCI: a biotic index for freshwater macroinvertebrate coded abundance data. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 32(1): 55-66.
- Stark JD, 1999: An evaluation of Taranaki Regional Council's SQMCI biomonitoring index. Cawthron Institute, Nelson. Cawthron Report No. 472.
- Stark JD, Boothroyd IKG, Harding JS, Maxted JR, Scarsbrook MR, 2001: Protocols for sampling macroinvertebrates in wadeable streams. New Zealand Macroinvertebrate Working Group Report No. 1. Prepared for the Ministry for the Environment. Sustainable Management Fund Project No. 5103. 57p.